

MAPPING PROPERTIES FOR CONVOLUTION INVOLVING HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

ВЛАСТИВОСТІ ВІДОБРАЖЕННЯ ДЛЯ ЗГОРТКИ, ЩО ВКЛЮЧАЄ ГІПЕРГЕОМЕТРИЧНІ РЯДИ

We introduce sufficient conditions of (Gaussian) hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions. In addition, we investigate several mapping properties for convolution and integral convolution involving hypergeometric functions.

Введено достатні умови того, що (гауссові) гіпергеометричні функції є підкласом аналітичних функцій. Крім того, розглянуто деякі властивості відображення для згортки та інтегральної згортки, що включають гіпергеометричні функції.

1. Introduction. Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. We note that $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathcal{A}$. Also, for $g(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ given by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{p+n} z^{p+n},$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of two power series $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by (see [4])

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} g_{p+n} z^{p+n} = (g * f)(z)$$

and the integral convolution is defined by (see [4])

$$(f \circledast g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{p+n} g_{p+n}}{p+n} z^{p+n} = (g \circledast f)(z).$$

We recall some definitions which will be used in our paper.

Definition 1.1. For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , and written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence (see [7]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Definition 1.2 [10]. For $0 \leq \alpha < p$, $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is said to be in the class of p -valent starlike of order α , denoted by $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$, if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{1.2}$$

and in the class of p -valent convex of order α , denoted by $\mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$, if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{1.3}$$

From (1.2) and (1.3) we can see that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha) \iff \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}_1^*(0)$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1(0)$, where \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} are the classes of starlike and convex functions, respectively (see Robertson [11]).

We also define for $\sigma > 0$, the classes

$$\mathcal{S}^*(p, \sigma) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) : \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| < \sigma, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(p, \sigma) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) : \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right| < \sigma, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

It is a known fact that a sufficient condition for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$ is that $\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n - \alpha) |a_n| \leq p - \alpha$. A simple extension of this result is (see [8])

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n - p + \sigma) |a_n| \leq \sigma \implies f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(p, \sigma).$$

Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(p, \sigma) \iff \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}^*(p, \sigma)$, we have a corresponding result for $\mathcal{K}(p, \sigma)$,

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} (n - p + \sigma) |a_n| \leq \sigma \implies f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(p, \sigma).$$

Definition 1.3 [1]. For $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < p$, we define $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ which consists of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} \prec \cos \lambda \left[(p - \alpha) \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \alpha \right] + ip \sin \lambda, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

According to the principle of subordination, $f(z) \in \mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if there exists function $w(z)$ satisfying $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, such that

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} = \cos \lambda \left[(p - \alpha) \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} + \alpha \right] + ip \sin \lambda, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} \left(\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right)}{B e^{i\lambda} \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - [pB e^{i\lambda} + (A - B)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda]} \right| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

For suitable choices of A , B and α , we obtain the following subclass: $\mathcal{R}^0(-\beta, \beta, p, \alpha) = \mathcal{R}(\beta, p, \alpha)$ (see [9]).

Also, we note that:

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{R}^\lambda(-\beta, \beta, p, \alpha) = \mathcal{R}^\lambda(\beta, p, \alpha) =$$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) : \left| \frac{\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p}{\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - [p - 2(p - \alpha)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda]} \right| < \beta, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1; \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \right\},$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{R}^\lambda(-1, 1, p, \alpha) = \mathcal{R}^\lambda(p, \alpha) =$$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p) : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\lambda} \left(\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - \alpha \right) \right\} > 0, \quad |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad 0 \leq \alpha < p; \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

Let ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ be the (Gaussian) hypergeometric function defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^n,$$

where $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, and

$$(\gamma)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \gamma(\gamma + 1)(\gamma + 2) \dots (\gamma + n - 1), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

We note that ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; 1)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(c - a - b) > 0$ and is related to Gamma function by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)}.$$

Also, we define the functions

$$g_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^{p+n} \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{p,\mu}(a, b; c; z) &= (1 - \mu) (z^p {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)) + \mu \frac{z}{p} (z^p {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z))' = \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \mu \frac{n}{p} \right) \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^{p+n}, \quad \mu \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Corresponding to ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$, we define $I_{a,b,c}^p : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ by

$$[I_{a,b,c}^p(f)](z) = g_p(a, b; c; z) * f(z) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1} \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt * \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^a} = \\
 &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}
 \end{aligned}$$

and $L_{p,\mu} : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 [L_{p,\mu}(f)](z) &= h_{p,\mu}(a, b; c; z) * f(z) = \\
 &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu n}{p}\right) \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $p = 1$, the operators $I_{a,b,c}$ and L_μ were introduced by Hohlov (see [5]) and Kim and Shon (see [6]), respectively.

Further, we define $\mathcal{M}_{a,b,c}^p : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mathcal{M}_{a,b,c}^p(f)](z) &= g_p(a, b; c; z) \otimes f(z) = \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1} \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt \otimes \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^a} = \\
 &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \frac{a_{p+n} z^{p+n}}{(p+n)},
 \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{N}_{p,\mu} : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mathcal{N}_{p,\mu}(f)](z) &= h_{p,\mu}(a, b; c; z) \otimes f(z) = \\
 &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu n}{p}\right) \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \frac{a_{p+n} z^{p+n}}{(p+n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $p = 1$, the operators $\mathcal{M}_{a,b,c}$ and \mathcal{N}_μ were introduced and studied by Aouf et al. (see [2]).

2. Main results. Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \alpha < p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. To establish our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([1], Theorem 4). *A sufficient condition for $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) to be in the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ is*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p+n) |a_{p+n}| \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([1], Theorem 1). *A function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if*

$$|a_{p+n}| \leq \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda}{(p+n)}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The estimate is sharp.

By using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we get the following results.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $c > |a| + |b| + 1$. Then the sufficient condition for $g_p(a, b; c; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ is that*

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \left[p + \frac{|ab|}{(c - |a| - |b| - 1)} \right] \leq p + \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda}{1 + |B|}. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. According to Lemma 2.1 and (1.4), we need only to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \left| \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} \right| \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda. \quad (2.2)$$

Since

$$|(d)_n| \leq (|d|)_n, \quad (2.3)$$

then the left-hand side of (2.2) is less than or equal to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} = T_0.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= p(1 + |B|) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} + (1 + |B|) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_{n-1}} = \\ &= p(1 + |B|) \left[\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} - 1 \right] + \\ &+ (1 + |B|) \frac{|ab|}{c} \frac{\Gamma(c + 1)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b| - 1)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} = \\ &= (1 + |B|) \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \left[p + \frac{|ab|}{(c - |a| - |b| - 1)} \right] - p(1 + |B|). \end{aligned}$$

But this last expression is bounded above by $(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda$ if (2.1) holds.

Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Remark 2.1. Putting $p = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the result of Aouf et al. [3] (Theorem 2.1).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $c > |a| + |b| + 2$. Then the sufficient condition for $h_{p,\mu}(a, b; c; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ is that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \left[p + \left(1 + \mu + \frac{\mu}{p} \right) \frac{|ab|}{(c - |a| - |b| - 1)} + \frac{\mu}{p} \frac{(|a|)_2 (|b|)_2}{(c - |a| - |b| - 2)_2} \right] \leq \\ \leq p + \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda}{1 + |B|}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. According to Lemma 2.1 and (1.5), we need only to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \left| \left(1 + \mu \frac{n}{p} \right) \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} \right| \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda. \tag{2.5}$$

The left-hand side of (2.5), by (2.3), is less than or equal to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \left(1 + \mu \frac{n}{p} \right) \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} = T_1$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= p(1 + |B|) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} + \left(1 + \mu + \frac{\mu}{p} \right) (1 + |B|) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_{n-1}} + \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu}{p} (1 + |B|) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_{n-2}} = \\ &= p(1 + |B|) \left[\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} - 1 \right] + \\ &\quad + (1 + |B|) \left(1 + \mu + \frac{\mu}{p} \right) \frac{|ab| \Gamma(c + 1)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b| - 1)}{c \Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} + \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu(1 + |B|)}{p} \frac{(|a|)_2 (|b|)_2 \Gamma(c + 2)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b| - 2)}{(c)_2 \Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} = \\ &= (1 + |B|) \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \left[p + \left(1 + \mu + \frac{\mu}{p} \right) \frac{|ab|}{(c - |a| - |b| - 1)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mu}{p} \frac{(|a|)_2 (|b|)_2}{(c - |a| - |b| - 2)_2} \right] - p(1 + |B|), \end{aligned}$$

the proof now follows by (2.5).

Theorem 2.2 is proved.

Remark 2.2. Putting $p = 1$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the result of Aouf et al. [3] (Theorem 2.2).

Theorem 2.3. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $c > |a| + |b|$. If the inequality

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{(1 + |B|)}, \tag{2.6}$$

is satisfied, then $[I_{a,b,c}^p(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ into itself.

Proof. We need to show that

$$T_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \left| \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} a_{p+n} \right| \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda. \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.3) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda (|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(p + n) (c)_n (1)_n} = \\
&= (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} = \\
&= (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \left[\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} - 1 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

the last expression is bounded above by $(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda$ if (2.6) holds.

Theorem 2.3 is proved.

Theorem 2.4. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $c > |a| + |b| + 1$. If the inequality

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \left[1 + \frac{\mu}{p} \frac{|ab|}{(c - |a| - |b| - 1)} \right] \leq 1 + \frac{1}{(1 + |B|)}, \quad (2.8)$$

holds, then $[L_{p,\mu}(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ into itself.

Proof. We need to prove that

$$T_3 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \left| \left(1 + \frac{\mu n}{p} \right) \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} a_{p+n} \right| \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda. \quad (2.9)$$

By (2.3) and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T_3 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \left(1 + \frac{\mu n}{p} \right) \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda (|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(p + n) (c)_n (1)_n} = \\
&= (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu n}{p} \right) \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} = \\
&= (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \left[\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\mu}{p} \frac{|ab|}{c} \frac{\Gamma(c + 1)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b| - 1)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} - 1 \right] = \\
&= (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{p} \frac{|ab|}{(c - |a| - |b| - 1)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the last expression is bounded above by $(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda$ if (2.8) holds.

Theorem 2.4 is proved.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $|a| \neq 1$, $|b| \neq 1$ and $c > \max\{0, |a| + |b| - 1\}$. If the inequality

$$\frac{1}{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b| + 1)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \leq 1 + \frac{(c - 1)}{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)} + \frac{1}{(1 + |B|)} \quad (2.10)$$

is true, then $[\mathcal{M}_{a,b,c}^p(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ into itself.

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$T_4 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \left| \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} \frac{a_{p+n}}{(p+n)} \right| \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda. \tag{2.11}$$

By using (2.3) and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_4 &\leq (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_{n+1}} = \\ &= (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \frac{(c - 1)}{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)} \times \\ &\times \left[\frac{\Gamma(c - 1)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b| + 1)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} - 1 - \frac{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)}{(c - 1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.5 is proved.

Theorem 2.6. Assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $|a| \neq 1$, $|b| \neq 1$ and $c > \max \{0, |a| + |b| - 1\}$. If the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \left[\frac{\mu}{p} + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \frac{(c - |a| - |b|)}{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)} \right] \leq \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{(1 + |B|)} + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \frac{(c - 1)}{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied, then $[\mathcal{N}_{p,\mu}(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ into itself.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) (p + n) \left| \left(1 + \frac{\mu n}{p}\right) \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} \frac{a_{p+n}}{(p+n)} \right| \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda. \tag{2.12}$$

The left-hand side of (2.12), is less than or equal to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + |B|) \left(1 + \frac{\mu n}{p}\right) \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda (|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(n + 1) (c)_n (1)_n} = T_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_5 &= (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu n}{p}\right) \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_{n+1}} = \\ &= (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \left[\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_{n+1}} + \frac{\mu}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} \right] = \\ &= (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \frac{(c - 1)}{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)} \cos \lambda \times \\ &\times \left[\frac{\Gamma(c - 1)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b| + 1)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} - 1 - \frac{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)}{(c - 1)} \right] + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\mu}{p} (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \left[\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} - 1 \right] = \\
 & = (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \times \\
 & \quad \times \left[\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \frac{(c - |a| - |b|)}{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)} + \frac{\mu}{p} \right] - \\
 & - (1 + |B|) (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \frac{(c - 1)}{(|a| - 1)(|b| - 1)} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By a simplification, we see that the last expression is bounded above by $(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda$ if (2.12) holds.

Theorem 2.6 is proved.

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and c be a real number. If the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{p|ab|}{c(p+1)} {}_3F_2(|a| + 1, |b| + 1, p + 1; c + 1, p + 2; 1) + \\
 & + \sigma {}_3F_2(|a|, |b|, p; c, p + 1; 1) \leq \sigma \left(1 + \frac{p}{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

holds, then $[I_{a,b,c}^p(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ to $\mathcal{S}^*(p, \sigma)$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + \sigma) \left| \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} a_{p+n} \right| \leq \sigma. \tag{2.14}$$

The left-hand side of (2.14), is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_6 & = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + \sigma) \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda}{(p + n)} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} = \\
 & = (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n + \sigma}{p + n} \right) \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} = \\
 & = \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + \sigma) \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n (p)_n}{(c)_n (p + 1)_n (1)_n} = \\
 & = \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) |ab| \cos \lambda}{c(p + 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(|a| + 1)_n (|b| + 1)_n (p + 1)_n}{(c + 1)_n (p + 2)_n (1)_n} + \\
 & + \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \sigma \cos \lambda}{p} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n (|b|)_n (p)_n}{(c)_n (p + 1)_n (1)_n} - 1 \right] = \\
 & = \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) |ab| \cos \lambda}{c(p + 1)} {}_3F_2(|a| + 1, |b| + 1, p + 1; c + 1, p + 2; 1) +
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha)\sigma \cos \lambda}{p} \left[{}_3F_2(|a|, |b|, p; c, p + 1; 1) - 1 \right],$$

the last expression is bounded above by σ if (2.13) holds.

Theorem 2.7 is proved.

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $c > |a| + |b| + 1$. If the inequality*

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \left[\frac{|ab|}{(c - |a| - |b| - 1)} + \sigma \right] \leq \sigma \left(1 + \frac{p}{(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda} \right) \tag{2.15}$$

is true, then $[I_{a,b,c}^p(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ to $\mathcal{K}(p, \sigma)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + p)(n + \sigma) \left| \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} a_{p+n} \right| \leq p\sigma. \tag{2.16}$$

The left-hand side of (2.16), is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} T_7 &= (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + \sigma) \frac{(|a|)_n(|b|)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} = \\ &= (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n(|b|)_n}{(c)_n(1)_{n-1}} + \sigma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|a|)_n(|b|)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \right] = \\ &= (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \left[\frac{|ab|}{c} \frac{\Gamma(c + 1)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b| - 1)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} + \sigma \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} - \sigma \right] = \\ &= (B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - |a| - |b|)}{\Gamma(c - |a|)\Gamma(c - |b|)} \left[\frac{|ab|}{(c - |a| - |b| - 1)} + \sigma \right] - \sigma(B - A)(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the last expression is bounded above by $p\sigma$ if (2.15) holds.

Theorem 2.8 is proved.

References

1. *Aouf M. K.* On certain subclass of analytic p -valent functions of order alpha // *Rend. Mat.* – 1988. – 7, № 8. – P. 89–104.
2. *Aouf M. K., Mostafa A. O., Zayed H. M.* Necessity and sufficiency for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions // *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.* – 2015. – 23. – P. 476–481.
3. *Aouf M. K., Mostafa A. O., Zayed H. M.* Some constraints of hypergeometric functions to belong to certain subclasses of analytic functions // *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.* – 2016. – 24. – P. 361–366.
4. *Duren P. L.* Univalent functions. – New York: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
5. *Hohlov Yu. E.* Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions // *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* – 1978. – 10. – P. 83–89.
6. *Kim J. A., Shon K. H.* Mapping properties for convolutions involving hypergeometric functions // *Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* – 2003. – 17. – P. 1083–1091.
7. *Miller S. S., Mocanu P. T.* Differential subordinations: theory and applications // *Ser. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math.* – 2000. – № 255.
8. *Owa S.* On certain classes of p -valent functions with negative coefficients // *Simon Stevin.* – 1985. – 59. – P. 385–402.
9. *Owa S.* On certain subclass of analytic functions // *Math. Japonica.* – 1984. – 29. – P. 191–198.
10. *Patil D. A., Thakare N. K.* On convex hulls and extreme points of p -valent starlike and convex classes with applications // *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N. S.).* – 1983. – 27, № 75. – P. 145–160.
11. *Robertson M. S.* On the theory of univalent functions // *Ann. Math.* – 1936. – 37. – P. 374–408.

Received 12.03.16,
after revision – 25.05.17