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## CHARACTERIZATION OF SOME FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS BY THE SET OF ORDERS OF VANISHING ELEMENTS AND ORDER ХАРАКТЕРИЗАЦІЯ ДЕЯКИХ СКІНЧЕННИХ ПРОСТИХ ГРУП МНОЖИНОЮ ПОРЯДКІВ ЗНИКАЮЧИХ ЕЛЕМЕНТІВ ТА ПОРЯДКУ

Let $G$ be a finite group. We say that an element $g$ of $G$ is a vanishing element if there exists an irreducible complex character $\chi$ of $G$ such that $\chi(g)=0$. Ghasemabadi, Iranmanesh, Mavadatpour (2015), present the following conjecture: Let $G$ be a finite group and $M$ a finite non-Abelian simple group such that $V o(G)=V o(M)$ and $|G|=|M|$. Then $G \cong M$. We answer in affirmative this conjecture for $M={ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$, where $r=2^{n}-1 \geq 3$ and either $2^{r}+1$ or $2^{r+1}+1$ is a prime number and $M={ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$, where $r=2^{n}+1 \geq 5$ and either $\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$ or $\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4$ is prime.

Нехай $G$ - скінченна група. Елемент $g \in G \in$ зникаючим елементом, якщо існує незвідний комплексний характер $\chi \in G$ такий, що $\chi(g)=0$. Гасемабаді, Іранманеш та Мавадатпур (2015) запропонували гіпотезу: якщо $G$ скінченна група, а $M$ - скінченна неабелева проста група, для яких $\operatorname{Vo}(G)=V o(M)$ і $|G|=|M|$, то $G \cong M$. Ми доводимо цю гіпотезу для $M={ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$, де $r=2^{n}-1 \geq 3$, якщо або $2^{r}+1$, або $2^{r+1}+1$ є простим числом, і для $M={ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$, де $r=2^{n}+1 \geq 5$, якщо або $\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, або $\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4 є$ простим.

1. Introduction. Let $G$ be a finite group. It is well-known that the set of values $\operatorname{cd}(G)=\{\chi(1)$ : $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)\}$ has a strong influence on the group structure of $G$, where $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ denotes the set of irreducible complex characters of $G$. We say that an element $g$ of $G$ is a vanishing element if there exists an irreducible complex character $\chi$ of $G$ such that $\chi(g)=0$. Denote $\operatorname{Van}(G)$ the set $\{g \in G$ : $\chi(g)=0$ for some $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)\}, \operatorname{Vo}(G)$ the set $\{o(g): g \in \operatorname{Van}(G)\}$ consisting of the orders of the elements in $\operatorname{Van}(G)$.

In [16], it is shown that if $G$ is a finite group such that $\operatorname{Vo}(G)=\operatorname{Vo}\left(A_{5}\right)$, then $G \cong A_{5}$. In [17] it is proved that if $G$ is a finite group such that $\operatorname{Vo}(G)=\operatorname{Vo}\left(\operatorname{Sz}\left(2^{2 m+1}\right)\right)$, where $m \geq 1$, then $G \cong S z\left(2^{2 m+1}\right)$. But not all finite simple groups are characterizable by the set of orders of their vanishing elements. For example, $\operatorname{Vo}(\operatorname{PSL}(3,5))=\operatorname{Vo}(\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{PSL}(3,5)))$, but $\operatorname{PSL}(3,5) \not \nexists$ $\nexists \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{PSL}(3,5))$. The following conjecture is one of the important problem:

Conjecture. Let $G$ be a finite group and $M$ a finite non-Abelian simple group such that $V o(G)=V o(M)$ and $|G|=|M|$. Then $G \cong M$. The above conjecture was proved for simple groups $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, where $q \in\{5,7,8,9,17\}, \operatorname{PSL}(3,4), A_{7}, S z(8)$ and $S z(32)$. Then in [9], it is proved that sporadic simple groups, alternating groups, projective special linear groups $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$, where $p$ is an odd prime, and finite simple $K_{n}$-groups where $n \in\{3,4\}$, satisfying this conjecture. Now, we prove this conjecture for some finite simple groups as follows:

Theorem A. If $G$ is a finite group such that $\operatorname{Vo}(G)=V o\left({ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)\right)$ and $|G|=\left|{ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)\right|$, where $r=2^{n}-1 \geq 3$ and either $2^{r}+1$ or $2^{r+1}+1$ is prime, then $G \cong{ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$.

Theorem B. If $G$ is a finite group such that $V o(G)=V o\left({ }^{2} D_{r}(3)\right)$ and $|G|=\left|{ }^{2} D_{r}(3)\right|$, where $r=2^{n}+1 \geq 5$ and either $\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$ or $\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4$ is prime, then $G \cong{ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$.

Let $X$ be a finite set of positive integers. The prime graph $\Pi(X)$ is a graph whose vertices are the prime divisors of elements of $X$ divisable by $p q$. For a finite group $G$, we denote by $\omega(G)$ the set of element orders of $G$, and by $\pi(G)$ the set of prime divisors of $|G|$. The graph $\Pi(\omega(G))$ is denoted by $G K(G)$ and is called the Gruenberg - Kegel graph of $G$. We denote by $t(G)$ the number of connected components of $G K(G)$ and by $\pi_{i}(G), i=1,2, \ldots, t(G)$, the vertex set of the $i$ th connected components of $G K(G)$. If $2 \in \pi(G)$, we always assume that $2 \in \pi_{1}(G)$. The prime graph $\Pi(V o(G))$ is denoted by $\Gamma(G)$ and is called the vanishing prime graph of $G$. Obviously the vanishing prime graph of $G$ is a subgraph of Gruenberg - Kegel graph of $G$.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\pi(n)$ the set of prime divisors of integer $n$. All further notation can be found in [4], for instance.
2. Preliminaries. A 2-Frobenius group is a group $G$ which has a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$, where $K$ and $G / H$ are Frobenius groups with kernels $H$ and $K / H$, respectively. Also, we know that 2-Frobenius groups are solvable.

Definition 2.1 [18]. Let a and $n$ be integers greater than 1. Then a Zsigmondy prime of $a^{n}-1$ is a prime $l$ such that $l \mid\left(a^{n}-1\right)$ but $l \nmid\left(a^{i}-1\right)$ for $1 \leq i<n$.

Lemma 2.1 [18]. Let $a$ and $n$ be integers greater than 1. Then there exists a Zsigmondy prime of $a^{n}-1$, unless $(a, n)=(2,6)$ or $n=2$ and $a=2^{s}-1$ for some natural number $s$.

Remark 2.1. If $l$ is a Zsigmondy prime of $a^{n}-1$, then Fermat's little theorem shows that $n \mid l-1$. Put

$$
Z_{n}(a)=\left\{l: l \text { is a Zsigmondy prime of } a^{n}-1\right\} .
$$

If $r \in Z_{n}(a)$ and $r \mid a^{m}-1$, then we can see at once that $n \mid m$.
Lemma 2.2 [3]. Let $G$ be a Frobenius group of even order with kernel $K$ and complement $H$. Then $t(G)=2$, the prime graph components of $G$ are $\pi(H)$ and $\pi(K)$ and the following assertions hold:
(1) $K$ is nilpotent;
(2) $|K| \equiv 1(\bmod |H|)$.

Lemma 2.3 [3]. Let $G$ be a 2-Frobenius group. Then:
(a) $t(G)=2, \pi_{1}=\pi(G / K) \cup \pi(H)$ and $\pi_{2}=\pi(K / H)$;
(b) $G / K$ and $K / H$ are cyclic, $|G / K| \mid(|K / H|-1)$ and $G / K \leq \operatorname{Aut}(K / H)$.

Lemma 2.4 [15]. If $G$ is a finite group such that $t(G) \geq 2$, then $G$ has one of the following structures:
(a) $G$ is a Frobenius group or 2-Frobenius group;
(b) $G$ has a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that $\pi(H) \cup \pi(G / K) \subseteq \pi_{1}$ and $K / H$ is a non-Abelian simple group. In particular, $H$ is nilpotent, $G / K \lesssim \operatorname{Out}(K / H)$ and the odd order components of $G$ are the odd order components of $K / H$.

Lemma $2.5[7,8]$. (i) If $G$ is a finite non-Abelian simple group except $A_{7}$, then $G K(G)=\Gamma(G)$.
(ii) If $G$ is a solvable group, then $\Gamma(G)$ has at most 2 connected components.

Lemma 2.6 [7]. Let $G$ be a finite nonsolvable group. If $\Gamma(G)$ is disconnected. Then $G$ has a unique non-Abelian composition factor $S$, and $t(S)$ is greater than or equal to the number of connected components of $\Gamma(G)$, unless $G$ is isomorphic to $A_{7}$.

Lemma 2.7 [7]. Let $G$ be a group and $K$ a nilpotent normal subgroup of $G$. If $K \bigcap \operatorname{Van}(G) \neq$ $\neq 0$, then there exists $g \in K \bigcap \operatorname{Van}(G)$ whose order is divisable by every prime in $\pi(K)$.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of [12] (Corollary 22.26).
Lemma 2.8. If $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ vanishes on a p-element for some prime $p$, then $p \mid \chi(1)$.

Let $p$ be a prime number. A character $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ is said to be of $p$ defect zero, if $p \nmid|G| / \chi(1)$. Also, if $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ is of $p$ defect zero, then for every element $g \in G$ such that $p \mid o(g)$, we have $\chi(g)=0$ [11] (Theorem 8.17).

Lemma 2.9 [6]. The equation $p^{m}-q^{n}=1$, where $p$ and $q$ are primes and $m, n>1$ has only solution, namely, $3^{2}-2^{3}=1$.

Lemma 2.10 [6]. With the exceptions of the relations $(239)^{2}-2(13)^{4}=-1$ and $3^{5}-2(11)^{2}=1$ every solution of the equation

$$
p^{m}-2 q^{n}= \pm 1, \quad p, q \text { prime }, \quad m, n>1
$$

has exponents $m=n=2$; i.e., it comes from a unit $p-q .2^{1 / 2}$ of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(2^{1 / 2}\right)$ for which the coefficients $p$ and $q$ are primes.
3. Proofs of the main results. Proof of Theorem A. By the assumption $V o(G)=$ $=\operatorname{Vo}\left({ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)\right)$, it is obvious that $\Gamma(G)=\Gamma\left({ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)\right)$. By Lemma 2.6, we know that $\Gamma\left({ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)\right)=G K\left({ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)\right)$ has 3 connected components including an isolated vertex $p$, where $p \in\left\{2^{r}+1,2^{r+1}+1\right\}$. Also, note that

$$
|G|=2^{r(r+1)}\left(2^{r}-1\right)\left(2^{r}+1\right)\left(2^{r+1}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

Since $p \in V o\left({ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)\right)$ and $V o(G)=V o\left({ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)\right)$, so $p \in V o(G)$. Thus there exist an element $g \in G$ and irreducible character $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that $o(g)=p$ and $\chi(g)=0$. So $p \mid \chi(1)$ and since $|G|_{p}=p$, we conclude that $p \nmid|G| / \chi(1)$. Therefore, $\chi$ is a $p$-defect zero, and, hence, for every element $h \in G$ such that $p \mid o(h)$, we have $\chi(h)=0$. So, by the fact $p$ is an isolated vertex in $\Gamma(G)$, we conclude that $p$ is an isolated vertex in $G K(G)$. Hence, $t(G) \geq 2$.

Since $\Gamma(G)$ has three connected components, Lemma 2.6 implies that $G$ is not a solvable group and consequently $G$ is not a 2 -Frobenius group. We also claim that $G$ is not a Frobenius group. Suppose that $G$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $K$ and complement $H$. So $|G|=|H||K|$ and $|H|||K|-1$. Lemma 2.2 implies that $G K(G)$ has two connected components $\pi(H)$ and $\pi(K)$, and since $|H|<|K|$, it follows that $|H|=p$ and $|K|=|G| / p$. In both cases $p=2^{r}+1$ and $p=2^{r+1}+1$, one can get a contradiction by the fact that $|H|||K|-1$. Therefore $G$ is not a Frobenius group. So, by Lemma 2.4, $G$ has a normal $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that $\pi(H) \cup \pi(G / K) \subseteq \pi_{1}$ and $K / H$ is a non-Abelian simple group and $G / K \leq A u t(K / H)$. By Lemma 2.6, we have $t(K / H) \geq 3$. In both cases $p=2^{r}+1$ and $p=2^{r+1}+1$, we use the classification of finite non-Abelian simple groups with more than two Gruenberg-Kegel graph connected components to prove that $K / H$ is isomorphic to ${ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$.

Case 1. First suppose that $p=2^{r}+1$.
Step 1. $K / H$ is not an sporadic simple group.
Suppose that $K / H$ is an sporadic simple group. Then $p=2^{r}+1 \in\{5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31$, $37,41,43,47,53,59,61,67,71\}$. If $K / H \cong F i_{22}$, then $p=2^{r}+1=17,23$ or 29 . The only possibility is $r=4$, but $r=2^{n}-1 \geq 3$, which is impossible. For other sporadic simple groups one get a contradiction similarly.

Step 2. $K / H$ is not an alternating group.
Let $K / H \cong A_{p^{\prime}}$, where $p^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}-2$ are primes. If $p^{\prime}-2=p=2^{r}+1$, then $p^{\prime}=2^{r}+3$ is a prime number, which is impossible. Let $p^{\prime}=p=2^{r}+1$ and $p^{\prime}>7$. Since $p^{\prime}-7=2\left(2^{r-1}-3\right)| | K / H \mid$, we have $2^{r-1}-3| | G \mid$, which is impossible. If $p^{\prime}=7$, then $p^{\prime}=2^{r}+1$, which is impossible. For $p^{\prime}=5$, we have $2^{r}+1=5$ and hence $r=2$, but $r=2^{n}-1 \geq 3$, which is a contradiction.

Step 3. $K / H$ is not a simple group of lie type, except ${ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$.
If $K / H$ is isomorphic to ${ }^{2} A_{5}(2), E_{7}(2), E_{7}(3), A_{2}(4)$ or ${ }^{2} E_{6}(2)$, then we easily get a contradiction similar to sporadic simple groups.
a) Let $K / H \cong A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime}=2^{m}>2$. Therefore $q^{\prime}-1=p$ or $q^{\prime}+1=p$. If $q^{\prime}-1=p=$ $=2^{r}+1$, then $2^{m}-2^{r}=2$. Since $m \geq 2$ and $r \geq 3$, we get a contradiction. So $q^{\prime}+1=p=2^{r}+1$ and, hence, $m=r$ and $|K / H|=q^{\prime}\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)\left(q^{\prime}+1\right)=2^{r}\left(2^{r}-1\right)\left(2^{r}+1\right)$. On the other hand, $G / K \leq \operatorname{Out}(K / H)$, which implies that $|G / K| \mid r$. Therefore, $2^{r+1}\left(2^{r+1}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)| | H \mid$. By considering $\Gamma(G)$ we conclude that there exist $g \in G$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that $\pi(o(g)) \subseteq$ $\subseteq \pi\left(2^{r+1}+1\right)$ and $\chi(g)=0$. Since $\pi(o(g)) \subseteq \pi\left(2^{r+1}+1\right),\left(2^{r+1}+1,2^{r}+1\right)=1$ and $H \unlhd G$, we conclude that $g \in H$. Therefore, $H$ is a nilpotent normal subgroup of $G$ such that $H \bigcap \operatorname{Van}(G) \neq \phi$. Now, Lemma 2.7 implies that there exist a vanishing element whose order is divisible by all prime divisors of $|H|$. So all prime divisors of $|H|$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(G)$, which is a contradiction by Table 9 of [14].
b) Let $K / H \cong A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $3<q^{\prime} \equiv \varepsilon(\bmod 4)$ for $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. Hence $q^{\prime}=2^{r}+1=p$ or $\left(q^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right) / 2=2^{r}+1=p$. First let $\left(q^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right) / 2=2^{r}+1$. If $\varepsilon=1$, then $q^{\prime}-2^{r+1}=1$, which is a contradiction with Lemma 2.9.

If $\varepsilon=-1$, then $q^{\prime} \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$. Since $4 \mid\left(q^{\prime}+1\right)$, we can conclude that $q^{\prime}=u^{\alpha}$, where $u$ is odd prime. Thus $p \in Z_{\alpha}(u)$ and hence by Remark 2.1, $\alpha \mid p-1=2^{r}$. Therefore, $\alpha=2^{t}$, which implies that $q=u^{\alpha} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, which is a contradiction. Now let $q^{\prime}=2^{r}+1=p$. So $q^{\prime}-2^{r}=1$ and, by Lemma 2.9, $q^{\prime}=9$, which implies that $r=3$. Therefore, $|G|=2^{12} \times 3^{4} \times 5 \times 7 \times 17$, $|K / H|=2^{3} \times 3^{2} \times 5$ and $|G / K| \mid 2$. Hence, $|H|=2^{9} \times 3^{2} \times 7 \times 17$. Now, similar to the above case, we can conclude that all prime divisors of order of $H$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(G)$, which is impossible.
c) Let $K / H \cong E_{8}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. Then $p=2^{r}+1$ is an element of the set

$$
\left\{q^{\prime 8} \pm q^{\prime 7} \mp q^{\prime 5}-q^{\prime 4} \mp q^{\prime 3} \pm q^{\prime}+1, q^{\prime 8}-q^{\prime 6}+q^{\prime 4}-q^{\prime 2}+1, q^{\prime 8}-q^{\prime 4}+1\right\}
$$

So, $p=2^{r}+1<\left(q^{18}+q^{\prime 7}+q^{\prime 6}+q^{\prime 5}+q^{\prime 4}+q^{\prime 3}+q^{2}+q^{\prime}+1\right)\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)=q^{9}-1<q^{9}+1$, which implies that $2^{r}<q^{9}$ and, hence, $|K / H|>|G|$, which is impossible.
d) Let $K / H \cong S z\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime}=2^{2 m+1}>2$. If $2^{2 m+1}-1=p=2^{r}+1$, then $2^{2 m+1}-2^{r}=2$, which is impossible. If $2^{2 m+1} \pm 2^{m+1}+1=2^{r}+1$, then $2^{m+1}\left(2^{m} \pm 1\right)=2^{r}$, which is impossible.
e) Let $K / H \cong{ }^{2} F_{4}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime}=2^{2 m+1}>2$. Then $2^{2(2 m+1)} \pm 2^{3 m+2}+2^{2 m+1} \pm 2^{m+1}+1=$ $=2^{r}+1$, which implies that $2^{m+1}\left(2^{3 m+1} \pm 2^{2 m+1}+2^{m} \pm 1\right)=2^{r}$, which is a contradiction.
f) Let $K / H \cong{ }^{2} G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ for $q^{\prime}=3^{2 m+1}>3$. Therefore $3^{2 m+1} \pm 3^{m+1}+1=2^{r}+1$, and, consequently, $3^{m+1}\left(3^{m} \pm 1\right)=2^{r}$, which is impossible. If $K / H \cong G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $K / H \cong{ }^{2} B_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, one can get a contradiction similarly.
g) Let $K / H$ be isomorphic to ${ }^{2} D_{p}^{\prime}(3)$, where $p^{\prime}=2^{m}+1$. Then either $\left(3^{p^{\prime}}+1\right) / 4=2^{r}+1$ or $\left(3^{p^{\prime}-1}+1\right) / 2=2^{r}+1$. Now, if $\left(3^{p^{\prime}}+1\right) / 4=2^{r}+1$, then $3^{p^{\prime}}-3=2^{r+2}$, which is impossible. If $\left(3^{p^{\prime}-1}+1\right) / 2=2^{r}+1$, then $3^{p^{\prime}-1}-2^{r+1}=1$, which is impossible by Lemma 2.9.
h) Therefore $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r^{\prime}+1}(2)$, where $r^{\prime}=2^{m}-1 \geq 3$. Obviously $m \leq n$. Since $p \in$ $\in \pi(K / H)$, it follows that $p=2^{r}+1$ is a divisor of

$$
2^{r^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}+1\right)}\left(2^{r^{\prime}}-1\right)\left(2^{r^{\prime}}+1\right)\left(2^{r^{\prime}+1}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r^{\prime}-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

Note that $p$ is a primitive prime divisors of $2^{r}+1$. Now, if $m<n$, then $p \nmid|G|$, a contradiction. Therefore $m=n$ and, hence, $r^{\prime}=r$. Thus, $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$.

Case 2. Now suppose that $p=2^{r+1}+1$.
Step 1. $K / H$ is not an sporadic simple group.
Suppose that $K / H$ is an sporadic simple group. Then $p=2^{r+1}+1 \in\{5,7,11,13,17,19$, $23,29,31,37,41,43,47,53,59,61,67,71\}$. If $K / H \cong F i_{23}$, then $p=2^{r+1}+1=17,23$ or 29 . The only possibility is $r=3$. But $\left|F i_{23}\right| \nmid{ }^{2} D_{4}(2) \mid$, a contradiction. For other sporadic simple groups, one get a contradiction similarly.

Step 2. $K / H$ is not an alternating group.
Let $K / H \cong A_{p^{\prime}}$, where $p^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}-2$ are primes. If $p^{\prime}=2^{r+1}+1$, then $p^{\prime}-2=2^{r+1}-1$ is a prime number, which is a contradiction. If $p^{\prime}-2=2^{r+1}+1$, then $p^{\prime}=2^{r+1}+3$ is a divisor of

$$
|G|=2^{r(r+1)}\left(2^{r}-1\right)\left(2^{r}+1\right)\left(2^{r+1}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

which is impossible.
Step 3. $K / H$ is not a simple group of lie type, except ${ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$.
If $K / H$ is isomorphic to ${ }^{2} A_{5}(2), E_{7}(2), E_{7}(3), A_{2}(4)$ or ${ }^{2} E_{6}(2)$, then we easily get a contradiction similar to sporadic simple groups.
a) Let $K / H \cong A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime}=2^{m}>2$. Therefore $q^{\prime}-1=p$ or $q^{\prime}+1=p$. If $q^{\prime}-1=$ $=p=2^{r+1}+1$, then $2^{m}-2^{r+1}=2$, which is impossible. If $q^{\prime}+1=p=2^{r+1}+1$, then $m=r+1$ and $|K / H|=2^{r+1}\left(2^{r+1}-1\right)\left(2^{r+1}+1\right)$. On the other hand, $G / K \leq O u t(K / H)$, which implies that $|G / K| \mid r+1$. Therefore $2\left(2^{r}-1\right)\left(2^{r}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)| | H \mid$. By considering $\Gamma(G)$ we conclude that there exist $g \in G$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that $\pi(o(g)) \subseteq \pi\left(2^{r}+1\right)$ and $\chi(g)=0$. Since $\pi(o(g)) \subseteq \pi\left(2^{r}+1\right),\left(2^{r+1}+1,2^{r}+1\right)=1$ and $H \unlhd G$, we conclude that $g \in H$. Therefore, $H$ is a nilpotent normal subgroup of $G$ such that $H \bigcap \operatorname{Van}(G) \neq \phi$. Now, Lemma 2.7 implies that there exist a vanishing element whose order is divisible by all prime divisors of $|H|$. So all prime divisors of $|H|$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(G)$, which is a contradiction by Table 9 of [14].
b) Let $K / H \cong A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $3<q^{\prime} \equiv \varepsilon(\bmod 4)$ for $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. Hence $q^{\prime}=2^{r+1}+1=p$ or $\left(q^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right) / 2=2^{r+1}+1=p$. First let $\left(q^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right) / 2=2^{r+1}+1$. If $\varepsilon=1$, then $q^{\prime}-2^{r+2}=1$, which is a contradiction with Lemma 2.9.

If $\varepsilon=-1$, then $q^{\prime} \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$. Since $4 \mid\left(q^{\prime}+1\right)$, we can conclude that $q^{\prime}=u^{\alpha}$, where $u$ is odd prime. Thus $p \in Z_{\alpha}(u)$ and hence by Remark 2.1, $\alpha \mid p-1=2^{r+1}$. Therefore, $\alpha=2^{t}$, which implies that $q=u^{\alpha} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, which is a contradiction.

Now let $q^{\prime}=2^{r+1}+1=p$. So $q^{\prime}-2^{r+1}=1$ and, by Lemma $2.9, q^{\prime}=9$, which implies that $r=2$. Since $r=2^{n}-1 \geq 3$, we get a contradiction.
c) Let $K / H \cong E_{8}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. Then $p=2^{r+1}+1$ is an element of the set

$$
\left\{q^{\prime 8} \pm q^{\prime 7} \mp q^{\prime 5}-q^{\prime 4} \mp q^{\prime 3} \pm q^{\prime}+1, q^{\prime 8}-q^{\prime 6}+q^{\prime 4}-q^{\prime 2}+1, q^{\prime 8}-q^{\prime 4}+1\right\}
$$

So $p=2^{r+1}+1<\left(q^{\prime 8}+q^{17}+q^{6}+q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q^{\prime}+1\right)\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)=q^{9}-1<q^{9}+1$, which implies that $2^{r+1}<q^{9}$ and hence $|K / H|>|G|$, which is impossible.
d) Let $K / H \cong S z\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime}=2^{2 m+1}>2$. If $2^{2 m+1}-1=p=2^{r+1}+1$, then $2^{2 m+1}-$ $-2^{r+1}=2$, which is impossible. If $2^{2 m+1} \pm 2^{m+1}+1=2^{r+1}+1$, then $2^{m+1}\left(2^{m} \pm 1\right)=2^{r+1}$, which is impossible.
e) Let $K / H \cong{ }^{2} F_{4}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime}=2^{2 m+1}>2$. Then $2^{2(2 m+1)} \pm 2^{3 m+2}+2^{2 m+1} \pm 2^{m+1}+1=$ $=2^{r+1}+1$, which implies that $2^{m+1}\left(2^{3 m+1} \pm 2^{2 m+1}+2^{m} \pm 1\right)=2^{r+1}$, which is a contradiction.
f) Let $K / H \cong{ }^{2} G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ for $q^{\prime}=3^{2 m+1}>3$. Therefore $3^{2 m+1} \pm 3^{m+1}+1=2^{r+1}+1$, and consequently $3^{m+1}\left(3^{m} \pm 1\right)=2^{r+1}$, which is impossible. If $K / H \cong G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime} \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $K / H \cong{ }^{2} B_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, one can get a contradiction similarly.
g) Let $K / H$ be isomorphic to ${ }^{2} D_{p}^{\prime}(3)$, where $p^{\prime}=2^{m}+1$. Then either $\left(3^{p^{\prime}}+1\right) / 4=2^{r+1}+1$ or $\left(3^{p^{\prime}-1}+1\right) / 2=2^{r+1}+1$. Now, if $\left(3^{p^{\prime}}+1\right) / 4=2^{r+1}+1$, then $3^{p^{\prime}}-3=2^{r+3}$, which is impossible. If $\left(3^{p^{\prime}-1}+1\right) / 2=2^{r+1}+1$, then $3^{p^{\prime}-1}-2^{r+2}=1$, which is impossible by Lemma 2.9.
h) Therefore $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r^{\prime}+1}(2)$, where $r^{\prime}=2^{m}-1 \geq 3$. Obviously $m \leq n$. Since $p \in$ $\in \pi(K / H)$, it follows that $p=2^{r+1}+1$ is a divisor of

$$
2^{r^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}+1\right)}\left(2^{r^{\prime}}-1\right)\left(2^{r^{\prime}}+1\right)\left(2^{r^{\prime}+1}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r^{\prime}-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

Note that $p$ is a primitive prime divisors of $2^{r+1}+1$. Now, if $m<n$, then $p \nmid|G|$, a contradiction. Therefore $m=n$ and hence $r^{\prime}=r$. Thus $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$. So in both cases $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$ and since $|G|=\left|{ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)\right|$, it is obvious that $H=1$ and $G=K$, hence, $G \cong{ }^{2} D_{r+1}(2)$.

Theorem A is proved.
Proof of Theorem B. By the assumption $\operatorname{Vo}(G)=\operatorname{Vo}\left({ }^{2} D_{r}(3)\right)$, it is obvious that $\Gamma(G)=$ $=\Gamma\left({ }^{2} D_{r}(3)\right)$. By Lemma 2.6, we know that $\Gamma\left({ }^{2} D_{r}(3)\right)=G K\left({ }^{2} D_{r}(3)\right)$ has 3 connected components including an isolated vertex $p$, where $p \in\left\{\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2,\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4\right\}$. Also, note that

$$
|G|=3^{r(r-1)}\left(3^{r}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\left(3^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

Since $p \in V o\left({ }^{2} D_{r}(3)\right)$ and $V o(G)=V o\left({ }^{2} D_{r}(3)\right)$, so $p \in V o(G)$. Thus there exist an element $g \in G$ and irreducible character $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that $o(g)=p$ and $\chi(g)=0$. So $p \mid \chi(1)$ and since $|G|_{p}=p$, we conclude that $p \nmid|G| / \chi(1)$. Therefore $\chi$ is a $p$-defect zero, and hence for every element $h \in G$ such that $p \mid o(h)$, we have $\chi(h)=0$. So, by the fact $p$ is an isolated vertex in $\Gamma(G)$, we conclude that $p$ is an isolated vertex in $G K(G)$. Hence, $t(G) \geq 2$.

Since $\Gamma(G)$ has three connected components, Lemma 2.6 implies that $G$ is not a solvable group and consequently $G$ is not a 2 -Frobenius group. We also claim that $G$ is not a Frobenius group. Suppose that $G$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $K$ and complement $H$. So $|G|=|H||K|$ and $|H|||K|-1$. Lemma 2.2 implies that $G K(G)$ has two connected components $\pi(H)$ and $\pi(K)$, and since $|H|<|K|$, it follows that $|H|=p$ and $|K|=|G| / p$. In both cases $p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$ and $p=\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4$, one can get a contradiction by the fact that $|H|||K|-1$. Therefore $G$ is not a Frobenius group. So, by Lemma 2.4, $G$ has a normal $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that $\pi(H) \cup \pi(G / K) \subseteq$ $\subseteq \pi_{1}$ and $K / H$ is a non-Abelian simple group and $G / K \leq A u t(K / H)$. By Lemma 2.6, we have $t(K / H) \geq 3$. In both cases $p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$ and $p=\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4$, we use the classification of finite nonabelian simple groups with more than two Gruenberg - Kegel graph connected components to prove that $K / H$ is isomorphic to ${ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$.

Case 1. First suppose that $p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$.
Step 1. $K / H$ is not an sporadic simple group.
Suppose that $K / H$ is an sporadic simple group. Then $p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2 \in\{5,7,11,13,17,19,23$, $29,31,37,41,43,47,53,59,61,67,71\}$. If $K / H \cong F_{1}$, then $p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2=41$. The only possibility is $r=5$. But $\left.\left|F_{1}\right| \nmid\right|^{2} D_{5}(3) \mid$, which is impossible. For other sporadic simple groups one get a contradiction.

Step 2. $K / H$ is not an alternating group.
Let $K / H \cong A_{p^{\prime}}$, where $p^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}-2$ are primes. If $p^{\prime}-2=p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, then $p^{\prime}=\left(3^{r-1}+5\right) / 2$ is a prime number, which is impossible. Let $p^{\prime}=p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, then $p^{\prime}-2=\left(3^{r-1}-3\right) / 2$ is a prime number, which is a contradiction.

Step 3. $K / H$ is not a simple group of lie type, except ${ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$.
If $K / H$ is isomorphic to ${ }^{2} A_{5}(2), E_{7}(2), E_{7}(3), A_{2}(4)$ or ${ }^{2} E_{6}(2)$, then we easily get a contradiction similar to sporadic simple groups.
a) Let $K / H \cong A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime}=2^{m}>2$. therefore $q^{\prime}-1=p$ or $q^{\prime}+1=p$. If $q^{\prime}-1=$ $=p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, then $2 q^{\prime}=3^{r-1}+3$ and hence $2^{m+1}=3\left(3^{r-2}+1\right)$, which is impossible. If $q^{\prime}+1=p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, then $3^{r-1}-2^{m+1}=1$ and, by Lemma $2.10, r-1=2$. Since $r=2^{n}+1 \geq 5$, we get a contradiction.
b) Let $K / H \cong A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $3<q^{\prime} \equiv \varepsilon(\bmod 4)$ for $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. Hence $q^{\prime}=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2=p$ or $\left(q^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right) / 2=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2=p$. First let $\left(q^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right) / 2=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$. If $\varepsilon=1$, then $q^{\prime}=3^{r-1}$ and $|K / H|=3^{r-1}\left(3^{r-1}-1\right)\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$. On the other hand, $G / K \leq O u t(K / H)$, which implies that $|G / K| \mid r-1$. Therefore $3^{r}\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4| | H \mid$. By considering $\Gamma(G)$ we conclude that there exist $g \in G$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that $\pi(o(g)) \subseteq \pi\left(\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4\right)$ and $\chi(g)=0$. Since $\pi(o(g)) \subseteq$ $\subseteq \pi\left(\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4\right),\left(\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4,\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2\right)=1$ and $H \unlhd G$, we conclude that $g \in H$. Therefore $H$ is a nilpotent normal subgroup of $G$ such that $H \bigcap \operatorname{Van}(G) \neq \phi$. Now, Lemma 2.7 implies that there exist a vanishing element whose order is divisible by all prime divisors of $|H|$. So all prime divisors of $|H|$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(G)$, which is a contradiction by Table 9 of [14].

If $\varepsilon=-1$, then $q^{\prime}=3^{r-1}+2$ and $|K / H|=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right)\left(3^{r-1}+2\right)\left(3^{r-1}+3\right)$. Since $\left(3^{r-1}+2\right) \nmid$ $\dagger|G|$, we get a contradiction.

If $q^{\prime}=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2=p$, then $|K / H|=3 / 8\left(\left(3^{r-1}-1\right)\left(3^{r-1}+1\right)\left(3^{r-2}+1\right)\right)$. On the other hand, $G / K \leq \operatorname{Out}(K / H)$, which implies that $|G / K| \mid 2$. Now, similar to the above for $\varepsilon=+1$, we can get a contradiction.
c) Let $K / H \cong E_{8}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$ is an element of the set

$$
\left\{q^{\prime 8} \pm q^{\prime 7} \mp q^{\prime 5}-q^{\prime 4} \mp q^{\prime 3} \pm q^{\prime}+1, q^{\prime 8}-q^{\prime 6}+q^{\prime 4}-q^{\prime 2}+1, q^{\prime 8}-q^{\prime 4}+1\right\}
$$

So $p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2<\left(q^{8}+q^{77}+q^{\prime 6}+q^{\prime 5}+q^{4}+q^{\prime 3}+q^{2}+q^{\prime}+1\right)\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)=q^{9}-1<q^{9}+1$, which implies that $3^{r-1}<q^{10}$ and hence $|K / H|>|G|$, which is impossible.
d) Let $K / H \cong S z\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime}=2^{2 m+1}>2$. If $2^{2 m+1}-1=p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, then $2^{2 m+2}=3^{r}+3$, which is impossible.
e) Let $K / H \cong{ }^{2} F_{4}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $q^{\prime}=2^{2 m+1}>2$. Then $2^{2(2 m+1)} \pm 2^{3 m+2}+2^{2 m+1} \pm 2^{m+1}+1=$ $=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, which implies that $2^{m+1}\left(2^{3 m+1} \pm 2^{2 m+1}+2^{m} \pm 1\right)=3^{r-1}$, which is a contradiction.
f) Let $K / H \cong{ }^{2} G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ for $q^{\prime}=3^{2 m+1}>3$. Therefore $3^{2 m+1} \pm 3^{m+1}+1=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, and consequently $3^{m+1}\left(3^{m} \pm 1\right)=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, which is impossible. If and $K / H \cong{ }^{2} B_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, similarly we get a contradiction.
g) Let $K / H$ be isomorphic to ${ }^{2} D_{p^{\prime}+1}(2)$, where $p^{\prime}=2^{n}-1, n \geq 2$. Therefore $2^{p^{\prime}}+1=$ $=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$ or $2^{p^{\prime}+1}+1=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$. If $2^{p^{\prime}}+1=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, then $3^{r-1}-2^{p^{\prime}+1}=1$ and, by Lemma 2.10, $r-1=2$. Since $r=2^{n}+1 \geq 5$, we get a contradiction. For $2^{p^{\prime}+1}+1=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$, similar to the above we get a contradiction.
h) Therefore $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r^{\prime}}(3)$, where $r^{\prime}=2^{m}+1 \geq 5$. Obviously $m \leq n$. Since $p \in \pi(K / H)$, it follows that $p=\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$ is a divisor of

$$
3^{r^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)}\left(3^{r^{\prime}}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r^{\prime}-1}\left(3^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

Note that $p$ is a primitive prime divisors of $\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2$. Now, if $m<n$, then $p \nmid|G|$, a contradiction. Therefore $m=n$ and hence $r^{\prime}=r$. Thus, $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$.

Case 2. If $p=\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4$, then similar to case 1 , we can conclude that $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$ and by the fact that $|G|=\left|{ }^{2} D_{r}(3)\right|$, we have $H=1, G=K$ and $G \cong{ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$ as required.

Theorem B is proved.
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