

TIKHONOV REGULARIZATION METHOD FOR SYSTEM OF EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS IN BANACH SPACES*

МЕТОД РЕГУЛЯРИЗАЦІЇ ТІХОНОВА ДЛЯ СИСТЕМИ ЗАДАЧ ПРО РІВНОВАГУ В БАНАХОВИХ ПРОСТОРАХ

The purpose of the paper is to investigate the Tikhonov regularization method for solving a system of ill-posed equilibrium problems in Banach spaces with a posteriori regularization parameter choice. An application to convex minimization problems with coupled constraints is also given.

Метою роботи є дослідження методу регуляризації Тіхонова для розв'язку системи некоректних задач про рівновагу в банахових просторах з апостеріорним вибором параметра регуляризації. Наведено застосування методу до задач опуклої мінімізації із зчепленими обмеженнями.

1. Introduction. Let X be a real reflexive Banach space, X^* be its dual space which both are assumed to be strictly convex, and let K be a nonempty closed (in the strong topology) and convex subset of X . For the sake of simplicity norms of X and X^* are denoted by the symbol $\|\cdot\|$. Assume that the space X possesses the property: weak convergence and convergence in norm for any sequence in X follow its strong convergence. The symbol $\langle x^*, x \rangle$ denotes the value of the linear and continuous functional $x^* \in X^*$ at the point $x \in X$. Let U^s , $s \geq 2$, be the generalized duality mapping of the space X , i.e., U^s is the mapping from X onto X^* satisfying the condition

$$\langle U^s(x), x \rangle = \|U^s(x)\| \|x\|, \quad \|U^s(x)\| = \|x\|^{s-1}.$$

Concerning U^s , assume that

$$\langle U^s(x) - U^s(y), x - y \rangle \geq m_s \|x - y\|^2,$$

where m_s is some positive number.

Let F_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$, be a family of bifunctions from $K \times K$ to $(-\infty, +\infty)$, i.e., F_j all satisfy the following set of standard properties.

Condition 1. The bifunction F is such that:

- (i) $F(u, u) = 0 \forall u \in K$;
- (ii) $F(u, v) + F(v, u) \leq 0 \forall (u, v) \in K \times K$;
- (iii) for every $u \in K$, $F(u, \cdot): K \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ is lower semicontinuous and convex;

$$(iv) \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +0} F((1-t)u + tz, v) \leq F(u, v) \forall (u, z, v) \in K \times K \times K.$$

Consider the system of equilibrium problems: find $u_* \in K$ such that

$$F_j(u_*, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in K, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (1)$$

In the case of a single equilibrium, i.e., $N = 1$, problem (1) was called equilibrium problem, and shown in [1–3] to cover monotone inclusion problems, saddle point

*This work was supported by the Vietnamese Fundamental Research Program in Natural Sciences № 100506.

problems, variational inequality problems, minimization problems, Nash equilibria in noncooperative games, vector equilibrium problems, as well as certain fixed point problems (see also [4]). For finding approximative solutions of (1) there exist several approaches: the regularization approach in [5–8], the gap-function approach in [8–10], and the dynamical system or iterative procedure approach in [1, 2, 7, 11–21]. In particular, this problem are considered in Banach spaces in [9, 17].

In the case $N > 1$, we are only aware of result [6] in Hilbert spaces where on base of constructing the resolvent of bifunction, which is a set-valued operator, P. L. Combettes and S. A. Hirstoaga study the block-iterative algorithms, and a regularization method only for the particular case $N = 1$.

In this paper, on the base of the idea in [22] we present the Tikhonov regularization method constructing the regularized solution, the posteriori regularization parameter choice depending on h when F_j are given by the approximations F_j^h , $h > 0$, in the general case $N > 1$, and an application for convex minimization problem with coupled constraints.

Set

$$S_j = \{u_* \in K : F_j(u_*, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in H\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad S = \bigcap_{j=1}^N S_j.$$

From now on, suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$. In addition, we assume that F_j all are hemicontinuous in the variable u for each fixed $v \in K$ and weakly lower semicontinuous in the variable v for each fixed $u \in K$ instead of (iv) and (iii) in condition 1, respectively.

The strong and weak convergences of any sequence are denoted by \rightarrow and \rightharpoonup , respectively.

2. Main results. First, we formulate the following facts in [1, 3] which are necessary in the proof of our results.

Proposition 1. (i) If $F(\cdot, v)$ is hemicontinuous for each $v \in K$ and F is monotone, i.e., satisfies (ii) in condition 1, then $U_* = V_*$, where

U_* is the solution set of $F(u_*, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in K$,

V_* is the solution set of $F(u, v_*) \leq 0 \quad \forall u \in K$, and it is convex and closed.

(ii) If $F(\cdot, v)$ is hemicontinuous for each $v \in K$ and F is strongly monotone, i.e., there exists a positive constant τ such that

$$F(u, v) + F(v, u) \leq -\tau \|u - v\|^2,$$

then U_* contains a unique element.

Each set S_j is closed convex (Proposition 1 (i)). Hence, S is closed convex, too.

We construct the Tikhonov regularization solution u_α by solving the single equilibrium problem

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(u_\alpha, v) &\geq 0 \quad \forall v \in K, \quad u_\alpha \in K, \\ F_\alpha(u, v) &:= \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\mu_j} F_j(u, v) + \alpha \langle U^s(u), v - u \rangle, \quad \alpha > 0, \\ \mu_1 &= 0 < \mu_j < \mu_{j+1} < 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and α is the regularization parameter.

We have the following results.

Theorem 1. (i) For each $\alpha > 0$, problem (2) has a unique solution u_α .
(ii) $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} u_\alpha = u_*$, $u_* \in S$, $\|u_*\| \leq \|y\| \forall y \in S$.
(iii) If $F_j(u, v)$ are bounded, i.e., there exists a positive constant C such that $|F_j(u, v)| \leq C \forall u, v \in U$ with $\|u\|, \|v\| \leq \tilde{C}$, that also is a positive constant, then we have

$$\|u_\alpha - u_\beta\| \leq \frac{|\alpha - \beta|}{2m_s\alpha} \|u_*\|^{s-1} + \frac{\sqrt{|\alpha - \beta|}}{2m_s\alpha} \sqrt{|\alpha - \beta| \|u_*\|^{2(s-1)} + 4m_s\alpha C(N-1)}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $F_\alpha(u, v)$ is a bifunction, i.e., $F_\alpha(u, v)$ satisfies condition 1, and strongly monotone with constant $m_s\alpha > 0$. Therefore, (2) has a unique solution u_α for each $\alpha > 0$.

Now we shall prove that

$$\|u_\alpha\| \leq \|y\| \quad \forall y \in S. \quad (3)$$

Since $y \in S$, then $F_j(y, u_\alpha) \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\mu_j} F_j(y, u_\alpha) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in S. \quad (4)$$

This fact, u_α is the solution of (2) and property (ii) in condition 1 of F_j give

$$\langle U^s(u_\alpha), y - u_\alpha \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in S,$$

that implies (3). It means that $\{u_\alpha\}$ is bounded. Let $u_{\alpha_k} \rightharpoonup u_* \in X$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. First, note that $u_* \in K$, because K also is weakly closed in X . We prove that $u_* \in S_1$. Indeed, from (ii) in condition 1 and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(v, u_{\alpha_k}) + \sum_{j=2}^N \alpha_k^{\mu_j} F_j(v, u_{\alpha_k}) &\leq \alpha_k \langle U^s(u_{\alpha_k}), v - u_{\alpha_k} \rangle \leq \\ &\leq \alpha_k \langle U^s(v), v - u_{\alpha_k} \rangle \quad \forall y \in K. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of weak lower semicontinuous property of the bifunction $F_j(u, v)$ in the variable v we obtain $F_1(v, u_*) \leq 0 \forall v \in U$, i.e., $u_* \in S_1$. Now, we shall prove that $u_* \in S_j$, $j = 2, \dots, N$. From (2) and property (ii) in condition 1 of the bifunction F_1 it implies that

$$F_2(y, u_{\alpha_k}) + \sum_{j=3}^N \alpha_k^{\mu_j - \mu_2} F_j(y, u_{\alpha_k}) \leq \alpha_k^{1-\mu_2} \langle U^s(y), y - u_{\alpha_k} \rangle \quad \forall y \in S_1.$$

Tending $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have got

$$F_2(y, u_*) \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in S_1.$$

Therefore, $F_2(u^*, y) \geq 0 \forall y \in S_1$, i.e., u_* is a minimizer of the convex functional $F_2(v, u_*)$ on the set S_1 . Since $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$, then

$$u_* \in \arg \min_{v \in K} F_2(u_*, v),$$

i.e., $F_2(u_*, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$.

Set $\tilde{S}_i = \bigcap_{k=1}^i S_k$. Then, \tilde{S}_i is also closed convex, and $\tilde{S}_i \neq \emptyset$.

Now, suppose that we have proved that $u_* \in \tilde{S}_i$, and need to show that u_* belongs to S_{i+1} . Again, by virtue of (2) for $y \in \tilde{S}_i$ we can write

$$F_{i+1}(y, u_{\alpha_k}) + \sum_{j=i+2}^N \alpha_k^{\mu_j - \mu_{i+1}} F_j(y, u_{\alpha_k}) \leq \alpha_k^{1 - \mu_{i+1}} \langle U^s(y), y - u_{\alpha_k} \rangle \quad \forall y \in \tilde{S}_i.$$

After passing $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$F_{i+1}(y, u_*) \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in \tilde{S}_i.$$

Since $\tilde{S}_i \cap S_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$, then u_* also is an element of S_{i+1} , i.e., $F_{i+1}(u_*, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in K$. Inequality (3) and the weak convergence of $\{u_{\alpha_k}\}$ to $u_* \in S$, which is a closed convex subset in the strictly convex space X , give the strong convergence of $\{u_{\alpha_k}\}$ to u_* : $\|u_*\| \leq \|y\| \quad \forall y \in S$.

Let u_β be a solution of (2) when α is replaced by β . By virtue of (ii) in condition 1 we have $F_j(u_\alpha, u_\beta) + F_j(u_\beta, u_\alpha) \leq 0$. Therefore, from (2) it follows

$$\sum_{j=1}^N (\alpha^{\mu_j} - \beta^{\mu_j}) F_j(u_\alpha, u_\beta) + \alpha \langle U^s(u_\alpha), u_\beta - u_\alpha \rangle + \beta \langle U^s(u_\beta), u_\alpha - u_\beta \rangle \geq 0$$

or

$$m_s \alpha \|u_\alpha - u_\beta\|^2 \leq |\alpha - \beta| \|u_\beta\|^{s-1} \|u_\alpha - u_\beta\| + \sum_{j=1}^N |\alpha^{\mu_j} - \beta^{\mu_j}| |F_j(u_\alpha, u_\beta)|.$$

Using (3), the boundedness of F_j and the Lagrange's mean-value theorem for the function $\alpha(t) = t^{-\mu}$, $0 < \mu < 1$, $t \in [1, +\infty)$, on $[\alpha, \beta]$ if $\alpha < \beta$ or $[\beta, \alpha]$ if $\beta < \alpha$ we have conclusion (iii).

Theorem is proved.

Remark. Obviously, if $u_{\alpha_k} \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ where u_{α_k} is the solution of (2) with $\alpha = \alpha_k \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, then $S \neq \emptyset$.

Let F_j^h be the approximation bifunctions for F_j satisfy the condition

$$\|F_j(u, v) - F_j^h(u, v)\| \leq hg(\|u\|) \|u - v\|, \quad (5)$$

whith the bounded (image of bounded set is bounded) nonnegative function $g(t)$, $t \geq 0$. Note that condition (5) was used in the regularizing the variational inequality

$$\langle A(x_*), x - x_* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K, \quad x_* \in K,$$

where A is a hemicontinuous monotone from X into X^* , and is given approximatively by the hemicontinuous monotone operators A_h also from X into X^* such that

$$\|A_h(x) - A(x)\| \leq hg(\|u\|).$$

By setting $\tilde{F}(u, v) = \langle A(u), v - u \rangle$ and $\tilde{F}^h(u, v) = \langle A_h(u), v - u \rangle$ we see that $\tilde{F}(u, v)$ and $\tilde{F}^h(u, v)$ are the bifunctions satisfying condition (5).

Since F_j^h are also the bifunctions, then the following single equilibrium problem:

$$F_\alpha^h(u_\alpha^h, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in K, \quad u_\alpha^h \in K, \\ F_\alpha^h(u, v) := \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\mu_j} F_j^h(u, v) + \alpha \langle U^s(u), v - u \rangle, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (6)$$

has a unique solution denoted by u_α^h for each $\alpha, h > 0$. As well as for the variational inequalities [23, 24] or the operator equation of Hammerstein type [25, 26], we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 2. *If $h/\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $h, \alpha \rightarrow 0$, then $u_\alpha^h \rightarrow u_*$.*

Proof. From (4) with that u_α is replaced by u_α^h , (5), (6) and the properties of the bifunctions F_j^h it follows

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\mu_j} [F_j(y, u_\alpha^h) - F_j^h(y, u_\alpha^h)] + \alpha \langle U^s(u_\alpha^h), y - u_\alpha^h \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in S.$$

Therefore,

$$m_s \|y - u_\alpha^h\|^2 \leq \langle U^s(y), y - u_\alpha^h \rangle + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha^{\mu_j} |F_j^h(y, u_\alpha^h) - F_j(y, u_\alpha^h)| = \\ = \langle U^s(y), y - u_\alpha^h \rangle + \frac{h}{\alpha} (N-1)g(\|y\|) \|y - u_\alpha^h\|,$$

for $\alpha \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\|y - u_\alpha^h\| \leq \frac{1}{m_s} \left[\|y\|^{s-1} + \frac{(N-1)h}{\alpha} g(\|y\|) \right]. \quad (7)$$

It means that $\{u_\alpha^h\}$ is bounded, when $h, \alpha, h/\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Since X is reflexive, then there exist a subsequence $\{u_k := u_{\alpha_k}^h\} \subset \{u_\alpha^h\}$ and an element $\tilde{x} \in X$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup \tilde{x}$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, and K is also weak closed. Hence, the element \tilde{x} is an element of K . By repeating the proof in Theorem 1 we obtain that $\tilde{x} \in S$ and $u_\alpha^h \rightarrow \tilde{x} = u_*$.

Theorem is proved.

Now, we study the problem of choosing $\alpha = \alpha(h)$. For this purpose, consider the function $\rho(\alpha) := \alpha(a_0 + t(\alpha))$, where $t(\alpha) = \|u_\alpha^h\|$ for each fixed $h > 0$. Obviously, from (5), (6) and property (ii) in condition 1 of F_j^h it implies that

$$m_s \alpha_0 \|u_{\alpha_1}^h - u_{\alpha_2}^h\|^2 \leq |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \|u_{\alpha_2}^h\|^{s-1} \|u_{\alpha_1}^h - u_{\alpha_2}^h\| + \\ + \sum_{j=1}^N |\alpha_2^{\mu_j} - \alpha_1^{\mu_j}| |F_j^h(u_{\alpha_2}^h, u_{\alpha_1}^h)|$$

for $\alpha_i \in [\alpha_0, +\infty)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\alpha_0 > 0$, where

$$|F_j^h(u_{\alpha_2}^h, u_{\alpha_1}^h)| \leq |F_j^h(u_{\alpha_2}^h, u_{\alpha_1}^h) - F_j(u_{\alpha_2}^h, u_{\alpha_1}^h)| + |F_j(u_{\alpha_2}^h, u_{\alpha_1}^h)|.$$

Therefore, if $F_j(u, v)$ all satisfy condition (iii) in Theorem 1, then

$$m_s \alpha_0 \|u_{\alpha_1}^h - u_{\alpha_2}^h\|^2 \leq \left[|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \|u_{\alpha_2}^h\|^{s-1} + hg(\|u_{\alpha_2}^h\|) \sum_{j=1}^N |\alpha_2^{\mu_j} - \alpha_1^{\mu_j}| \right] \times \\ \times \|u_{\alpha_1}^h - u_{\alpha_2}^h\| + C \sum_{j=1}^N |\alpha_2^{\mu_j} - \alpha_1^{\mu_j}|.$$

Hence,

$$\|u_{\alpha_1}^h - u_{\alpha_2}^h\| \leq \tilde{c}, \\ \tilde{c} = \frac{d}{2m_s \alpha_0} + \frac{1}{2m_s \alpha_0} \sqrt{d^2 + 4m_s \alpha_0 C(N-1)|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|}, \\ d = \left[\|u_{\alpha_2}^h\|^{s-1} + h(N-1)g(\|u_{\alpha_2}^h\|) \right] |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|.$$

Thus, $u_{\alpha_1}^h \rightarrow u_{\alpha_2}^h$ as $\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2$. It means that $t(\alpha)$ is continuous on $[\alpha_0, +\infty)$. So, is the function $\rho(\alpha)$. We shall choose $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha(h)$ satisfying the following equation:

$$\rho(\alpha) = h^p \alpha^{-q}, \quad p, q > 0. \quad (8)$$

Theorem 3. Assume that $F_j(u, v)$ all satisfy condition (iii) in Theorem 1. Then, we have:

- (i) for each fixed $h > 0$ there exists at least a value $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha(h)$ satisfying (8),
- (ii) $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \alpha(h) = 0$, and
- (iii) if $q \geq p$, then $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h/\alpha(h) = 0$.

Proof. First, from (7) we can obtain the following inequality:

$$\alpha^q \rho(\alpha) \leq \alpha^{1+q} \left[a_0 + \|y\| + \frac{1}{m_s} \|y\|^{s-1} \right] + \alpha^q \frac{(N-1)h}{m_s} g(\|y\|)$$

for a fixed element $y \in S$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \alpha^q \rho(\alpha) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^{1+q} \rho(\alpha) \geq a_0 \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha^{q+1} = +\infty.$$

The intermediate value theorem gives (i).

The second conclusion is proved by using the inequality

$$0 \leq \alpha(h) \leq a_0^{-1/(1+q)} h^{p/(1+q)}$$

that is followed from $\alpha^{1+q}(h)[a_0 + t(\alpha(h))] = h^p$.

Since

$$\left[\frac{h}{\alpha(h)} \right]^p = [h^p \alpha^{-q}(h)] \alpha^{q-p}(h) = \rho(\alpha(h)) \alpha^{q-p}(h) = \\ = \alpha(h) [a_0 + t(\alpha(h))] \alpha^{q-p}(h) \leq \\ \leq [a_0 + \|y\| + \frac{1}{m_s} \|y\|^{s-1}] \alpha^{1+q-p}(h) + \alpha^{q-p}(h) \frac{(N-1)h}{m_s} g(\|y\|),$$

then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h/\alpha(h) = 0.$$

Theorem is proved.

3. Application. We consider the following convex minimization problems with coupling constraints: find $u_* \in K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u_*) &= \min_{u \in S} \varphi(u), \\ S &= \left\{ \tilde{u} \in K : F_j(\tilde{u}, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in K, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where φ is a weak continuous convex functional on X , and F_j all are the bifunctions. In addition, assume that $\varphi(u) \geq 0$ for each $u \in X$ and is Gateau differentiable with the derivative A . Then, u_* solves (9) iff it solves the following variational inequality problem:

$$\langle A(u_*), v - u_* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in K, \quad F_j(u_*, v) \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

that is studied in [27] and [28] in the finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathbf{R}^n . The presence of the functional constraints $F_j(u_*, v)$, which couple the parameters and the variables of the problem, is the basic distinction of this statement from the standard one. Set

$$F_{N+1}(u, v) = \varphi(v) - \varphi(u).$$

It is easy to verify that $F_{N+1}(u, v)$ is a bifunction. The regularized solution of problem (9) can be constructed by solving the single equilibrium problem

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(u_\alpha, v) &\geq 0 \quad \forall v \in K, \quad u_\alpha \in K, \\ F_\alpha(u, v) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \alpha^{\mu_j} F_j(u, v) + \alpha \langle U^s(u), v - u \rangle, \quad \alpha > 0, \\ \mu_1 &= 0 < \mu_j < \mu_{j+1} < 1, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

and α is the regularization parameter.

Note that the nonnegative property of φ permits to obtain the estimate (3). From the proof of Theorem 1 it implies that $\varphi(v) \geq \varphi(u_*) \quad \forall v \in S = \bigcap_{j=1}^N S_j$.

In particular, if the bifunctions F_j all are defined on the whole space X , then we introduce additionally the bifunction $F_0(u, v) := \text{dis}(v, K) - \text{dis}(u, K)$, where

$$\text{dis}(x, K) = \min_{y \in K} \|x - y\|.$$

Then, we have the following single equilibrium:

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(u_\alpha, v) &\geq 0 \quad \forall v \in X, \quad u_\alpha \in X, \\ F_\alpha(u, v) &:= \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \alpha^{\mu_j} F_j(u, v) + \alpha \langle U^s(u), v - u \rangle, \quad \alpha > 0, \\ \mu_0 &= 0 < \mu_j < \mu_{j+1} < 1, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

and α is the regularization parameter.

1. *Bianchi M., Schaible S.* Generalized monotone bifunctions and equilibrium problems // *J. Optimiz. Theory and Appl.* – 1996. – **90**. – P. 31–43.
2. *Blum E., Oettli W.* From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems // *Math. Stud.* – 1994. – **63**. – P. 123–145.
3. *Oettli W.* A remark on vector-valued equilibria and generalized monotonicity // *Acta Math. Vietnam.* – 1997. – **22**. – P. 215–221.
4. *Göpfert A., Riahi H., Tammer C., Zalinescu C.* Variational methods in partially ordered spaces. – New York: Springer, 2003.
5. *Chadli O., Schaible S., Yao J. C.* Regularized equilibrium problems with an application to noncoercive hemivariational inequalities // *J. Optimiz. Theory and Appl.* – 2004. – **121**. – P. 571–596.
6. *Combettes P. L., Hirstoaga S. A.* Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces // *J. Nonlinear and Convex Anal.* – 2005. – **6**, № 1. – P. 117–136.
7. *Konnov I. V., Pinyagina O. V.* *D*-gap functions for a class of monotone equilibrium problems in Banach spaces // *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* – 2003. – **3**, № 2. – P. 274–286.
8. *Stukalov A. C.* Regularization extragradient method for solving equilibrium programming problems in Hilbert spaces // *Zh. Vych. Mat. i Mat. Fiz.* – 2005. – **45**, № 9. – P. 1538–1554.
9. *Konnov I. V., Pinyagina O. V.* *D*-gap functions and descent methods for a class of monotone equilibrium problems // *Lobachevskii J. Math.* – 2003. – **13**. – P. 57–65.
10. *Mastroeni G.* Gap functions for equilibrium problems // *J. Global Optimiz.* – 2003. – **27**. – P. 411–426.
11. *Antipin A. S.* Equilibrium programming: gradient methods // *Automat. and Remote Control.* – 1997. – **58**, № 8. – P. 1337–1347.
12. *Antipin A. S.* Equilibrium programming: Proximal methods // *Zh. Vych. Mat. i Mat. Fiz.* – 1997. – **37**, № 11. – P. 1327–1339 (*Comput. Math. and Math. Phys.* – 1997. – **37**, № 11. – P. 1285–1296).
13. *Bounkhel M., Al-Senan B. R.* An iterative method for nonconvex equilibrium problems // *J. Inequalit. Pure and Appl. Math.* – 2006. – **7**, Issue 2, Article 75.
14. *Chadli O., Konnov I. V., Yao J. C.* Descent methods for equilibrium problems in Banach spaces // *Comput. and Math. Appl.* – 2004. – **48**. – P. 609–616.
15. *Konnov I. V.* Application of the proximal point method to nonmonotone equilibrium problems // *J. Optimiz. Theory and Appl.* – 2005. – **126**. – P. 309–322.
16. *Konnov I. V., Schaible S., Yao J. C.* Combined relaxation method for mixed equilibrium problems // *Ibid.* – 2003. – **119**. – P. 317–333.
17. *Mastroeni G.* On auxiliary principle for equilibrium problems // *Techn. Rept Dep. Math. Pisa Univ.* – 2000. – № 3. – P. 244–258.
18. *Moudafi A.* Second-order differential proximal methods for equilibrium problems // *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.* – 2003. – **4**, Issue 1, Article 18.
19. *Moudafi A., Théra M.* Proximal and dynamical approaches to equilibrium problems // *Lect. Notes Econ. and Math. Syst.* – 1999. – **477**. – P. 187–201.
20. *Noor M. A.* Auxiliary principle technique for equilibrium problems // *J. Optimiz. Theory and Appl.* – 2004. – **122**. – P. 371–386.
21. *Noor M. A., Noor K. I.* On equilibrium problems // *Appl. Math. E-N7otes (AMEN).* – 2004. – **4**.
22. *Buong Ng.* Regularization for unconstrained vector optimization of convex functionals in Banach spaces // *Zh. Vych. Mat. i Mat. Fiz.* – 2006. – **46**, № 3. – P. 372–378.
23. *Buong Ng.* Convergence rates and finite-dimensional approximations for a class of ill-posed variational inequalities // *Ukr. Math. J.* – 1996. – **48**, № 9. – P. 1–9.
24. *Buong Ng.* On ill-posed problems in Banach spaces // *South. Asian Bull. Math.* – 1997. – **21**. – P. 95–193.
25. *Buong Ng.* Operator equations of Hammerstein type under monotone perturbations // *Proc. NCST Vietnam.* – 1999. – **11**, № 2. – P. 3–7.
26. *Buong Ng.* Convergence rates in regularization for the case of monotone perturbations // *Ukr. Math. J.* – 2000. – **52**, № 2. – P. 285–293.
27. *Antipin A. S.* Solution methods for variational inequalities with coupled constraints // *Comput. Math. and Math Phys.* – 2000. – **40**, № 9. – P. 1239–1254 (*Transl. from Zh. Vych. Mat. i Mat. Fiz.* – 2000. – **40**, № 9. – P. 1291–1307).
28. *Antipin A. S.* Solving variational inequalities with coupling constraints with the use of differential equations // *Different. Equat.* – 2000. – **36**, № 11. – P. 1587–1596 (*Transl. from Different. Uravneniya.* – 2000. – **36**, № 11. – P. 1443–1451).

Received 16.07.07