UDC 512.5

P. Keef (Whitman College, USA),P. Danchev (Plovdiv Univ. "P. Hilendarski", Bulgaria)

## ON PROPERTIES OF *n*-TOTALLY PROJECTIVE ABELIAN *p*-GROUPS ПРО ВЛАСТИВОСТІ *n*-ТОТАЛЬНО ПРОЕКЦІЙНИХ АБЕЛЕВИХ *p*-ГРУП

We prove some properties of *n*-totally projective abelian *p*-groups. Under some additional conditions for the group structure, we obtain an equivalence between the notions of *n*-total projectivity and strong *n*-total projectivity. We also show that *n*-totally projective *A*-groups are isomorphic if they have isometric  $p^n$ -socles.

Доведено деякі властивості *n*-тотально проекційних абелевих *p*-груп. При деяких додаткових умовах на будову груп встановлено еквівалентність понять *n*-тотальної проективності та сильної *n*-тотальної проективності. Також показано, що *n*-тотально проективні *A*-групи ізоморфні, якщо вони мають ізометричні *p<sup>n</sup>*-цоколі.

**Introduction.** Throughout this paper, let us assume that all groups are additive p-primary groups and n is a fixed natural. Foremost, we recall some crucial notions from [7] and [8] respectively.

**Definition 1.** A group G is said to be n-simply presented if there exists a  $p^n$ -bounded subgroup P of G such that G/P is simply presented. A summand of an n-simply presented group is called n-balanced projective.

**Definition 2.** A group G is said to be strongly n-simply presented = nicely n-simply presented if there exists a nice  $p^n$ -bounded subgroup N of G such that G/N is simply presented. A summand of a strongly n-simply presented group is called strongly n-balanced projective.

Clearly, strongly n-simply presented groups are n-simply presented, while the converse fails (see, e.g., [7]).

**Definition 3.** A group G is called n-totally projective if, for all ordinals  $\lambda$ ,  $G/p^{\lambda}G$  is  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective.

**Definition 4.** A group G is called strongly n-totally projective if, for any ordinal  $\lambda$ ,  $G/p^{\lambda+n}G$  is  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective.

Apparently, strongly *n*-totally projective groups are *n*-totally projective, whereas the converse is wrong (see, for instance, [8]). Moreover, (strongly) *n*-simply presented groups are themselves (strongly) *n*-totally projective, but the converse is untrue (see, for example, [8]).

**Definition 5.** A group G is called weakly n-totally projective if, for each ordinal  $\lambda$ ,  $G/p^{\lambda}G$  is  $p^{\lambda+2n}$ -projective.

Evidently, *n*-totally projective groups are weakly *n*-totally projective with the exception of the reverse implication which is not valid.

The purpose of the present article is to explore some critical properties of *n*-totally projective groups, especially when some of the three variants of *n*-total projectivity do coincide. In fact, we show that if the group G is an A-group, then the concepts of being *n*-totally projective and strongly *n*-totally projective will be the same (Theorem 1). However, this is not the case for weakly *n*totally projective groups (Example 1). We also establish that two *n*-totally projective A-groups are isomorphic if and only if they have isometric  $p^n$ -socles, i.e., isomorphic socles whose isomorphism preserves heights as computed in the whole group (Corollary 1). Likewise, we exhibit a concrete example of a strongly *n*-totally projective group with finite first Ulm subgroup that is not  $\omega + n$ - totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective (Example 2). Finally, some assertions about (strongly) *n*-simply presented and *n*-balanced projective groups are obtained as well (Proposition 3 and Corollaries 2–4).

We note for readers' convenience that all undefined explicitly notations and the terminology are standard and follow essentially those from [2–4]. Besides, for shortness, we will denote the torsion product Tor (G, H) of the groups G and H by  $G \bigtriangledown H$ . Also, for any group G and ordinal  $\lambda$ ,  $L_{\lambda}G$  is its completion in the  $p^{\lambda}$ -topology and let  $E_{\lambda}G = (L_{\lambda}G)/G$ .

**Main results.** We begin here with the equivalence of strong n-total projectivity and n-total projectivity under the extra assumption that the full group is an A-group. Specifically, the following holds:

**Theorem 1.** Suppose G is an A-group. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

(a) *G* is *n*-totally projective;

(b) *G* is strongly *n*-totally projective;

(c) for every limit ordinal  $\lambda$  of uncountable cofinality, we have  $p^n E_{\lambda}G = \{0\}$ .

**Proof.** We first turn to a few thoughts on A-groups introduced in [4]. Let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal, and let

$$0 \to G \to H \to K \to 0 \tag{1}$$

be a  $p^{\lambda}$ -pure exact sequence with H a totally projective group of length  $\lambda$  and K a totally projective group. If  $\lambda$  has countable cofinality or  $p^{\lambda}K = \{0\}$ , then G is also totally projective. Otherwise, G is said to be a  $\lambda$ -elementary A-group. Note that  $p^{\lambda}K$  is naturally isomorphic to  $(L_{\lambda}G)/G = E_{\lambda}$  where  $L_{\lambda}G$  is the completion in the  $p^{\lambda}$ -topology. An A-group G is then defined to be the direct sum of a collection of  $\lambda$ -elementary A-groups, for various ordinals of uncountable cofinality. Note that these groups G are classified in [4] up to an isomorphism using their Ulm invariants, together with the Ulm invariants of the totally projective groups  $E_{\lambda}G$ , over all limit ordinals  $\lambda$  of uncountable cofinality.

Next, since a direct sum of groups is (strongly) *n*-totally projective if and only if each of its terms has that property, and since the functor  $E_{\lambda}G$  also respects direct sums (because  $\lambda$  has uncountable cofinality), we may assume that G is a  $\lambda$ -elementary A-group and that we possess a representing sequence as in (1). Notice that for any limit ordinal  $\beta < \lambda$ , we have a balanced-exact sequence implied via (1)

$$0 \to G/p^{\beta}G \to H/p^{\beta}H \to K/p^{\beta}K \to 0.$$

On the other hand, since K is totally projective,  $K/p^{\beta}K$  is  $p^{\beta}$ -projective, so that this sequence splits. It now follows that  $G/p^{\beta}G$  is a summand of the totally projective group  $H/p^{\beta}H$ , and hence it is  $p^{\beta}$ -projective too. Our result will therefore follow from the statement:

**Claim.** If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal of uncountable cofinality and G is a  $\lambda$ -elementary A-group, then  $G \cong G/p^{\lambda}G \cong G/p^{\lambda+n}G$  is  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective if and only if  $p^n E_{\lambda}G \cong p^{\lambda+n}K = \{0\}$ .

In order to prove that Claim, observe that (1) can actually be viewed as a  $p^{\lambda}$ -pure projective resolution of K. Compare this with the standard  $p^{\lambda}$ -pure projective resolution of K given by

$$0 \to M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K \to H_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K \to K \to 0$$

where  $M_{\lambda}$  is a  $\lambda$ -elementary S-group of length  $\lambda$  and  $H_{\lambda}$  is the Prüfer group of length  $\lambda$  (see [8]). By virtue of the Schanuel's lemma (cf. [3]), there is an isomorphism

ISSN 1027-3190. Укр. мат. журн., 2012, т. 64, № 6

$$(M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K) \oplus H \cong (H_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K) \oplus G.$$

Since H and  $H_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K$  are obviously  $p^{\lambda}$ -projective, it suffices to show that  $M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K$  is  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective if and only if  $p^{\lambda+n}K = \{0\}$ .

To this aim, suppose first that  $p^{\lambda+n}K = \{0\}$ ; so in particular, K is  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective, whence  $M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K$  is  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective (see [9]). For the converse, we see that  $H_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K$  will also be complete in the  $p^{\lambda}$ -topology. Consequently,  $E_{\lambda}(M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K) \cong E_{\lambda}G \cong p^{\lambda}K$ . Supposing  $p^{\lambda+n}K \neq \{0\}$ , we need to demonstrate that  $M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K$  is not  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective. Considering a direct summand of K, it suffices to assume that  $p^{\lambda}K$  is cyclic of order  $p^m$ , where m > n. Let M be a  $p^{\lambda}$ -high subgroup of K. It follows that M is also  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -high in K and hence it is  $p^{\lambda+n+1}$ -pure in K. In addition,  $K/M \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ , so that  $M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown (K/M) \cong M_{\lambda}$ . It would then follow that the sequence

$$0 \to M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown M \to M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K \to M_{\lambda} \to 0$$

is  $p^{\lambda+n+1}$ -pure. If  $M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K$  actually were  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective, then Lemma 2.1 (g) from [8] would imply that the sequence splits. Therefore,  $M_{\lambda}$  is isomorphic to a summand of  $M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K$ . However,  $E_{\lambda}(M_{\lambda} \bigtriangledown K) \cong p^{\lambda}K$  is reduced, whereas  $E_{\lambda}M_{\lambda} \cong \mathbf{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is divisible. This contradiction proves the entire Claim and hence the theorem.

As a consequence, we yield the following result concerning the isomorphism characterization of n-totally projective A-groups.

**Corollary 1.** Suppose G and G' are n-totally projective A-groups. Then G and G' are isomorphic if and only if  $G[p^n]$  and  $G'[p^n]$  are isometric.

**Proof.** Applying Theorem 1, G and G' are both strongly n-totally projective and both  $E_{\lambda}G, E_{\lambda}G'$ are  $p^n$ -bounded for each limit ordinal  $\lambda$  of uncountable cofinality. Since G and G' clearly possess identical Ulm invariants, we need to illustrate that for for any  $\lambda$  as above we have  $E_{\lambda}G \cong E_{\lambda}G'$ . It is readily checked that every element of  $E_{\lambda}G$  can be represented by a neat Cauchy net  $\{x_i\}_{i < \alpha}$ where each  $x_i \in G[p^n]$ . This means that  $E_{\lambda}G$  can also be described as  $L_{\lambda}(G[p^n])/(G[p^n])$ , where the numerator of this expression consists of the inverse limit of  $G[p^n]/(p^{\alpha}G)[p^n]$  over all  $\alpha < \lambda$ . Since  $G[p^n]$  and  $G'[p^n]$  are isometric, by what we have shown above it follows that  $E_{\lambda}G$  and  $E_{\lambda}G'$ are isomorphic for all  $\lambda$ . But employing [5], we can conclude that  $G \cong G'$ , as claimed.

Corollary 1 is proved.

The following example shows that Theorem 1 is not longer true for weakly *n*-totally projective groups.

*Example* 1. There exists a weakly *n*-totally projective *A*-group which is not *n*-totally projective.

**Proof.** Construct any A-group G of length  $\omega_1$  which is proper  $p^{\omega_1+2}$ -projective, that is,  $p^{\omega_1+2}$ -projective but not  $p^{\omega_1+1}$ -projective. For example, if  $M_{\omega_1}$  is an elementary S-group of length  $\omega_1$ , and  $H_{\omega_1+2}$  is the Prüfer group of length  $\omega_1 + 2$ , then  $G = H_{\omega_1+2} \bigtriangledown M_{\omega_1}$  will be such a group. Furthermore, it follows immediately that G is weakly 1-totally projective but it is not 1-totally projective as desired.

The next example shows that the class of strongly *n*-totally projective groups is not contained in the class of  $\omega + n$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups. Recall that in [1] a group G is said to be  $\omega + n$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective group if each  $p^{\omega+n}$ -bounded subgroup is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.

**Example 2.** There exists a strongly *n*-totally projective group with finite inseparable first Ulm subgroup which is not  $\omega + n$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.

**Proof.** Suppose A is a separable  $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective group whose socle A[p] is not  $\aleph_0$ -coseparable (such a group exists even in ZFC and is common to construct) and H is a countable group with  $p^{\omega}H$  being finite and  $p^{\omega+n}H \neq 0$ . Letting  $G = A \oplus H$ , then G is strongly n-totally projective. Indeed, it is pretty easy to see that  $G/p^{\lambda+n}G$  is  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective for any (limit) ordinal  $\lambda$  because both A and H are n-totally projective. Since G is neither a direct sum of countable groups nor a  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective group, if it were  $\omega + n$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective, it would be proper. However, appealing to Theorem 3.1 of [1], this cannot be happen.

Another example in this way can be found in ([6], Example 2.5).

On the other hand,  $\omega + n$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups are contained in the class of *n*-totally projective groups. In fact, by a plain combination of Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 1.2 (a<sub>1</sub>) in [6] along with [7],  $\omega + n$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups are themselves *n*-simply presented and thus they are *n*-totally projective, as asserted.

In this way the following statement is true as well. Imitating [1], recall that a group is said to be  $\omega$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective if every its separable subgroup is  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.

**Proposition 1.** Each *n*-totally projective group with countable first Ulm subgroup is  $\omega$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.

**Proof.** If G is n-totally projective, then with the aid of Definition 3 we obtain that the quotient  $G/p^{\omega}G$  will actually be  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective, and so  $\omega$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective. Since  $p^{\omega}G$  is countable and the  $\omega$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups are closed under  $\omega_1$ -bijections (see [6]), G will be  $\omega$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective, as expected.

We will be next concentrated to some characteristic properties of (strongly) *n*-totally projective groups.

## **Proposition 2.** Let $P \leq G[p]$ .

(a) If G is (strongly) n-totally projective, then G/P is (strongly) n + 1-totally projective.

(b) If G/P is (strongly) n-totally projective, then G is (strongly) n + 1-totally projective.

**Proof.** We shall prove the statement only for *n*-totally projective groups since the situation with strongly *n*-totally projective groups is quite similar.

(a) If  $\lambda$  is an ordinal and  $G_{\lambda} = G/p^{\lambda}G$ , then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to (P + p^{\lambda}G)/p^{\lambda}G \to G_{\lambda} \to G/(P + p^{\lambda}G) \to 0.$$

Since  $p((P + p^{\lambda}G)/p^{\lambda}G) = \{0\}$  and  $G_{\lambda}$  is  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective, it follows that  $H = G/(P + p^{\lambda}G)$  is  $p^{\lambda+n+1}$ -projective. However, if  $Q = (P + p^{\lambda}G)/P \subseteq A = G/P$ , then  $Q \subseteq p^{\lambda}A$ . In addition,

$$H \cong (G/P)/((P + p^{\lambda}G)/P) = A/Q$$

is  $p^{\lambda+n+1}$ -projective. Moreover, it follows also that

$$H_{\lambda} = H/p^{\lambda}H \cong A/Q/p^{\lambda}(A/Q) = A/Q/p^{\lambda}A/Q \cong A/p^{\lambda}A = A_{\lambda}$$

is  $p^{\lambda+n+1}$ -projective. Note that this implies that A is n+1-totally projective, as required.

ISSN 1027-3190. Укр. мат. журн., 2012, т. 64, № 6

(b) Suppose now that A = G/P is *n*-totally projective. If  $P' = G[p]/P \subseteq A[p]$ , then by what we have already shown above  $pG \cong G/G[p] \cong (G/P)/(G[p]/P) = A/P'$  is n + 1-totally projective. However, this easily forces by [8] that G itself is n + 1-totally projective, as claimed.

We will now establish some affirmations for n-simply presented groups and their direct summands called n-balanced projective groups. So, the next few results show that an n-balanced projective group must be pretty close to being n-simply presented, since they illustrate that the complementary summand can be chosen in special ways. Recall that a group B will be said to be a *BT*-group if it is isomorphic to a balanced subgroup of a totally projective group. It plainly follows that a *BT*-group is also an *IT*-group (i.e., one that is isomorphic to an isotype subgroup of a totally projective group).

**Proposition 3.** Suppose G is a group of length  $\lambda$ . Then the following hold:

(a) If G is n-balanced projective, then there is a BT-group X with  $p^{\lambda}X = \{0\}$  such that  $G \oplus X$  is n-simply presented.

(b) If G is strongly n-balanced projective, then there is an IT-group K with  $p^{\lambda}K = \{0\}$  such that  $G \oplus K$  is strongly n-simply presented.

**Proof.** (a) Using the notation of Theorem 1.2 from [7], we start with a balanced projective resolution

$$0 \to X \to Y \to G \to 0,$$

so that X is a BT-group. Knowing this, we can construct an n-balanced projective resolution

$$0 \to X \to Z \to G \to 0$$

of G. Since G is n-balanced projective, we can conclude that  $G \oplus X \to Z$  is n-simply presented, as required.

(b) Using the notations of Lemma 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 of [7], there is a strongly n-balanced projective resolution of G given by

$$0 \to K(G) \to H(G) \to G \to 0$$

where  $H(G) = \mathcal{K}(G[p^n])$  is strongly *n*-simply presented. Note that  $H(G)[p^n]$  is isometric to the valuated direct sum  $G[p^n] \oplus K(G)[p^n]$ . It follows that  $K(G)[p^n]$  embeds isometrically in  $H(G)/G[p^n]$ . Therefore K(G) embeds as an isotype subgroup of  $H(G)/G[p^n]$ , which is obviously totally projective.

As immediate consequences, we derive the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.** Let G be a (strongly) n-balanced projective group of countable length. Then there exists a direct sum of countable groups X of countable length such that  $G \oplus X$  is (strongly) n-simply presented.

*Proof.* Since *IT*-groups of countable length are direct sums of countable groups, we may directly apply Proposition 3.

**Corollary 3.** Let G be an n-balanced projective group. If the balanced projective dimension of G is at most 1, then there is a totally projective group X such that  $G \oplus X$  is n-simply presented. **Proof.** Again, if

$$0 \to X \to Y \to G \to 0$$

is a balanced projective resolution of G, then X will be totally projective, and  $G \oplus X$  will be n-simply presented.

**Corollary 4.** Let G and G' be strongly n-balanced projective groups. If  $G[p^n]$  is isometric to  $G'[p^n]$ , so that they have the same length  $\lambda$ , then there are IT-groups K and K' of length at most  $\lambda$  such that  $G \oplus K$  is isomorphic to  $G' \oplus K'$ .

**Proof.** An isometry  $G[p^n] \to G'[p^n]$  leads to an isomorphism  $H(G) \to H(G')$ , and thus the result follows from Proposition 3 (b).

We close the work with the following three problems:

**Problem 1.** Find an  $\omega$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective group which is not *n*-totally projective, and an *n*-totally projective group with a uncountable first Ulm subgroup that is not  $\omega$ -totally  $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.

**Problem 2**. Does it follow that *n*-simply presented *A*-groups are strongly *n*-simply presented?

**Problem 3**. Does there exist a  $p^{\omega_1+1}$ -projective N-group of length  $\omega_1$  which is not totally projective, i.e., is not a direct sum of countable groups?

- Danchev P., Keef P. An application of set theory to ω + n-totally p<sup>ω+n</sup>-projective primary abelian groups // Mediterr. J. Math. - 2011. - 8, № 4. - P. 525-542.
- 2. Fuchs L. Infinite abelian groups. New York; London: Acad. Press, 1970, 1973. Vol. 1, 2.
- 3. Griffith Ph. Infinite abelian group theory. Chicago; London: Univ. Chicago Press, 1970.
- 4. *Hill P*. On the structure of abelian *p*-groups // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1985. **288**, № 2. P. 505–525.
- Hill P., Megibben C. On direct sums of countable groups and generalizations // Stud. Abelian Groups. 1968. P. 183–206.
- 6. Keef P. On  $\omega_1$ - $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective primary abelian groups // J. Algebra Numb. Th. Acad. 2010. 1, No 1. P. 41–75.
- 7. Keef P., Danchev P. On n-simply presented primary abelian groups // Houston J. Math. 2012. 38, № 3.
- 8. Keef P., Danchev P. On m, n-balanced projective and m, n-totally projective primary abelian groups (to appear).
- 9. Nunke R. On the structure of tor II // Pacif. J. Math. 1967. 22. P. 453-464.

Received 07.10.11