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## MECHANICAL SYSTEMS WITH SINGULAR EQUILIBRIA AND THE COULOMB DYNAMICS OF THREE CHARGES <br> МЕХАНІЧНІ СИСТЕМИ З СИНГУЛЯРНИМИ РІВНОВАГАМИ ТА КУЛОНІВСЬКА ДИНАМІКА ТРЬОХ ЗАРЯДІВ

We consider mechanical systems for which the matrices of second partial derivatives of the potential energies at equilibria have zero eigenvalues. It is assumed that their potential energies are holomorphic functions in these singular equilibrium states. For these systems, we prove the existence of proper bounded (for positive time) solutions of the Newton equation of motion convergent to the equilibria in the infinite-time limit. These results are applied to the Coulomb systems of three point charges with singular equilibrium in a line.

Розглядаються механічні системи, матриці других похідних потенціальних енергій яких у рівновазі мають нульові власні значення. Припускається, що їхні потенціальні енергії є голоморфними функціями в цих сингулярних рівновагах. Для таких систем доведено існування власних обмежених для додатного часу розв’язків ньютонівських рівнянь руху, які збігаються до рівноваги в границі нескінченного часу. Ці результати застосовуються до кулонівських систем трьох зарядів із сингулярною рівновагою на прямій.

1. Introduction and main result. We consider $n$-dimensional systems with a potential energy $U$ which is singular at least on a set where some coordinates coincide and has a singular equilibrium configuration meaning that the symmetric matrix $U^{0}$ of partial second derivatives of the potential energy has zero eigenvalues at the equilibrium $x^{0}$. Such systems can be derived from mechanical systems of $N d$-dimensional particles (charges) interacting via singular pair or manybody potentials after a re-numeration of variables and masses with $n=d N$. The Newton equation of motion of the systems looks like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j} \frac{d^{2} x_{j}}{d t^{2}}=-\frac{\partial U\left(x_{(n)}\right)}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n, \quad x_{(n)}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diagonal $n$-dimensional matrix with the elements (effective masses) $\mu_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$, will be called by us the mass matrix and denoted by $M$. We assume that $U$ is a holomorphic function in an equilibrium neighborhood.

The motivation to consider such the systems comes from the Coulomb system of three charges $e_{1}=e_{2}=-e_{0}<0, e_{3}=\frac{e_{0}}{4}$ which has a singular equilibrium on a line with an equal distance $a$ of the positive charge to the negative ones. We show this in the last section of this paper.

Our aim is to find solutions of the Newton equation for the considered systems on the infinite time interval. Not much is known about solutions on the infinite time interval for three-dimensional Coulomb systems except the systems of two opposite charges and a charge in the field of many attractive centers. Such the solutions were found for the simplest line Coulomb systems with equilibria [1] and a planar system of $n-1$ equal negative charges and a positive charge [2]. The existence of the Coulomb dynamics without collisions of charges on a finite time interval has been proven in [3] (see also [4]).

Instability of equilibrium in Coulomb systems is known from the Earnshaw theorem [5, 6]. This fact and the inverse Lagrange-Dirichlet theorem imply that the Coulomb potential energy does not attain an absolute minimum at it and $U^{0}$ does not have only positive eigenvalues.

Existence of the zero eigenvalue of $U^{0}$ does not allow one to apply the results concerning the existence of periodic solutions constructed in the Lyapunov (resonance) center theorem and its (nonresonance) generalizations proposed in [7, 8]. Singularity of $U$ does not allow one also to apply the results concerning the existence of (proper) bounded at positive time solutions converging to the equilibrium in the limit of infinite time [9].

It is known from the celestial mechanics [10] that the zero eigenvalue of a linear part of a vector field of an ordinary differential equation is generated by integral of motions. There is a procedure of lowering of its degeneracy degree by a separation of cyclic variables with the help of a canonical transformation (see the paragraph Application to Lagrange solutions in [10]). But it is not known whether the degeneracy of the zero eigenvalue of $U^{0}$ is generated exclusively by the integrals of motion. Besides it is difficult to find them all.

In this paper we find the proper bounded solutions relying on a modification of the Siegel semilinearization technique (see the paragraph Lyapunov theorem in [10]). This technique is applied to obtain partial solutions of an ordinary differential equation represented in a simple standard form in which a linear part $f_{0}$ of its vector field $f$ is given by a diagonal matrix as in the case of the Poincare linearization theorem [11]. The Siegel technique allows one to linearize in new variables (at a linear invariant manifold) only a part of the many-component equation demanding a resonance condition between eigenvalues of $f_{0}$ with negative real parts to be satisfied. If the linear part of the second order equation has the zero eigenvalue then one can not reduce it to the simple standard form. In our version of the Siegel technique we start from another standard form of the Newton equation which allows some variables satisfy second order equations. Then we introduce new variables with the help of an unknown function $\varphi$ such that the invariant manifold of the equation is given by the zero values of some of the new variables and at it the remaining variables satisfy the new equation in which the diagonal linear part of the vector field have negative eigenvalues. A resonance condition is not needed since it is solved on the infinite time interval with the help of the Lyapunov theorem $[12,13]$. Finally we prove with the help the majorant method that $\varphi$, which satisfies a resolvent type equation, is a vector valued holomorphic function at a neighborhood of the origin.

Our main results are formulated in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The first theorem was utilized by us in [1] in a weaker version demanding eigenvalues of $M^{-1} U^{0}$ not to be zero and its negative eigenvalues satisfy a resonance condition.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be the mass matrix and $U^{0}$ be the symmetric matrix of second derivatives at an equilibrium $x^{0}$ of a potential energy $U$ of an $n$-dimensional mechanical system. Let also $U$ be a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of $x^{0}$ and the matrix $M^{-1} U^{0}$ have $p$ negative eigenvalues $\sigma_{j}, j=1, \ldots, p$. Then the Newton equation of motion of this mechanical system admits a bounded at positive time solution depending on $p$ real parameters which is real analytic function in them in a neighborhood of the origin and $\left\|x-x^{0}\right\|_{\lambda}<\infty,\|\dot{x}\|_{\lambda}<\infty$, where $\dot{x}$ is the velocity and

$$
\|x\|_{\lambda}=\sup _{t \geq 0} \max _{s \in(1, \ldots, n)} e^{\lambda t}\left|x_{s}(t)\right|, \quad \lambda<\lambda_{0}=\min _{j=1, \ldots, p} \sqrt{-\sigma_{j}}
$$

We show in the last section that for the mentioned system of three charges the eigenvalues of the matrix $M^{-1} U^{0}$ are determined explicitly. In the planar (three-dimensional) systems this matrix has four (six) times degenerate zero, negative and positive(doubly degenerate) eigenvalues. For the line
system it has only one negative and doubly degenerate zero eigenvalues. Such the eigenvalues and Theorem 1.1 imply the following result.

Theorem 1.2. The Newton-Coulomb equation of motion of the three point charges $e_{1}=-e_{0}$, $e_{2}=-e_{0}, e_{3}=\frac{e_{0}}{4}>0$ with masses $m_{j}, j=1,2,3$, admits in the line, planar and threedimensional systems a bounded at positive time solution which is a real analytic function in a neighborhood of the origin in one real parameter such that $\left\|x-x^{0}\right\|_{\lambda}<\infty,\|\dot{x}\|_{\lambda}<\infty$ and $\lambda<\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{0}^{2}=e_{0}^{2}\left(4 a^{3}\right)^{-1}\left(m_{1}^{-1}+m_{2}^{-1}+4 m_{3}^{-1}\right)$, where $x^{0}$ is an equilibrium $x_{1}^{01}=-a, x_{2}^{01}=a$, $x_{3}^{01}=0, x_{j}^{0 \alpha}=0, \alpha=2,3$.

Note that due to the equality $\sqrt{M} M^{-1} U^{0}(\sqrt{M})^{-1}=(\sqrt{M})^{-1} U^{0}(\sqrt{M})^{-1}$ the matrix $M^{-1} U^{0}$ has the same spectrum as the matrix $(\sqrt{M})^{-1} U^{0}(\sqrt{M})^{-1}$ and is similar to the diagonal matrix with real elements.

Our paper is organized as follows. In the second section we transform (1.1) into a standard form (Proposition 2.1) and formulate Theorem 2.1 which substantially diminish the number of variables in the transformed equation and permits to find its proper bounded at positive time solutions (Corollary 2.1). We prove Theorem 2.1 in the third section. In the fourth section we find eigenvalues of $M^{-1} U^{0}$ (Theorem 4.1 describes them) for our system of three charges proving Theorem 1.2.
2. Standard form of Newton equation and its projection. If $U^{0}$ has the zero eigenvalue, then one can transform equation (1.1) into the standard form given in the following proposition (the star in $x^{*}$ will mean the complex conjugation).

Proposition 2.1. Let $\sigma_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$, be the real eigenvalues of $M^{-1} U^{0}$ such that $\sigma_{j}=0$, $j=n_{0}+1, \ldots, n$. Then the Newton equation of equation (1.1) can be mapped by a linear invertible transformation $S$ into the following standard form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d x_{j}}{d t}=f_{j}\left(x_{(l)}\right)=\lambda_{j} x_{j}+X_{j}\left(x_{(l)}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, l_{0}, \quad t \geq 0,  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{d^{2} x_{j}}{d t^{2}}=X_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{(l)}\right), \quad j=l_{0}+1, \ldots, l, \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $l=n+n_{0}, l_{0}=2 n_{0}$,

$$
\lambda_{j}=-\sqrt{-\sigma_{j}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{0}, \quad \lambda_{j}=\sqrt{-\sigma_{j}}, \quad j=n_{0}+1, \ldots, 2 n_{0},
$$

$X_{j}, X_{j}^{* *}=X_{j}^{\prime}$ are holomorphic in the neighborhood of the origin such that in their power expansions the sum of powers of $x_{j}$ is not less than two and $X_{j+n_{0}}=-X_{j}=X_{j}^{*}, x_{j}^{*}=x_{j+n_{0}}$, if $\sigma_{j}>0$, and $X_{j}=X_{j}^{*}, x_{j}^{*}=x_{j}$, if $\sigma_{j}<0$.

Partial solutions of (2.1), (2.2) can be found with the help of the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let real $\lambda_{j}, j=1, \ldots, p<l_{0}$, be negative, real parts of $\lambda_{j}, j=p+1, \ldots, l_{0}$, be nonnegative and $X_{j}, X_{j}^{\prime}$ be the same as in Proposition 2.1. Then there exist functions $\varphi_{j}\left(x_{(p)}\right)$, $j=p+1, \ldots, l$, which are holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin and zero at it such that a partial solution of (2.1), (2.2) is given for $j=p+1, \ldots, l$ by

$$
x_{j}(t)=\varphi_{j}\left(x_{(p)}(t)\right),
$$

and $x_{j}(t)$ for $j=1, \ldots, p$, satisfy the projected evolution equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{j}}{d t}=f_{j}^{0}\left(x_{(p)}\right)=\lambda_{j} x_{j}+X_{j}^{0}\left(x_{(p)}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
X_{j}^{0}\left(x_{(p)}\right)=X_{j}\left(x_{(p)}, \varphi_{(l \backslash p)}\left(x_{(p)}\right)\right), \quad(l \backslash p)=p+1, \ldots, l
$$

are real functions and $\varphi_{j}$ have the properties of $X_{j}, X_{j}^{\prime}$ if (2.1), (2.2) corresponds to (1.1).
The solution of the projected evolution equation is obtained with the help of the well-known first global Lyapunov theorem [12, 13] a well known generalization of which is formulated in [1] (Theorem 6.2). Hence the following result is valid.

Corollary 2.1. Let the conditions of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. Then there exists a partial solution of (2.1), (2.2) depending on $p$ parameters which coincide with the initial values of the variables in (2.3). This solution is a holomorphic function in these parameters in a neighborhood of the origin and $\|x\|_{\lambda}<\infty$, where

$$
\|x\|_{\lambda}=\sup _{t \geq 0} \max _{s \in(1, \ldots, l)} e^{\lambda t}\left|x_{s}(t)\right|, \quad \lambda<\lambda_{0}=\min _{j=1, \ldots, p}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|,
$$

and determines real solutions of (1.1).
The reality of the solutions follows from the fact that they are expressed as real linear combinations of the variables $x_{j+n_{0}}^{\prime}+x_{j}^{\prime}, \sqrt{-\sigma_{j}}\left(x_{j+n_{0}}^{\prime}-x_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ which are real and $x_{j}^{\prime}$ coincides with the solution of (2.1), (2.2) corresponding to (1.1). Here one have to take into account the equality $S^{-1}=\tilde{S}^{-1}\left(S^{0}\right)^{-1}$ determined below. This corollary and Proposition 2.1 prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. We assume that the potential energy $U$ has the equilibrium at the point $x^{0}=\left(x_{j}^{0}\right), j=1, \ldots, n$, at a neighborhood of which it is holomorphic, that is

$$
\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_{j}}\right)\left(x^{0}\right)=0
$$

Then in the new variables $x_{j}-x_{j}^{0}$ the dynamic equation is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j} \frac{d^{2} x_{j}}{d t^{2}}=-\frac{\partial U^{\prime}\left(x_{(n)}\right)}{\partial x_{j}}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
U^{\prime}\left(x_{(n)}\right)=U\left(x_{1}+x_{1}^{0}, \ldots, x_{n}+x_{n}^{0}\right), \quad\left(\frac{\partial U^{\prime}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)(0)=0 .
$$

By an invertible linear transformation $\tilde{x}_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{S}_{j, k} x_{k}$ one diagonalizes $M^{-1} U^{0}$, which has eigenvalues $\sigma_{j}$, that is $\delta_{j, k} \sigma_{j}=\left(\tilde{S} M^{-1} U^{0} \tilde{S}^{-1}\right)_{j, k}$ and transforms (2.4) into (we omit tilde in variables)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x_{j}}{d t^{2}}=-\sigma_{j} x_{j}+F_{j}\left(x_{(n)}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{j}\left(x_{(n)}\right)=-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{S}_{j, k} \mu_{k}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial U^{\prime \prime}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)\left(\left(\tilde{S}^{-1} x_{(n)}\right),\right. \\
U^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{(n)}\right)=U^{\prime}\left(x_{(n)}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, l=1}^{n} U_{j, l}^{0} x_{j} x_{l} .
\end{gathered}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{j}}{d t}=v_{j}, \quad \frac{d v_{j}}{d t}=-\sigma_{j} x_{j}+F_{j}\left(x_{(n)}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by the linear two-dimensional transformation produced by the matrix $S_{j}^{0}$ the last equation is mapped into (2.1), (2.2) with $l_{0}=2 n_{0}$ and $-\lambda_{2 j-1}=\lambda_{2 j}=\sqrt{-\sigma_{j}}, j=1, \ldots, n_{0}$. The matrix $S_{j}^{0}$ diagonalizes the two dimensional matrix $A_{j}$, which determines the linear part of (2.6), with the zero diagonal elements and nondiagonal elements $A_{j ; 1,2}=1, A_{j ; 2,1}=-\sigma_{j}$. That is $S_{j}^{0} A_{j}=\hat{\sigma}_{j} S_{j}^{0}$, where $\hat{\sigma}_{j}$ is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues $-\lambda_{2 j-1}=\lambda_{2 j}=\sqrt{-\sigma_{j}}$. It is not difficult to check that

$$
S_{j ; 1,1}^{0}=S_{j ; 2,1}^{0}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad-S_{j ; 1,2}^{0}=S_{j ; 2,2}^{0}=\frac{1}{2 \kappa_{j}}, \quad \kappa_{j}=\sqrt{-\sigma_{j}} .
$$

The new variables look like

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{2 j-1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{j}-\frac{1}{\kappa_{j}} v_{j}\right), \quad x_{2 j}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{j}+\frac{1}{\kappa_{j}} v_{j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{0}, \\
x_{j}^{\prime}=x_{j-n_{0}}, \quad j=2 n_{0}+1, \ldots, n+n_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The inverse transformation is given by

$$
x_{j}=x_{2 j}^{\prime}+x_{2 j-1}^{\prime}, \quad v_{j}=\kappa_{j}\left(x_{2 j}^{\prime}-x_{2 j-1}^{\prime}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{0},
$$

which implies that the functions $X_{j}, X_{j}^{\prime}$ in (2.1), (2.2) are given by (we omit primes in variables)

$$
X_{2 j}\left(x_{\left(n+n_{0}\right)}\right)=-X_{2 j-1}\left(x_{\left(n+n_{0}\right)}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \kappa_{j}} F_{j}\left(x_{2}+x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n_{0}}+x_{2 n_{0}-1}, x_{2 n_{0}+1}, \ldots, x_{n+n_{0}}\right),
$$

where $j=1, \ldots, n_{0}$ and

$$
X_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{\left(n+n_{0}\right)}\right)=F_{j}\left(x_{2}+x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n_{0}}+x_{2 n_{0}-1}, x_{2 n_{0}+1}, \ldots, x_{n+n_{0}}\right), \quad j=2 n_{0}+1, \ldots, n+n_{0} .
$$

That is $X_{j}=-X_{j}^{*}, x_{2 j}^{*}=x_{2 j-1}$, if $\sigma_{j}>0$, and $X_{j}=X_{j}^{*}, x_{j}^{*}=x_{j}$, if $\sigma_{j}<0$. Let us use another numeration of variables: $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2 n_{0}}\right) \rightarrow\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2 n_{0}-1}, x_{2}, x_{4}, \ldots, x_{2 n_{0}}\right)$. In such a way (2.6) is mapped into (2.1), (2.2) with

$$
\lambda_{j}=-\sqrt{-\sigma_{j}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{0}, \quad \lambda_{j}=\sqrt{-\sigma_{j}}, \quad j=n_{0}+1, \ldots, 2 n_{0} .
$$

As a result $X_{j+n_{0}}=-X_{j}=X_{j}^{*}, x_{j}^{*}=x_{j+n_{0}}$, if $\sigma_{j}>0$, and $X_{j}=X_{j}^{*}, x_{j}^{*}=x_{j}$, if $\sigma_{j}<0$ and $S=S^{0} \tilde{S}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(S^{0} x\right)_{j}=x_{j}, \quad j=2 n_{0}+1, \ldots, n+n_{0}, \\
\left(\left(S^{0}\right)^{-1} x\right)_{j}=x_{j}+x_{j+n_{0}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{0}, \\
\left(\left(S^{0}\right)^{-1} x\right)_{j+n_{0}}=\sqrt{-\sigma_{j}}\left(x_{j+n_{0}}-x_{j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 2.1 is proved.
3. Proof of Theorem 2.1. To prove Theorem 2.1 we introduce at first the new variables $u_{k}$ inspired by [10]

$$
u_{j}=x_{j}-\varphi_{j}\left(x_{(p)}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, l,
$$

and

$$
u_{j}=x_{j}, \quad \varphi_{j}\left(x_{(p)}\right)=0, \quad j \leq p
$$

Here the functions $\varphi_{j}$ are given by a power expansion in $x_{1}^{n_{1}} \ldots x_{1}^{n_{p}}$ with $n_{1}+\ldots+n_{p}>1$ and coefficients $P_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}, j=p+1, \ldots, l_{0}, P_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}^{\prime}, j=l_{0}+1, \ldots, l$. They will be real if $j$ correspond to real $\lambda_{j}$. The former variables are expressed in terms of the new ones as follows:

$$
x_{j}=u_{j}+\varphi_{j}\left(u_{(p)}\right) .
$$

The new variables obey the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d u_{j}}{d t}=\lambda_{j} u_{j}+G_{j}\left(u_{(l)}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, l_{0}  \tag{3.1}\\
\frac{d^{2} u_{j}}{d t^{2}}=G_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{(l)}\right), \quad j=l_{0}+1, \ldots, l \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

If one shows that the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j}\left(u_{(p)}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=0, \quad j=p+1, \ldots, l_{0}, \quad G_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{(p)}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=0, \quad j=l_{0}+1, \ldots, l, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are true then a partial solution of (2.1), (2.2) is given by (2.3) since

$$
u_{j}=0, \quad j=p+1, \ldots, l
$$

is a partial solution of (3.1), (3.2). This will prove the theorem if $\varphi_{j}$ is a holomorphic function at the origin. Now we shall prove this character of $\varphi_{j}$. Let

$$
\varphi_{j x_{k}}=\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, \quad \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{j}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{r}} .
$$

Then

$$
G_{j}=X_{j}+\lambda_{j} \varphi_{j}-\sum_{k=1}^{p} f_{k} \varphi_{j x_{k}},
$$

$$
G_{j}^{\prime}=X_{j}^{\prime}-\sum_{r, k=1}^{p}\left(f_{k} \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}+\varphi_{j x_{k}} f_{k x_{r}}\right) f_{r}
$$

The first and second equations in (3.3) will be called the first and second structure equations. The second structure equation is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{r, k=1}^{p} x_{r} x_{k} \lambda_{r} \lambda_{k} \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}+\sum_{k=0}^{p} \varphi_{j x_{k}} \lambda_{k}^{2} x_{k}=X_{j}^{\prime}-\sum_{r, k=1}^{p}\left[X_{r}\left(X_{k}+2 \lambda_{k} x_{k}\right) \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}+\right. \\
\left.+\varphi_{j x_{k}}\left(X_{k x_{r}} X_{r}+X_{k x_{r}} x_{r} \lambda_{r}+\lambda_{k} \delta_{k, r} X_{r}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=\varphi_{k}\left(x_{(p)}\right), \quad k=p+1, \ldots, l, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\delta_{k, r}$ has the (unit) non-zero value only for $k=r$. This equation is reduced to the following recursion relation for the coefficients in the expansion of powers of variables (the sum of their powers exceeds unity):

$$
\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} n_{k} \lambda_{k}\right)^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} n_{k} \lambda_{k}^{2}\right]_{P_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}^{\prime}=\Gamma_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}^{\prime}}
$$

that is $\Gamma_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}^{\prime}$ is expressed in terms of $P_{j ; n_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ with $n_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots+n_{p}^{\prime}<n_{1}+\ldots+n_{p}$. It is easily solved since the real parts of both terms in the square brackets are not zero due to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} n_{k} \lambda_{k}\right)^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} n_{k} \lambda_{k}^{2} \geq \lambda_{-}\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} n_{k}\right)^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} n_{k}\right)\right), \quad \lambda_{-}=\min _{j} \lambda_{j}^{2} \tag{3.4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the expansion for $\varphi_{j}, j=l_{0}+1, \ldots, l$, is found. Now we have to prove its convergence with the help of the majorant technique.

We will use the majorant inequality $f \ll g$ which means that in the power expansion for $g$ the coefficients are nonnegative and exceed absolute values of the coefficients in the power expansion for $f$. Let $\varphi_{j}^{+}$be the power expansion with the coefficients $\left|P_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}^{\prime}\right|$, that is

$$
\varphi_{j} \ll \varphi_{j}^{+} .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j} \ll \frac{c_{3} X^{2}}{1-c_{1} X}=\chi, \quad X_{j}^{\prime} \ll \chi, \quad X=x_{1}+\ldots+x_{l} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the rewritten second structure equation yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{r, k=1}^{p} x_{r} x_{k} \lambda_{r} \lambda_{k} \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}^{+}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{j x_{k}}^{+} \lambda_{k}^{2} x_{k} \ll \chi+\sum_{r, k=1}^{p}\left[\chi\left(\chi+2 \lambda_{+} x_{k}\right) \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}^{+}+\right. \\
\left.+\varphi_{j x_{k}}^{+}\left(\chi_{x_{r}} \chi+\chi_{x_{r}} x_{r} \lambda_{+}+\lambda_{+} \delta_{k, r} \chi\right)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

From (3.4a) we obtain

$$
\lambda_{-}\left(\sum_{r, k=1}^{p} x_{r} x_{k} \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}^{+}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{j x_{k}}^{+} x_{k}\right) \ll \sum_{r, k=1}^{p} x_{r} x_{k} \lambda_{r} \lambda_{k} \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}^{+}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{j x_{k}}^{+} \lambda_{k}^{2} x_{k}
$$

The last two inequalities yield

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{-}\left(\sum_{r, k=1}^{p} x_{r} x_{k} \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}^{+}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{j x_{k}}^{+} x_{k}\right) \ll \chi+\sum_{r, k=1}^{p}\left[\chi\left(\chi+2 \lambda_{+} x_{k}\right) \varphi_{j x_{k} x_{r}}^{+}+\right. \\
\left.+\varphi_{j x_{k}}^{+}\left(\chi_{x_{r}} \chi+\chi_{x_{r}} x_{r} \lambda_{+}+\lambda_{+} \delta_{k, r} \chi\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

We have also

$$
\varphi_{j}^{+} \ll \varphi_{* j}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{-}\left(\sum_{r, k=1}^{p} x_{r} x_{k} \varphi_{* j x_{k} x_{r}}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{* j x_{k}} x_{k}\right)=\chi+\sum_{r, k=1}^{p}\left[\chi\left(\chi+2 \lambda_{+} x_{k}\right) \varphi_{* j x_{k} x_{r}}+\right. \\
\left.+\varphi_{* j x_{k}}\left(\chi_{x_{r}} \chi+\chi_{x_{r}} x_{r} \lambda_{+}+\lambda_{+} \delta_{k, r} \chi\right)\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we have to prove that the solutions of this majorized second structure equation is a holomorphic function. We seek the solutions of the last equation in the form

$$
\varphi_{* j}=\psi(x), \quad \varphi_{* j x_{k} x_{r}}=\psi_{x x}, \quad \varphi_{* j x_{k}}=\psi_{x}, \quad x=x_{1}+\ldots+x_{p}
$$

The right-hand side of the majorized second structure equation is given by

$$
\chi+p^{2} \chi\left(\chi \psi_{x x}+\psi_{x} \chi_{x}\right)+p \lambda_{+}\left[\left(x \chi_{x}+\chi\right) \psi_{x}+2 x \chi \psi_{x x}\right]
$$

Taking into account that

$$
\chi_{x}=\left(1+p^{\prime} \psi_{x}\right) \chi^{\prime}, \quad \chi^{\prime}(y)=\partial \chi(y)=\frac{2 c_{3} y}{1-c_{1} y}+\frac{c_{1} c_{3} y^{2}}{\left(1-c_{1} y\right)^{2}}, \quad p^{\prime}=l-p
$$

we see that the one-variable majorized second structure equation is derived from (3.6) and given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{-} x\left(x \psi_{x x}+\psi_{x}\right)= \\
=\chi+p^{2} \chi\left[\chi \psi_{x x}+\psi_{x}\left(1+p^{\prime} \psi_{x}\right) \chi^{\prime}\right]+p \lambda_{+}\left\{\left[x\left(1+p^{\prime} \psi_{x}\right) \chi^{\prime}+\chi\right] \psi_{x}+2 x \chi \psi_{x x}\right\} \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\chi, \chi^{\prime}$ depend on $x+p^{\prime} \psi$. This equation is equivalent to the recursion relation for the coefficients in the power expansion for $\psi$ (its powers exceed unity) whose coefficients are nonnegative. Let us put $x^{-1} \psi=\Psi$. The function

$$
\Phi(x)=\Psi(x)+3 x \Psi_{x}(x)+x^{2} \Psi_{x x}(x)=\psi_{x}+x \psi_{x x}
$$

has power expansion with nonnegative coefficients. Now we majorize the right-hand side of (3.7) in such a way that it should depend only on $\Phi$ and $x$. In order to do this one has to substitute $\psi_{x}+x \psi_{x x}$ instead of $\psi_{x}$ and $x \psi_{x x}$ in (3.7). For the term in the first square bracket one obtaines

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi \psi_{x x}+\psi_{x}\left(1+p^{\prime} \psi_{x}\right) \chi^{\prime} \ll & \left(\psi_{x}+x \psi_{x x}\right)\left(1+p^{\prime}\left(\psi_{x}+x \psi_{x x}\right)\right) \chi^{\prime}+\left(\psi_{x}+x \psi_{x x}\right) x^{-1} \chi= \\
& =\Phi\left[\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right) \chi^{\prime}+x^{-1} \chi\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the expression in the figure bracket is majorized by

$$
\left[x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right) \chi^{\prime}+\chi\right] \Phi+2 x \chi \Phi .
$$

The right-hand side of (3.7) contains $x$ as a multiplier since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi & =x^{2} \frac{c_{3}\left(1+p^{\prime} \Psi\right)^{2}}{1-c_{1} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Psi\right)} \ll x^{2} \frac{c_{3}\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right)^{2}}{1-c_{1} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right)} \\
\chi^{\prime} & =x \frac{2 c_{3}\left(1+p^{\prime} \Psi\right)}{1-c_{1} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Psi\right)}+x^{2} \frac{c_{1} c_{3}\left(1+p^{\prime} \Psi\right)^{2}}{\left(1-c_{1} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Psi\right)\right)^{2}} \ll \\
& \ll x \frac{2 c_{3}\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right)}{1-c_{1} x\left(1+2 p^{\prime} \Phi\right)}+x^{2} \frac{c_{1} c_{3}\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right)^{2}}{1-2 c_{1} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the fact that $\chi, \chi^{\prime}$ are proportional to $x^{2}, x$, respectively, (3.7) is majorized by the following rational equation for $\Phi_{*}$ :

$$
\Phi \ll \frac{x P(x, \Phi)}{1-3 c_{1} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right)}, \quad \Phi_{*}=\frac{x P\left(x, \Phi_{*}\right)}{1-3 c_{1} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi_{*}\right)}, \quad \Phi \ll \Phi_{*},
$$

where $P$ is a polynomial of two complex variables. Here we used the relation

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(1-x_{j}\right)^{-1} \ll\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j}\right)^{-1}
$$

The last equation can be rewritten as

$$
F\left(x, \Phi_{*}\right)=\Phi-x P^{\prime}\left(x, \Phi_{*}\right)=0,
$$

where $P^{\prime}$ is a polynomial with positive coefficients. That is $\partial_{*} F(0,0) \neq 0$, where $\partial_{*}$ is the derivative in $\Phi_{*}$. From the holomorphic implicit function theorem [13,14] it follows that $\Phi_{*}(x)$ is a holomorphic function at the origin with nonnegative coefficients in its power expansion. The same is true for $\psi$ since it is majorized by $x \Phi$. Hence the power expansion for $\varphi_{j}, j=l_{0}+1, \ldots, l$, is a holomorphic function at the origin in all the variables.

Now we have to show that the solution of the first structure equation is also a holomorphic function. This equation is given by

$$
-\lambda_{j} \varphi_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{j x_{k}} \lambda_{k} x_{k}=X_{j}-\sum_{k=1}^{p} X_{k} \varphi_{j x_{k}}
$$

with (3.4). This equation is reduced to the recursion relation

$$
\left(-\lambda_{j}+\sum_{k=0}^{p} n_{k} \lambda_{k}\right) P_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}=\Gamma_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}
$$

that is $\Gamma_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}$ is expressed in terms of $P_{j ; n_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{p}^{\prime}}$ with $n_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots+n_{p}^{\prime}<n_{1}+\ldots+n_{p}$. It is easily solved and the expansion for $\varphi_{j}, j=p+1, \ldots, l_{0}$, is found. Now we have to prove its convergence.

The inequality

$$
1<n_{1}+\ldots+n_{p} \leq c_{2}\left|-\lambda_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} n_{k} \lambda_{k}\right|,
$$

the first structure equation and (3.5) lead to

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{j x_{k}}^{+} x_{k} \ll c_{2}\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{j x_{k}}^{+}\right) \chi,
$$

and the majorized first structure equation

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{* j x_{k}} x_{k}=c_{2}\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{p} \varphi_{* j x_{k}}\right) \chi, \quad \varphi_{j}^{+} \ll \varphi_{* j},
$$

with (3.4) added, where $\varphi_{j}^{+}$is the power expansion with the coefficients $\left|P_{j ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{p}}\right|$. Taking into account the previous notation we derive the one-variable majorized first structure equation

$$
x \psi_{x}=c_{2}\left(1+\psi_{x}\right) \chi
$$

which determines the recursion relation for the coefficients of the power expansion for $\psi$. Here

$$
\chi=\frac{\left(x+p^{\prime} \psi\right)^{2}}{1-c_{1}\left(x+p^{\prime} \psi\right)} .
$$

The power expansion for $\psi$ has nonnegative coefficients. Let us put $x^{-1} \psi=\Psi$. Then

$$
\Phi(x)=\Psi(x)+x \Psi_{x}(x)=\psi_{x} .
$$

That is the power expansion for $\Phi$ has nonnegative coefficients and

$$
\Phi \ll \frac{c_{2} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right)^{3}}{1-c_{1} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi\right)}, \quad \Phi \ll \Phi_{*} .
$$

The final majorized first structure equation is given by

$$
\Phi_{*}=\frac{c_{2} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi_{*}\right)^{3}}{1-c_{1} x\left(1+p^{\prime} \Phi_{*}\right)}, \quad \Phi \ll \Phi_{*}
$$

From the holomorphic implicit function theorem it follows that $\Phi_{*}(x)$ is a holomorphic function at the origin with nonnegative coefficients in its power expansion. The same is true for $\psi$ since it is majorized by $x \Phi_{*}$. Hence the power expansion for $\varphi_{j}, j=p+1, \ldots, l_{0}$, is a holomorphic function at the origin in all the variables. It follows from the first equation in (3.3) that $\varphi_{j}$ has the same properties as $X_{j}$ described in the Proposition 2.1 if $\lambda_{j}, X_{j}, X_{j}^{\prime}$ correspond to (2.1). The reality of $X^{0}$ follows from the dependence of $X_{j}, X_{j}^{\prime}$ on $\varphi_{j}+\varphi_{j+n_{0}}, j=1, \ldots, n_{0}$, and reality of the latter since $\varphi_{j}^{*}=\varphi_{j+n_{0}}$ for a positive $\sigma_{j}$, which follows from the first equation in (3.3), and reality of both functions for a nonpositive $\sigma_{j}$.

Theorem 2.1 is proved.
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2. The simplest example of a mechanical system with an equilibrium is the $d$-dimensional system of the three point charges $e_{1}=-e_{0}, e_{2}=-e_{0}, e_{3}=\frac{e_{0}}{4}>0$ with masses $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ and the potential energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(x_{(3)}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^{3} \frac{e_{j} e_{k}}{\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right|} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{(3)} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 d}, x_{j}=\left(x_{j}^{1}, \ldots, x_{j}^{d}\right),|x|^{2}=\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(x^{d}\right)^{2}$. Its equilibrium is determined by $x_{1}^{01}=-a, x_{2}^{01}=a, x_{3}^{01}=0, x_{j}^{0 \alpha}=0, \alpha=2$. This potential energy is a holomorphic function at a neighborhood of the equilibrium. The case of equal masses of the one-dimensional three charges was considered in [1], where eigenvalues of $U^{0}$ were calculated.

Theorem 1.2 is proved with the help of Theorem 1.1 and following theorem.
Theorem 4.1. In the one-dimensional system $M^{-1} U^{0}$ has the doubly degenerate zero eigenvalue and the eigenvalue $-\left(m_{1}^{-1}+m_{2}^{-1}+4 m_{3}^{-1}\right) u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}=\frac{e_{0}^{2}}{4 a^{3}}$. In the two-dimensional and three-dimensional systems $M^{-1} U^{0}$ has the zero eigenvalue, which is four and six times degenerate, respectively, and the eigenvalues $-\left(m_{1}^{-1}+m_{2}^{-1}+4 m_{3}^{-1}\right) u^{\prime}, 2^{-1}\left(m_{1}^{-1}+m_{2}^{-1}+4 m_{3}^{-1}\right) u^{\prime}$ the latter of which is doubly degenerate in the three-dimensional system.

Proof. We find eigenvalues of $U^{0}$ at first for the one-dimensional case. In our calculations of partial derivatives of the potential energy we will use the two equalities for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, respectively,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{-k}=-k \frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{k+2}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\left(\sqrt{|x|^{2}+b^{2}}\right)^{-k}=-k \frac{x^{\alpha}}{\left(\sqrt{|x|^{2}+b^{2}}\right)^{k+2}}
$$

which gives

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right)=-e_{j} \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{3} e_{k} \frac{x_{j}-x_{k}}{\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right|^{3}}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right) & =-e_{0}^{2} \frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{3}}+e_{0} e_{3} \frac{x_{1}-x_{3}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{3}\right|^{3}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right) & =-e_{0}^{2} \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{3}}+e_{0} e_{3} \frac{x_{2}-x_{3}}{\left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|^{3}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right) & =e_{0} e_{3}\left[\frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{3}\right|^{3}}+\frac{x_{3}-x_{2}}{\left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|^{3}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right)=0$ holds for $x_{1}=x_{1}^{0}=-a, x_{2}=x_{2}^{0}=a, x_{3}^{0}=0$. This configuration is an equilibrium. This follows also from the equalities $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right)=0, j=1,2$.

The second derivatives of the potential energy are calculated as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial U\left(x_{(3)}\right)}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}}=\frac{\partial U\left(x_{(3)}\right)}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{j}}=-2 e_{j} e_{k}\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right|^{-3}, \quad k \neq j
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right)=2 e_{j} \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{3} e_{k}\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right|^{-3}
$$

Hence the second derivatives of the potential energy at the equilibrium $U_{j, k}^{0}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{1,2}^{0}=U_{2,1}^{0}=-\frac{e_{0}^{2}}{4 a^{3}}=-u^{\prime}, \quad U_{3,1}^{0}=U_{1,3}^{0}=U_{2,3}^{0}=U_{3,2}^{0}=2 u^{\prime} \\
U_{1,1}=U_{2,2}=-u^{\prime}, \quad U_{3,3}=-4 u^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

That is

$$
U^{0}=-u^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & q  \tag{4.2}\\
1 & 1 & q \\
q & q & q^{2}
\end{array}\right)=u^{\prime} U^{\prime}, \quad q=-2
$$

Let us put

$$
M_{0}^{\prime}(\lambda, q)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
k_{1}-\lambda & k_{1} & q k_{1} \\
k_{2} & k_{2}-\lambda & q k_{2} \\
q k_{3} & q k_{3} & q^{2} k_{3}-\lambda
\end{array}\right), \quad k_{j}=m_{j}^{-1}
$$

Then

$$
M^{-1} U^{0}-\lambda I=-u^{\prime} M_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{\lambda}{u^{\prime}}, q\right), \quad-\operatorname{Det}\left(M^{-1} U^{0}-\lambda I\right)=u^{\prime 3} \operatorname{Det} M_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{\lambda}{u^{\prime}}, q\right), \quad q=-2
$$

and making the expansion of the determinant in the elements of the first row of $M_{0}^{\prime}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Det} M_{0}^{\prime}(\lambda, q)= \\
=\left(k_{1}-\lambda\right)\left[\left(k_{2}-\lambda\right)\left(q^{2} k_{3}-\lambda\right)-q^{2} k_{2} k_{3}\right]- \\
-k_{1}\left[k_{2}\left(q^{2} k_{3}-\lambda\right)-q^{2} k_{2} k_{3}\right]+q k_{1}\left[q k_{2} k_{3}-q k_{3}\left(k_{2}-\lambda\right)\right]= \\
=\left(k_{1}-\lambda\right)\left[\lambda^{2}-\lambda\left(k_{2}+q^{2} k_{3}\right)\right]+\lambda k_{1} k_{2}+\lambda q^{2} k_{1} k_{3}= \\
=\lambda\left[\left(k_{1}-\lambda\right)\left(\lambda-q^{2} k_{3}-k_{2}\right)+k_{1} k_{2}+q^{2} k_{1} k_{3}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\operatorname{Det} M_{0}^{\prime}(\lambda, q)=\lambda^{2}\left(-\lambda+k_{1}+k_{2}+q^{2} k_{3}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(M^{-1} U^{0}-\lambda I\right)=-\lambda^{2}\left[\lambda+\left(m_{1}^{-1}+m_{2}^{-1}+4 m_{3}^{-1}\right) u^{\prime}\right]
$$

The last formula proves the theorem for the one-dimensional case.
Let us consider the two-dimensional case. For the first partial derivatives of the planar potential energy we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right)=-e_{0}^{2} \frac{x_{1}^{\alpha}-x_{2}^{\alpha}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{3}}+e_{0} e_{3} \frac{x_{1}^{\alpha}-x_{3}^{\alpha}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{3}\right|^{3}}, \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}^{\alpha}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right)=-e_{0}^{2} \frac{x_{2}^{\alpha}-x_{1}^{\alpha}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{3}}+e_{0} e_{3} \frac{x_{2}^{\alpha}-x_{3}^{\alpha}}{\left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|^{\alpha}}, \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}^{\alpha}} U\left(x_{(3)}\right)=e_{0} e_{3}\left[\frac{x_{3}^{\alpha}-x_{1}^{\alpha}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{3}\right|^{3}}+\frac{x_{3}^{\alpha}-x_{2}^{\alpha}}{\left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|^{3}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality is zero at the equilibrium $-x_{1}^{1}=x_{2}^{1}=a, x_{3}^{2}=x_{3}^{1}=x_{1}^{2}=x_{2}^{2}=0$. The first two give the equilibrium relation $e_{3}=\frac{e_{0}}{4}$. The second derivatives of the potential energy are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial U\left(x_{(3)}\right)}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha} \partial x_{2}^{\beta}}=\frac{\partial U\left(x_{(3)}\right)}{\partial x_{2}^{\beta} \partial x_{1}^{\alpha}}=e_{0}^{2}\left[\frac{\delta_{\alpha, \beta}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{3}}-3 \frac{\left(x_{1}^{\alpha}-x_{2}^{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\beta}-x_{2}^{\beta}\right)}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{5}}\right], \quad \alpha, \beta=1,2, \\
\frac{\partial U\left(x_{(3)}\right)}{\partial x_{k}^{\alpha} \partial x_{3}^{\beta}}=\frac{\partial U\left(x_{(3)}\right)}{\partial x_{3}^{\beta} \partial x_{k}^{\alpha}}=-e_{0} e_{3}\left[\frac{\delta_{\alpha, \beta}}{\left|x_{k}-x_{3}\right|^{3}}-3 \frac{\left(x_{k}^{\alpha}-x_{3}^{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{k}^{\beta}-x_{3}^{\beta}\right)}{\left|x_{k}-x_{3}\right|^{5}}\right], \quad k, \alpha, \beta=1,2, \\
\frac{\partial^{2} U\left(x_{(3)}\right)}{\partial x_{j}^{\beta} \partial x_{j}^{\alpha}}=e_{0}^{2}\left[-\frac{\delta_{\alpha, \beta}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{3}}+3 \frac{\left(x_{1}^{\alpha}-x_{2}^{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\beta}-x_{2}^{\beta}\right)}{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{5}}\right]+ \\
+e_{0} e_{3}\left[\frac{\delta_{\alpha, \beta}}{\left|x_{j}-x_{3}\right|^{3}}-3 \frac{\left(x_{j}^{\alpha}-x_{3}^{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{j}^{\beta}-x_{3}^{\beta}\right)}{\left|x_{j}-x_{3}\right|^{5}}\right], \quad j, \alpha, \beta=1,2, \\
\frac{\partial^{2} U\left(x_{(3)}\right)}{\partial x_{3}^{\beta} \partial x_{3}^{\alpha}}=e_{0} e_{3}\left[\frac{\delta_{\alpha, \beta}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{3}\right|^{3}}-3 \frac{\left(x_{1}^{\alpha}-x_{3}^{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\beta}-x_{3}^{\beta}\right)}{\left|x_{1}-x_{3}\right|^{5}}+\right. \\
\left.+\frac{\delta_{\alpha, \beta}}{\left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|^{3}}-3 \frac{\left(x_{2}^{\alpha}-x_{3}^{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{2}^{\beta}-x_{3}^{\beta}\right)}{\left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|^{5}}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

For the matrix of the second derivatives at the equilibrium we derive

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{1, \alpha ; 1, \beta}^{0}=U_{2, \alpha ; 2, \beta}^{0}=e_{0}^{2}\left[\delta_{\alpha, \beta}\left(-\frac{1}{(2 a)^{3}}+\frac{1}{4 a^{3}}\right)+3 \delta_{\alpha, 1} \delta_{\beta, 1}\left(\frac{1}{(2 a)^{3}}-\frac{1}{4 a^{3}}\right)\right]= \\
=\frac{e_{0}^{2}}{(2 a)^{3}} \delta_{\alpha, \beta}\left(1-3 \delta_{\alpha, 1} \delta_{\beta, 1}\right)=4^{-1} U_{3, \alpha ; 3, \beta}^{0} \\
U_{1, \alpha ; 2, \beta}^{0}=U_{2, \beta ; 1, \alpha}^{0}=\frac{e_{0}^{2}}{(2 a)^{3}} \delta_{\alpha, \beta}\left(1-3 \delta_{\alpha, 1} \delta_{\beta, 1}\right), \\
U_{k, \alpha ; 3, \beta}^{0}=U_{3, \beta ; k, \alpha}^{0}=-\frac{e_{0}^{2}}{4 a^{3}} \delta_{\alpha, \beta}\left(1-3 \delta_{\alpha, 1} \delta_{\beta, 1}\right), \quad k, \alpha, \beta=1,2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let's introduce the numeration
$(1,1)=1$,
$(2,1)=2$,
$(3,1)=3$,
$(1,2)=4$,
$(2,2)=5$,
$(3,2)=6$,

$$
m_{4}=m_{1}, \quad m_{5}=m_{2}, \quad m_{6}=m_{3},
$$

where the first and second numbers in the round brackets correspond to the lower and upper indices of variables. Then $U_{j, k}^{0}=U_{k, j}^{0}=0, j \leq 3, k \geq 4$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{1,1}^{0}=U_{2,2}^{0}=4^{-1} U_{3,3}^{0}=-2 c, \quad U_{1,2}^{0}=-2 c, \quad U_{1,3}^{0}=U_{2,3}^{0}=4 c, \quad c=\frac{u^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{e_{0}^{2}}{(2 a)^{3}} \\
U_{4,4}^{0}=U_{5,5}^{0}=4^{-1} U_{6,6}^{0}=c, \quad U_{4,5}^{0}=c, \quad U_{4,6}^{0}=U_{5,6}^{0}=-2 c
\end{gathered}
$$

This means

$$
U^{0}=2 c U^{\prime} \oplus-c U^{\prime}
$$

where $U^{\prime}$ is given by (4.2).
Let $M^{\prime \prime}=M^{\prime} \oplus M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime}$ be the $3 \times 3$ diagonal matrix with the elements $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\prime \prime-1} U^{0}-\lambda I & =-2 c M_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2 c},-2\right) \oplus c M_{0}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda}{c},-2\right) \\
\operatorname{Det}\left(M^{\prime \prime-1} U^{0}-\lambda I\right) & =-2^{3} c^{6} \operatorname{Det} M_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2 c},-2\right) \operatorname{Det} M_{0}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda}{c},-2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equality and (4.3) we derive

$$
-\operatorname{Det}\left(M^{-1} U^{0}-\lambda I\right)=\lambda^{4}\left[-\lambda+\left(m_{1}^{-1}+m_{2}^{-1}+4 m_{3}^{-1}\right) \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}\right]\left[\lambda+\left(m_{1}^{-1}+m_{2}^{-1}+4 m_{3}^{-1}\right) u^{\prime}\right]
$$

This concludes the proof for the two-dimensional case.
Let's consider the 3-dimensional case. Then all the formulas concerning partial derivatives of the potential energy of this sections will be true adding the condition $\alpha, \beta=1,2,3$. Let's use the following numeration of the variables indices:

$$
(1,3)=7, \quad(2,3)=8, \quad(3,3)=9, \quad m_{7}=m_{1}, \quad m_{8}=m_{2}, \quad m_{9}=m_{3}
$$

It is not difficult to see that $U_{j, k}^{0}=U_{k, j}^{0}=0, j \leq 6, k \geq 7$, and $U_{7,7}^{0}=c_{1}, U_{8,8}^{0}=c_{1}, U_{9,9}^{0}=4 c_{1}$, $U_{7,8}^{0}=c_{1}, U_{7,9}^{0}=U_{8,9}^{0}=-2 c_{1}$. Hence

$$
U^{0}=U^{\prime \prime} \oplus-c_{1} U^{\prime}
$$

where $U^{\prime \prime}$ coincides with the planar $U^{0}$. Moreover

$$
M=M^{\prime \prime} \oplus M^{\prime}, \quad M^{-1} U^{0}=M^{\prime \prime-1} U^{\prime \prime} \oplus-c_{1} M^{\prime-1} U^{\prime}
$$

As a result

$$
-\operatorname{Det}\left(M^{-1} U^{0}-\lambda I\right)=\lambda^{6}\left[-\lambda+\left(m_{1}^{-1}+m_{2}^{-1}+4 m_{3}^{-1}\right) \frac{u^{\prime}}{2}\right]^{2}\left[\lambda+\left(m_{1}^{-1}+m_{2}^{-1}+4 m_{3}^{-1}\right) u^{\prime}\right]
$$

Theorem 4.1 is proved.
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