

C. K. Gupta (Univ. Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada),

W. Holubowski (Inst. Math., Siles. Univ., Gliwice, Poland)

## ON 2-SYMMETRIC WORDS IN NILPOTENT GROUPS

## ПРО 2-СИМЕТРИЧНІ СЛОВА В НІЛЬПОТЕНТНИХ ГРУПАХ

We find the nilpotency class of a group of 2-symmetric words for free nilpotent groups, free nilpotent metabelian groups, and free (nilpotent of class  $c$ )-by-abelian groups.

Знайдено клас нільпотентності групи 2-симетричних слів для вільних нільпотентних груп, вільних нільпотентних метабелевих груп та вільних груп у класі всіх розширень нільпотентних ступеня  $c$  груп за допомогою абелевих груп.

**1. Introduction.** Symmetric words for a group  $G$  are closely related to the fixed points of the automorphisms permuting generators in their corresponding relatively free groups. The problem of characterizing the group of 2-symmetric words for a given group  $G$  was initiated by Plonka [1, 2] who, among other things, gave a complete description for nilpotent groups of class  $\leq 3$ . Descriptions of 2-symmetric words are known for the free metabelian groups and free soluble groups of derived length 3 (Macedońska and Solitar [3]) and free soluble groups of arbitrary derived length (Tomaszewski [4]).

In all cases above the group of 2-symmetric words is abelian and 2-symmetric words have usually the form  $w^\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the automorphism which permute generators in the 2-generator free group. Since if  $w$  and  $w^\sigma$  commute in  $G$  then  $w^\sigma$  is obviously 2-symmetric word for  $G$ , such description is sometimes called "trivial".

Unexpectedly, the situation for nilpotent groups of higher classes is quite different. The results of [5–7] show that for free nilpotent groups of class 4 and 5 the group of 2-symmetric words is nonabelian. The same is also true for free metabelian of arbitrary nilpotency class group [5], free (nilpotent of class 2)-by-abelian groups and free centre-by-metabelian groups [8]. Moreover, even in the case of free nilpotent group of class 3 there exist 2-symmetric words not of the form  $w^\sigma$ . In this paper we discuss this phenomena and find the nilpotency class of the group of 2-symmetric words for some new relatively free groups.

Let  $F$  be a free group generated by  $x, y$ . A binary word  $w(x, y) \in F$  is called 2-symmetric word for a group  $G$  if  $w(g, h) = w(h, g)$  for all  $g, h$  in  $G$ .

The 2-symmetric words for a group  $G$  are the same as these for the group  $F(G)$ , the two generator relatively free group of the variety generated by  $G$  ( $F(G) = F/V$  for some verbal subgroup  $V$  of  $F$ ). Let  $\sigma$  be the automorphism of  $F$  induced by the mapping  $x \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow x$ . Clearly,  $w$  is a 2-symmetric word for  $G$  if and only if  $w \equiv w^\sigma \pmod{V}$  (i.e.  $w = w^\sigma \cdot w_0$ , where  $w_0 \in V$ ). So, we can carry out all our calculations in  $F$  while working modulo  $V$ . There is a natural homomorphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow F(G)$  ( $x \rightarrow \bar{x}, y \rightarrow \bar{y}$ ) which induces an automorphism  $\bar{\sigma}$  of  $F(G)$ , when  $V$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant. Denote by

$$S^{(2)}(G) = \{\bar{w} \in F(G) : \bar{w} = \bar{w}^{\bar{\sigma}}\} = \text{Fix}(\bar{\sigma})$$

a group of fixed points of  $\bar{\sigma}$ . Since  $\phi^{-1}(S^{(2)}(G))$  consists of all 2-symmetric words for  $G$  we called  $S^{(2)}(G)$  a group of 2-symmetric words for  $G$ .

In this paper we consider  $S^{(2)}(G)$  in the case of  $G$ -free nilpotent group of class  $c$ , which we denote by  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ , free nilpotent metabelian group  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_c \wedge \mathfrak{A}^2)$  and free (nilpotent of class  $c$ )-by-abelian group  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_c \cdot \mathfrak{A})$  (where  $\mathfrak{N}_c, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^2$  are varieties

of nilpotent groups of class  $c$ , abelian and metabelian groups correspondingly). Our main results here are.

**Theorem 1.** *The groups  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  and  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_c \wedge \mathfrak{N}^2)$  are finitely generated nilpotent groups of class  $c-2$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *The group  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_c \cdot \mathfrak{N})$  is infinitely generated nilpotent group of class  $c$ .*

In our proofs, the words  $ww^\sigma$  play the significant role in finding the nilpotency class. However, not all 2-symmetric words have such form. For example, the word  $x^2y^2[y, x]^2[y, x, x]^2$  from  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_3)$  [5, 7] is not equal to  $ww^\sigma$  for any  $w \in F$  (here  $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$  is a commutator of elements  $x, y$ , commutators of higher weight are defined as left-normed, for other definitions we refer to [9]).

**2. Proofs of main results.** The following lemma is fundamental in our considerations.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $R \leq S \leq F$ ,  $w \in S$  and  $w \equiv w^\sigma \pmod{R}$  then  $(w \cdot w^\sigma)^\sigma \equiv w \cdot w^\sigma \pmod{[R, S]}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $w \equiv w^\sigma \pmod{R}$  then  $w^\sigma w^{-1} \in R$  and  $[w^\sigma w^{-1}, w] \in [R, S]$ . But we have

$$[w^\sigma w^{-1}, w] = (w^\sigma w^{-1})^{-1} w^{-1} w^\sigma w^{-1} w = w(w^\sigma)^{-1} w^{-1} w^\sigma = [w^{-1}, w^\sigma].$$

It means that

$$w^\sigma w^{-1} \equiv w^{-1} w^\sigma \pmod{[R, S]}$$

and

$$w^\sigma w \equiv ww^\sigma \pmod{[R, S]}.$$

Now

$$(ww^\sigma)^\sigma \equiv w^\sigma w \equiv ww^\sigma \pmod{[R, S]}.$$

Denote  $d_{ij} = [x, y]^{x^i y^j}$  ( $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  — integers).

**Corollary 1.** *For any natural number  $c$ ,  $c \geq 2$ , there exist 2-symmetric word  $w \pmod{\gamma_{c+1}(F)}$ , such that  $w \in F - \gamma_2(F)$ , and 2-symmetric word  $v \pmod{\gamma_{c+1}(F')}$ , such that  $v \in F' - \gamma_2(F')$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from [1] that the word  $w = x^2y^2[y, x]^2$  is 2-symmetric  $\pmod{\gamma_3(F)}$ . By Lemma 1

$$ww^\sigma = x^4y^4 \cdot w_0, \quad w_0 \in \gamma_2(F),$$

is 2-symmetric  $\pmod{\gamma_4(F)}$  and by induction we have nontrivial word

$$u = x^{2^{c-1}} y^{2^{c-1}} \cdot u_0, \quad u_0 \in \gamma_2(F),$$

which is 2-symmetric  $\pmod{\gamma_{c+1}(F)}$ . The same procedure applied to the word  $d_{ij}^2 (d_{ij}^\sigma)^2 [d_{ij}^\sigma, d_{ij}]$  which is 2-symmetric  $\pmod{\gamma_3(F')}$  by [8] give us 2-symmetric word  $\pmod{\gamma_{c+1}(F')}$  of the form  $d_{ij}^{2^{c-1}} (d_{ij}^\sigma)^{2^{c-1}} \cdot w_1$ ,  $w_1 \in \gamma_2(F')$ .

**Example.** The words

$$\underbrace{[y, x, x, \dots, x]}_c \underbrace{[y, x, y, \dots, y]}_c^{-1}, \quad \underbrace{[y, x, x, \dots, x]}_{c-1} \underbrace{[y, x, y, \dots, y]}_{c-1}^{-1}, \quad c \geq 3,$$

are 2-symmetric word  $\pmod{\gamma_{c+1}(F)}$ . In general, for  $k \geq [c/2]$  the product of two commutators of length  $k$

$$[y, \underbrace{x, \dots, x}_k, y, \dots, y][x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_k, x, \dots, x]$$

is 2-symmetric word  $\text{mod } \gamma_{c+1}(F)$  but, this is not always the case, for example the product

$$[y, x, x, y][x, y, y, x] \equiv 1 \text{ mod } \gamma_5(F).$$

It explains the advantages of writing the words as a product of basic commutators and using the uniqueness of such product  $\text{mod } \gamma_{c+1}(F)$ .

**Corollary 2.** 1. For all  $k$ ,  $3 \leq k \leq c$ , there exist 2-symmetric word  $w \text{ mod } \gamma_{c+1}(F)$  such that  $w \in \gamma_k(F) - \gamma_{k+1}(F)$ .

2. For all  $k \leq c$  there exist 2-symmetric word  $\text{mod } \gamma_{c+1}(F')$  such that  $w \in \gamma_k(F') - \gamma_{k+1}(F')$ .

**Proof.** 1. The word

$$w = \underbrace{[y, x, x, \dots, x]}_k \underbrace{[y, x, y, \dots, y]}_k^{-1}, \quad k \geq 3,$$

is 2-symmetric  $\text{mod } \gamma_{k+1}(F)$ . Applying to this word procedure from Lemma 1 we obtain the word

$$u = \underbrace{[y, x, x, \dots, x]}_k^s \cdot \underbrace{[y, x, y, \dots, y]}_k^{-s} \cdot u_0, \quad u_0 \in \gamma_{k+1}(F),$$

which is 2-symmetric  $\text{mod } \gamma_{c+1}(F)$ .

2. The corollary follows from the fact that the words  $d_{ij}^2 (d_{ij}^\sigma)^2 [d_{ij}^\sigma, d_{ij}^\sigma]^2$  and  $[d_{kl}, d_{mn}][d_{kl}^\sigma, d_{mn}^\sigma]$  are 2-symmetric  $\text{mod } \gamma_3(F')$  and

$$\underbrace{[d_{ij}^\sigma, d_{ij}^\sigma, \dots, d_{ij}^\sigma]}_k \cdot \underbrace{[d_{ij}^\sigma, d_{ij}^\sigma, d_{ij}^\sigma, \dots, d_{ij}^\sigma]}_k^{-1}$$

is 2-symmetric word  $\text{mod } \gamma_{k+1}(F')$ .

**Lemma 2.** In any nilpotent group  $G$  of class  $c$  ( $c \geq 3$ ) we have for any natural number  $a$

$$[y^a, x^a] \equiv [y, x]^{a^2} [y, x, x]^{a \binom{a}{2}} [y, x, y]^{a \binom{a}{2}} \text{ mod } \gamma_4(F).$$

**Proof.** Modulo  $\gamma_4(G)$

$$[y^n, x] \equiv [y, x]^n [y, x, y]^{n \binom{n}{2}}, \quad [y, x^m] \equiv [y, x]^m [y, x, x]^{m \binom{m}{2}}$$

and

$$[y^n, x^m] \equiv [y^n, x]^m [y^n, x, x]^{m \binom{m}{2}}.$$

These give us

$$[y^n, x^m] \equiv [y, x]^{nm} [y, x, x]^{n \binom{m}{2}} [y, x, y]^{m \binom{n}{2}}.$$

**Lemma 3.** If  $w \equiv w^\sigma \text{ mod } \gamma_{c+1}(F)$ ,  $c \geq 3$ , then

$$w \equiv x^a y^a [y, x]^b [y, x, x]^{c_1} [y, x, y]^{c_2} \text{ mod } \gamma_4(F),$$

where  $a^2 = 2b$  and  $c_1 + c_2 = a \binom{a}{2}$ .

**Proof.** If  $w \equiv w^\sigma \text{ mod } \gamma_{c+1}(F)$ , then  $w \equiv w^\sigma \text{ mod } \gamma_4(F)$  and by [2] has a form

$$w = x^a y^a [y, x]^b [y, x, x]^{c_1} [y, x, y]^{c_2} \cdot w_0, \quad w_0 \in \gamma_4(F).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} w^\sigma &= y^a x^a [y, x]^b [x, y, y]^{c_1} [x, y, x]^{c_2} \cdot w_0^\sigma = \\ &= x^a y^a [y, x]^{a^2 - b} [y, x, x]^{a \binom{a}{2} - c_2} [y, x, y]^{a \binom{a}{2} - c_1} \cdot w_1, \quad w_1 \in \gamma_4(F), \end{aligned}$$

and comparing  $w = w^\sigma$  we obtain  $a^2 = 2b$  and  $c_1 + c_2 = a \binom{a}{2}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $w_1, w_2$  are 2-symmetric words mod  $\gamma_{c+1}(F)$ , then  $[w_1, w_2] \in \gamma_4(F)$ . There exist 2-symmetric words  $w_3, w_4$  mod  $\gamma_{c+1}(F)$  such that  $[w_3, w_4] \notin \gamma_5(F)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $w_1, w_2$  are 2-symmetric words mod  $\gamma_{c+1}(F)$  then by Lemma 3 have the form

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= x^a y^a [y, x]^b [y, x, x]^{c_1} [y, x, y]^{c_2} \cdot w_{01}, \\ w_2 &= x^{\bar{a}} y^{\bar{a}} [y, x]^{\bar{b}} [y, x, x]^{\bar{c}_1} [y, x, y]^{\bar{c}_2} \cdot w_{02}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $w_{01}, w_{02} \in \gamma_4(F)$  and  $a^2 = 2b$  and  $c_1 + c_2 = a \binom{a}{2}$ ,  $\bar{a}^2 = 2\bar{b}$ ,  $\bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2 = \bar{a} \binom{\bar{a}}{2}$ .

We have

$$[w_1, w_2] = [y, x]^{\phi_1} [y, x, x]^{\phi_2} [y, x, y]^{\phi_3} [y, x, x, x]^{\phi_4} [y, x, x, y]^{\phi_5} [y, x, y, y]^{\phi_6} \cdot w_0,$$

where  $w_0 \in \gamma_5(F)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &= 0, \\ \phi_2 &= -\bar{a} \binom{a}{2} + a \binom{\bar{a}}{2} - \bar{b}a + b\bar{a} = 0, \\ \phi_3 &= -a \binom{\bar{a}}{2} + \bar{a} \binom{a}{2} - \bar{b}a + b\bar{a} - \bar{a}a^2 + a(\bar{a})^2 = 0, \\ \phi_4 &= -\bar{a} \binom{a}{3} + a \binom{\bar{a}}{3} - \bar{b} \binom{a}{2} + b \binom{\bar{a}}{2} + c_1 \bar{a} - \bar{c}_1 a, \\ \phi_5 &= -\binom{a}{2} \binom{\bar{a}}{2} + \binom{\bar{a}}{2} \binom{a}{2} - a\bar{a} \binom{a}{2} + a\bar{a} \binom{\bar{a}}{2} (c_1 + c_2) \bar{a} - (\bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2) a = 0, \\ \phi_6 &= -a \binom{\bar{a}}{3} + \bar{a} \binom{a}{3} - \bar{b} \binom{a}{2} + b \binom{\bar{a}}{2} - \\ &\quad - \bar{a}a \binom{a}{2} - a^2 \binom{\bar{a}}{2} + a\bar{a} \binom{\bar{a}}{2} + (\bar{a})^2 \binom{a}{2} + c_2 \bar{a} - \bar{c}_2 a, \end{aligned}$$

which show that  $[w_1, w_2] \in \gamma_4(F)$ .

If  $[w_1, w_2] \in \gamma_5(F)$  then  $\phi_4 = 0$ , but  $\phi_4$  depends linearly on  $c_1$  so multiplying  $w_1$  by 2-symmetric word of the form

$$u = [y, x, x]^\phi [y, x, y]^{-\phi} \cdot u_1$$

( $\phi \neq 0$ ,  $u_1 \in \gamma_4(F)$ ), which exist by Corollary 2, we obtain  $[w_1 u, w_2] \notin \gamma_5(F)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $N = S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ . Since  $N$  is a subgroup of  $F/\gamma_{c+1}(F)$  the nilpotency class of  $N$  is at most  $c$  and  $N$  is finitely generated.

From Lemma 4 it follows that for any  $w_1, w_2$ , 2-symmetric words mod  $\gamma_{c+1}(F)$  their commutator  $[w_1, w_2] \in \gamma_4(F)$ . It means that the nilpotency class of  $N$  is at most  $c - 2$ . There exist also two 2-symmetric words  $w_1, w_2$  such that  $[w_1, w_2] \notin \gamma_5(F)$ . It means that

$$[w_1, w_2] = [y, x, x, x]^\psi \cdot [y, x, y, y]^{-\psi} \cdot w_0,$$

where  $w_0 \in \gamma_5(F)$ ,  $\psi \neq 0$ . The commutator

$$[w_1, w_2, w_2] = [y, x, x, x, x]^{\bar{a}\psi} \cdot [y, x, y, y, y]^{-\bar{a}\psi} \cdot \bar{w}_0, \quad \bar{w}_0 \in \gamma_6(F),$$

which shows that  $[w_1, w_2, w_2]$  belongs to  $\gamma_5(F) - \gamma_6(F)$ . Continuing this process we see that  $N$  has nilpotency class at least  $c-2$ .

Since 2-generator nilpotent group of class 4 is metabelian, all calculations preceded are valid in the case of free nilpotent metabelian group.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $R = S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_c \cdot \mathfrak{N}^2)$ . If  $w \equiv w^\sigma \pmod{\gamma_{c+1}(F')}$  then  $w \equiv w^\sigma \pmod{F''}$  and by Proposition 1 of [8] we have  $w \in F'$ . It means that  $R$  is a subgroup of  $F'/\gamma_{c+1}(F')$  which is nilpotent of class  $c$ , so  $R$  has nilpotency class at most  $c$ . Now we show that nilpotency class of  $R$  is equal to  $c$ . From [8] it follows that we have infinite number (for different pairs  $(i, j)$ ) of words of the form  $d_{ij}^2(d_{ij}^\sigma)^2[d_{ij}^\sigma, d_{ij}]^2$  which are 2-symmetric  $\pmod{\gamma_3(F')}$ . Starting from these words we obtain, using procedure from Corollary 1, the words

$$w_{ij} = d_{ij}^{2^{c-1}}(d_{ij}^\sigma)^{2^{c-1}} \cdot \bar{w}_{ij}, \quad \bar{w}_{ij} \in \gamma_2(F'),$$

which are 2-symmetric  $\pmod{\gamma_{c+1}(F')}$ . Let  $(ij) \neq (kl)$ . Then

$$[w_{ij}, w_{kl}] = [d_{ij}, d_{kl}]^s [d_{ij}^\sigma, d_{kl}^\sigma]^s [d_{ij}, d_{kl}^\sigma]^s [d_{ij}^\sigma, d_{kl}^\sigma]^s \cdot w_0, \quad w_0 \in \gamma_3(F'),$$

is not contained in  $\gamma_3(F')$  since  $s = 2^{2c-2} \neq 0$ . Similarly, the commutator  $[w_{ij}, w_{kl}]$  is not contained in  $\gamma_4(F')$  since it has a subword  $[d_{ij}, d_{kl}, d_{kl}]$  is some nontrivial power. Continuing this process we see that the nilpotency class of  $R$  is  $c$ .  $R$  is infinitely generated since the set  $\{w_{ij} : (ij) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}$  cannot lie in finitely generated subgroup of  $R$ .

1. Plonka E. Symmetric operations in groups // Collog. math. – 1970. – 21. – P. 186.
2. Plonka E. Symmetric words in nilpotent groups of class  $\leq 3$  // Fund. math. – 1977. – 97. – P. 95–103.
3. Macedońska O., Solitar D. On binary  $\sigma$ -invariants words in a group // Contemp. Math. – 1994. – 169. – P. 431–449.
4. Tomaszewski W. Automorphisms permuting generators in groups and their fixed points // Ph. D. Thesis. – Siles. Univ., 1999.
5. Holubowski W. Symmetric words in metabelian groups // Commun Algebra. – 1995. – 23. – P. 5161–5167.
6. Holubowski W. Symmetric words in nilpotent groups of class 5 // Groups St Andrews 1997 in Bath, I (London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.). – 1999. – 260. – P. 363–367.
7. Holubowski W. Symmetric words in free nilpotent groups of class 4 // Publ. Math. (Debrecen). – 2000. – 57. – P. 411–419.
8. Gupta C. K., Holubowski W. On 2-symmetric words in groups // Arch. Math. – 1999. – 73. – P. 327–331.
9. Neumann H. Varieties of groups. – Berlin: Springer, 1967. – 192 p.

Received 26.02.2002