

UDC 517.5

K. Nagy (Inst. Math. and Comput. Sci., College of Nyíregyháza, Hungary)

APPROXIMATION BY NÖRLUND MEANS OF QUADRATICAL PARTIAL SUMS OF DOUBLE WALSH–KACZMARZ-FOURIER SERIES *

НАБЛИЖЕННЯ СЕРЕДНІМИ НОРЛУНДА КВАДРАТИЧНИХ ЧАСТКОВИХ СУМ ПОДВІЙНИХ РЯДІВ УОЛША – КАЧМАРЖА – ФУР’Є

We discuss the Nörlund means of quadratic partial sums of the Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series of a function in L^p . We investigate the rate of approximation by this means, in particular, in $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $p = \infty$, by L^p , we mean C , i.e., the collection of continuous functions.

Our main theorem states that the approximation behavior of this two-dimensional Walsh–Kaczmarz–Nörlund means is as good as the approximation behavior of the one-dimensional Walsh– and Walsh–Kaczmarz–Nörlund means.

Earlier results for one-dimensional Nörlund means of the Walsh–Fourier series was given by Móricz and Siddiqi [J. Approxim. Theory. – 1992. – **70**, № 3. – P. 375–389] and Fridli, Manchanda and Siddiqi [Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged). – 2008. – **74**. – P. 593–608], for one-dimensional Walsh–Kaczmarz–Nörlund means by the author [Georg. Math. J. – 2011. – **18**. – P. 147–162] and for two-dimensional trigonometric system by Móricz and Rhoades [J. Approxim. Theory. – 1987. – **50**. – P. 341–358].

Розглядаються середні Норлунда для квадратичних часткових сум рядів Уолша–Качмаржа–Фур’є функції з простору L^p . Вивчено швидкість наближення цими середніми, зокрема, в $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$, де $\alpha > 0$ та $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Для $p = \infty$ під L^p ми розуміємо C , тобто набір всіх неперервних функцій.

Основна теорема у цій статті стверджує, що апроксимаційна поведінка таких двовимірних середніх Уолша–Качмаржа–Норлунда так само гарна, як і апроксимаційна поведінка одновимірних середніх Уолша та Уолша–Качмаржа–Норлунда.

Раніше результати для одновимірних середніх Норлунда рядів Уолша–Фур’є були отримані Морічем та Сіддікі [J. Approxim. Theory. – 1992. – **70**, № 3. – P. 375–389] та Фрідлі, Манчандо і Сіддікі [Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged). – 2008. – **74**. – P. 593–608]. Для одновимірних середніх Уолша–Качмаржа–Норлунда відповідні результати були отримані автором [Georg. Math. J. – 2011. – **18**. – P. 147–162]. Випадок двовимірних тригонометричних систем було розглянуто Морічем і Роадсом [J. Approxim Theory. – 1987. – **50**. – P. 341–358].

1. Nörlund means. Let $\{q_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers. The Nörlund means and kernels of the Walsh–(Kaczmarz)–Fourier series are defined by

$$t_n^\alpha(f, x) := \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{n-k} S_k^\alpha(f, x), \quad L_n^\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{n-k} D_k^\alpha(x),$$

where $Q_n := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_k$, $n \geq 1$, and α is the Walsh system in the Paley or Kaczmarz enumeration. We always assume that $q_1 > 0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n = \infty.$$

In this case, the summability method generated by $\{q_k\}$ is regular (see [17]) if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_{n-1}}{Q_n} = 0.$$

* This paper supported by project TÁMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0051.

In particular case t_n^α are the Fejér means (for all k set $q_k = 1$) and t_n^α are the (C, β) -means
 $\left(q_k := A_k^\beta := \binom{\beta + k}{k} \text{ for } k \geq 1 \text{ and } \beta \neq -1, -2, \dots \right).$

In the paper [17] the rate of the approximation by Nörlund means for Walsh–Fourier series of a function in L^p (in particular, in $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) was studied. In case $p = \infty$, by L^p we mean C , the collection of the continuous functions. As special cases Móricz and Siddiqi obtained the earlier results by Yano [32], Jastrebova [14] and Skvortsov [27] on the rate of the approximation by Cesàro means. The approximation properties of the Cesàro means of negative order was studied by Goginava in 2002 [10]. In 2008 Fridli, Manchanda and Siddiqi generalized the result of Móricz and Siddiqi for homogeneous Banach spaces and dyadic Hardy spaces [3]. Recently, Tephnadze discussed some new aspect of the Nörlund means [29, 30].

The case when $q_k = 1/k$ is not discussed in the paper of Móricz and Siddiqi, in this case t_n^α are called the Nörlund logarithmic means. It was studied for Walsh system by Gát, Goginava and Tkebuchava earlier [5, 9], for unbounded Vilenkin system by Blahota and Gát [2].

The Nörlund means and kernels of cubical partial sums of the two-dimensional Walsh–(Kaczmarz)–Fourier series are defined by

$$t_n^\alpha(f, x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{n-k} S_{k,k}^\alpha(f, x^1, x^2), \quad L_n^\alpha(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_{n-k} D_k^\alpha(x^1) D_k^\alpha(x^2).$$

t_n^α is called the n th Nörlund mean of quadratical partial sums or the n th Nörlund mean of Marcinkiewicz type. The approximation behaviour of this Nörlund means of Marcinkiewicz type of Walsh–Fourier series was treated by the author [19] in 2010. We mention that the case that $q_k := 1/k$ was not included in that paper. For Walsh system this case is discussed by Gát and Goginava in [6], they investigated the uniform and L -convergence of the Nörlund logarithmic means of Marcinkiewicz type. If we choose $q_k := A_k^\beta = \binom{\beta + k}{k}$ (for $k \geq 1$ and $\beta \neq -1, -2, \dots$), then we get the (C, β) -means of Marcinkiewicz type which was discussed by Goginava [11, 13] with respect to double Walsh–Fourier series, for $\beta = 1$ we get the Marcinkiewicz means [20].

In 1948 Šneider [28] introduced the Walsh–Kaczmarz system and showed that the inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_n^\kappa(x)}{\log n} \geq C > 0$$

holds a.e. In 1974 Schipp [24] and Young [33] proved that the Walsh–Kaczmarz system is a convergence system. Skvortsov in 1981 [26] showed that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system converge uniformly to f for any continuous functions f . Gát [4] proved, for any integrable functions, that the Fejér means with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system converge almost everywhere to the function. Gát's result was generalized by Simon [25] in 2004. Recently, the approximation behavior of the Walsh–Kaczmarz–Nörlund means in L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, [18] and the rate of the approximation of the Cesàro means of negative order in L^p was discussed by the author [21, 22].

In 2003 the uniform and L -convergence of double Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series was discussed by Goginava [12]. In 2006 the almost everywhere convergence of the Walsh–Kaczmarz–Marcinkiewicz means of integrable functions was proved by the author [20] (see also [7]).

2. Walsh system. Now, we give a brief introduction to the Walsh–Fourier analysis [1, 23]. Let us denote by \mathbb{Z}_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and the topology is the discrete topology. The normalized Haar measure on \mathbb{Z}_2 is given in the way that $\mu(\{0\}) = \mu(\{1\}) = 1/2$. Let $G := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$, which is called the Walsh group. The elements of G are sequences $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with coordinates $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition (denoted by +), the normalized Haar measure (denoted by μ) is the product measure, the topology is the product topology. A base for the neighbourhoods are given by

$$I_0(x) := G, \quad I_n(x) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\}$$

for $x \in G$, $n \in \mathbb{P}$ ($\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$), they are called dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G and $I_n := I_n(0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $e_i := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$, where the i th coordinate is 1 the rest are 0.

Let L_p denote the usual Lebesgue spaces on G (with the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_p$). For the sake of brevity in notation, we agree to write L^∞ instead of C and set $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in G\}$.

For $x \in G$ we define $|x|$ by $|x| := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j 2^{-j-1}$, for $x = (x^1, x^2) \in G^2$ by $|x|^2 := |x^1|^2 + |x^2|^2$. Next, we define the modulus of continuity of a function $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, by

$$\omega_p(\delta, f) := \sup_{|t| < \delta} \|f(\cdot + t) - f(\cdot)\|_p, \quad \delta > 0.$$

We define the mixed modulus of continuity as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{1,2}^p(\delta_1, \delta_2, f) := \\ := \sup\{\|f(\cdot + x^1, \cdot + x^2) - f(\cdot + x^1, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot + x^2) + f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p : |x^1| \leq \delta_1, |x^2| \leq \delta_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$.

The Lipschitz classes in L^p for each $\alpha > 0$ are defined by

$$\text{Lip}(\alpha, p) := \{f \in L^p : \omega_p(\delta, f) = O(\delta^\alpha) \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0\}.$$

The Rademacher functions are defined as

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}, \quad x \in G, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Each natural number n can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$, $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where only a finite number of n_i 's different from zero. Let us define the order $|n|$ of $n > 0$ by $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$. The Walsh functions can be enumerated in Paley enumeration as follows, $w_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k}.$$

(Simply we say Walsh–Paley functions, Walsh–Paley system.) The Walsh functions can be given in other enumerations, the most investigated is the Kaczmarz rearrangement. The Walsh–Kaczmarz functions are defined by $\kappa_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$\kappa_n(x) := r_{|n|}(x) \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1} (r_{|n|-1-k}(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_{|n|-1-k}}.$$

The set of Walsh–Kaczmarz functions (denoted by κ) and the set of Walsh–Paley functions (denoted by w) are equal in each dyadic block. Skvortsov [26] gave a relation between the Walsh–Kaczmarz functions and the Walsh–Paley functions by the transformation $\tau_A : G \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$\tau_A(x) := (x_{A-1}, x_{A-2}, \dots, x_1, x_0, x_A, x_{A+1}, \dots)$$

for $A \in \mathbb{N}$. By the definition of τ_A , we have

$$\kappa_n(x) = r_{|n|}(x) w_{n-2^{|n|}}(\tau_{|n|}(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in G.$$

Moreover, it is showed that the transformation τ_A is measure-preserving. The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n^\alpha := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k,$$

where $\alpha_n = w_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{P}$) or κ_n ($n \in \mathbb{P}$), $D_0^\alpha := 0$. The 2^n th Dirichlet kernels have a closed form (see, e. g., [23])

$$D_{2^n}^w = D_{2^n}^\kappa = D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise } (n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The n th Fejér mean and the n th Fejér kernel of the Fourier series of a function f is defined by

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n S_k^\alpha(f; x), \quad K_n^\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n D_k^\alpha(x), \quad x \in G,$$

where $\alpha := w$ or κ and $K_0^\alpha = 0$.

On G^2 we consider the two-dimensional system as $\{\alpha_{n^1}(x^1) \times \alpha_{n^2}(x^2) : n := (n^1, n^2) \in \mathbb{N}^2\}$. The two-dimensional Fourier coefficients, the rectangular partial sums of the Fourier series and Dirichlet kernels are defined in the usual way. Let us define the n th Marcinkiewicz kernel \mathcal{K}_n^α by

$$\mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n D_k^\alpha(x^1) D_k^\alpha(x^2) \quad (x = (x^1, x^2) \in G^2, \alpha = w \text{ or } \kappa).$$

Recently, the almost everywhere convergence of the Walsh–Kaczmarz–Marcinkiewicz means of integrable functions was discussed by the author [20] and later by Gát, Goginava and the author [7].

3. The rate of the approximation. Now, we decompose the Walsh–Kaczmarz–Nörlund kernels \mathcal{L}_n^κ . The following lemma is the two-dimensional analogue of the decomposition lemmas in [17, 18].

Lemma 1. *Let $|n| = A \geq 1$, then*

$$Q_n \mathcal{L}_n^\kappa(x^1, x^2) = Q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -D_{2^A}(x^1)w_{2^A-1}(x^2) \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} (q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}) j K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^2)) - \\
& -D_{2^A}(x^2)w_{2^A-1}(x^1) \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} (q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}) j K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1)) - \\
& -q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} D_{2^A}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) K_{2^A-1}^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^2)) - \\
& -q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} D_{2^A}(x^2) w_{2^A-1}(x^1) K_{2^A-1}^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1)) + \\
& +w_{2^A-1}(x^1)w_{2^A-1}(x^2) \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} (q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}) j \mathcal{K}_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1), \tau_{A-1}(x^2)) + \\
& +q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} w_{2^A-1}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) \mathcal{K}_{2^A-1}^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1), \tau_{A-1}(x^2)) + \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-2} (q_{n-j} - q_{n-j-1}) j \mathcal{K}_j^\kappa(x^1, x^2) + \\
& +q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} (2^{A-1} - 1) \mathcal{K}_{2^A-1}^\kappa(x^1, x^2) + \\
& +Q_{n-2^A} D_{2^A}(x^1) r_A(x^2) L_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^2)) + \\
& +Q_{n-2^A} D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) L_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1)) + \\
& +Q_{n-2^A} r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. During the proof of Lemma 1 we use the following equations:

$$D_{2^A+j}^\kappa(x) = D_{2^A}(x) + r_A(x) D_j^w(\tau_A(x)), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^A - 1, \quad (2)$$

$$D_{2^A-j}^\kappa(x) = D_{2^A}(x) - w_{2^A-1}(x) D_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x)), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{A-1}. \quad (3)$$

Let $|n| = A$, then we write

$$Q_n \mathcal{L}_n^\kappa(x^1, x^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{2^A} q_{n-k} D_k^\kappa(x^1) D_k^\kappa(x^2) + \sum_{k=2^A+1}^{n-1} q_{n-k} D_k^\kappa(x^1) D_k^\kappa(x^2) =: I + II.$$

By the help of (2), we decompose II :

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-1} q_{n-2^A-j} D_{2^A+j}^\kappa(x^1) D_{2^A+j}^\kappa(x^2) = \\
&= D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-1} q_{n-2^A-j} + D_{2^A}(x^1) r_A(x^2) \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-1} q_{n-2^A-j} D_j^w(\tau_A(x^2)) +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-1} q_{n-2^A-j} D_j^w(\tau_A(x^1)) + r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) Q_{n-2^A} \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2)) = \\
& = Q_{n-2^A} D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) + D_{2^A}(x^1) r_A(x^2) Q_{n-2^A} L_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^2)) + \\
& + D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) Q_{n-2^A} L_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1)) + r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) Q_{n-2^A} \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

We write for I that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \sum_{j=0}^{2^A-1} q_{n-2^A+j} D_{2^A-j}^\kappa(x^1) D_{2^A-j}^\kappa(x^2) = \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{2^A-1} q_{n-2^A+j} D_{2^A-j}^\kappa(x^1) D_{2^A-j}^\kappa(x^2) + \sum_{j=2^{A-1}+1}^{2^A-1} q_{n-2^A+j} D_{2^A-j}^\kappa(x^1) D_{2^A-j}^\kappa(x^2) =: I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We use (3) and Abel's transformation for the term I_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) (Q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} - Q_{n-2^A}) - \\
&\quad - D_{2^A}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} (q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}) j K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^2)) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} K_{2^{A-1}}^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^2)) \right) - \\
&\quad - D_{2^A}(x^2) w_{2^A-1}(x^1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} (q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}) j K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1)) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} K_{2^{A-1}}^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1)) \right) + \\
&\quad + w_{2^A-1}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} (q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}) j \mathcal{K}_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1), \tau_{A-1}(x^2)) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \mathcal{K}_{2^{A-1}}^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1), \tau_{A-1}(x^2)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

To discuss the expression I_2 , we set $s = 2^A - j$ and use Abel's transformation:

$$I_2 = \sum_{s=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-s} D_s^\kappa(x^1) D_s^\kappa(x^2) =$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^{2^{A-1}-2} (q_{n-s} - q_{n-s-1}) s \mathcal{K}_s^\kappa(x^1, x^2) + q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} (2^{A-1} - 1) \mathcal{K}_{2^{A-1}-1}^\kappa(x^1, x^2).$$

Lemma 1 is proved.

By the help of this lemma we have our main theorem, which states that the approximation behavior of the two-dimensional Walsh–Kaczmarz–Nörlund means of Marcinkiewicz type is as good as the approximation behavior of the one-dimensional Walsh–Nörlund means. The last one was investigated by Móricz and Siddiqi [17] and recently by Fridli, Manchanda and Siddiqi [3]. Moreover, the rate of the approximation of Nörlund means of Marcinkiewicz type are close to each other for both rearrangement of the Walsh system (see also [19]).

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (with the notation $L^\infty = C$), $|n| = A \geq 1$ and $\{q_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers.*

If $\{q_k\}$ is nondecreasing, in sign \uparrow , then

$$\|\mathbf{t}_n^\kappa(f) - f\|_p \leq \frac{c}{Q_n} \sum_{l=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^l} 2^l \omega_p(2^{-l}, f) + O(\omega_p(2^{-A}, f)).$$

If $\{q_k\}$ is nonincreasing, in sign \downarrow , such that

$$\frac{n}{Q_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_k^2 = O(1), \quad (4)$$

then

$$\|\mathbf{t}_n^\kappa(f) - f\|_p \leq \frac{c}{Q_n} \sum_{l=0}^{A-1} q_{n-2^l} 2^l \omega_p(2^{-l}, f) + O(\omega_p(2^{-A}, f)).$$

To prove our theorem we need the following lemmas proved by Schipp, Móricz [16], Yano [31], Simon [25], Glukhov [8] and Gát, Goginava, Nagy [7].

Lemma 2 [16]. *If the condition (4) is satisfied, then there exists a constant C such that $\|L_n^w\|_1 \leq C$, $n \geq 1$.*

Lemma 3 [31]. *Let $n \geq 1$, then $\|K_n^w\|_1 \leq 2$.*

Lemma 4 [25]. *There is a constant C such that $\|K_n^\kappa\|_1 \leq C$, $n \geq 1$.*

Lemma 5 [8]. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be real numbers. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k D_k^w \otimes D_k^w \right\|_1 \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where c is an absolute constant.

As corollary of the lemma of Glukhov, we get that there exists a constant C such that $\|\mathcal{K}_n^w\|_1 \leq C$, $n \geq 1$, and the fact that condition (4) implies $\|\mathcal{L}_n^w\|_1 \leq C$, $n \geq 1$), where C is an absolute constant.

Lemma 6 [7]. *There exists a constant C such that*

$$\|\mathcal{K}_n^\kappa\|_1 \leq C, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Clearly, condition (4) implies the regularity of the summability method. We make the proof for $1 \leq p < \infty$, for $p = \infty$ the proof is analogous (where $L^\infty = C$), thus we omit to write it.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and set $|n| = A$. By Lemma 1 and Minkowski inequality we may write that

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_n \| \mathbf{t}_n^\kappa(f) - f \|_p \leq \\
& \leq Q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}| j \times \\
& \times \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^2)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}| j \times \\
& \times \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) w_{2^A-1}(x^1) K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) K_{2^A-1}^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^2)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) w_{2^A-1}(x^1) K_{2^A-1}^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}| j \times \\
& \times \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) w_{2^A-1}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) \mathcal{K}_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1), \tau_{A-1}(x^2)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) w_{2^A-1}(x^1) w_{2^A-1}(x^2) \mathcal{K}_{2^A-1}^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1), \tau_{A-1}(x^2)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-2} |q_{n-j} - q_{n-j-1}| j \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) \mathcal{K}_j^\kappa(x) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} (2^{A-1} - 1) \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) \mathcal{K}_{2^{A-1}-1}^\kappa(x) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + Q_{n-2^A} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^1) r_A(x^2) L_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^2)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + Q_{n-2^A} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) L_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p + \\
& + Q_{n-2^A} \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p =: \\
& =: \sum_{i=1}^{12} A_{n,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we discuss the expression $A_{n,1}$. By (1) and generalized Minkowski inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \leq \\
& \leq \int_{I_A^2} D_{2^A}(x^1) D_{2^A}(x^2) \left(\int_{G^2} |f(y+x) - f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} d\mu(x) \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we immediately have

$$A_{n,1} \leq cQ_{n-2^{A-1}+1}\omega_p(2^{-A}, f).$$

To discuss $A_{n,2}, A_{n,3}, A_{n,4}, A_{n,5}$, for any $\varepsilon \in G$, $y \in G^2$ and $A \in \mathbb{P}$ we write the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A} (f(y+x) - f(y)) r_A(x^1) d\mu(x) \right| = \left| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A} f(y+x) r_A(x^1) d\mu(x) \right| = \\
& = \left| \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} f(y+x) r_A(x^1) d\mu(x) + \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon+e_A) \times I_A} f(y+x) r_A(x^1) d\mu(x) \right| =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} f(y+x) - f(y+x+e_A^1) d\mu(x) \right| \leq \\
&\leq \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} |f(y+x) - f(y+x+e_A^1)| d\mu(x), \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

where $e_A^1 := (e_A, 0)$ (and $e_A^2 := (0, e_A)$ we will use it later). Now, for any $j \leq 2^{A-1}$ we write that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_j^{A-1} &:= \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) w_{2^{A-1}}(x^1) K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p = \\
&= \left\| \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,A-2\}}}^1 2^A \int_{I_{A-1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_{A-1}(x^1) w_{2^{A-1}-1}(x^1) K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

The function $w_{2^{A-1}-1}(x^1) K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1))$ is constant on the sets $I_{A-1}(\varepsilon)$ ($\varepsilon \in G, |j| \leq A-1$). Thus, the method of (5) and Lemma 3 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
B_j^{A-1} &= \\
&= \left\| \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,A-2\}}}^1 2^A w_{2^{A-1}-1}(\varepsilon) K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(\varepsilon)) \int_{I_{A-1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_{A-1}(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,A-2\}}}^1 2^A |K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(\varepsilon))| \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{G^2} \left| \int_{I_{A-1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} (f(y+x) - f(y)) r_{A-1}(x^1) d\mu(x) \right|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,A-2\}}}^1 2^A |K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(\varepsilon))| \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{G^2} \left(\int_{I_{A-1}(\varepsilon) \times I_A} |f(y+x) - f(y+x+e_{A-1}^1)| d\mu(x) \right)^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,A-2\}}}^1 2^A |K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(\varepsilon))| \times \\
&\times \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A} \left(\int_{G^2} |f(y+x) - f(y+x+e_{A-1}^1)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} d\mu(x) \leq \\
&\leq c \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0,1,\dots,A-2\}}}^1 2^A |K_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(\varepsilon))| \omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f) \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A} d\mu(x) \leq \\
&\leq c \omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f) \|K_j^w \circ \tau_{A-1}\|_1 \leq \\
&\leq c \omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f) \|K_j^w\|_1 \leq c \omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f). \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{n,4}, A_{n,5} &\leq q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} B_{2^{A-1}}^{A-1} \leq c q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f), \\
A_{n,2}, A_{n,3} &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}| j B_j^{A-1} \leq \\
&\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}| j \omega_p(2^{-A}, f).
\end{aligned}$$

If $q_k \uparrow$, we get that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}| j \leq 2^{A-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}} - \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-2^A+j} \leq 2^{A-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}} \tag{7}$$

and

$$A_{n,3} \leq c 2^{A-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}} \omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f),$$

while in the case when $q_k \downarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}| j &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} q_{n-2^A+j} - (2^{A-1} - 1) q_{n-2^{A-1}} \leq \\
&\leq Q_{n-2^{A-1}} - Q_{n-2^A+1} \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_{n,3} \leq c (Q_{n-2^{A-1}} - Q_{n-2^A+1}) \omega_p(f, 2^{-A}).$$

Now, we introduce the notation \tilde{B}_j^A (see inequality (6)) to discuss $A_{n,10}, A_{n,11}$. First, let $q_k \downarrow$

$$\tilde{B}_j^A := \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) L_j^w(\tau_A(x^1)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p,$$

for $|j| \leq A$. The method presented for inequality (6) (we note that $|n - 2^A| \leq A - 1$) and Lemma 2 imply

$$\tilde{B}_{n-2^A}^A \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) \|L_{n-2^A}^w \circ \tau_A\|_1 \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) \|L_{n-2^A}^w\|_1 \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f)$$

and

$$A_{n,10}, A_{n,11} \leq cQ_{n-2^A}\omega_p(2^{-A}, f).$$

Now, let $q_k \uparrow$. We use Abel's transformation for the expression $Q_{n-2^A}L_{n-2^A}^w$:

$$Q_{n-2^A}L_{n-2^A}^w = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-2} (q_{n-2^A-j} - q_{n-2^A-j-1}) j K_j^w + q_1(n-2^A-1) K_{n-2^A-1}^w,$$

and define $\tilde{\tilde{B}}_j^A$ by

$$\tilde{\tilde{B}}_j^A := \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) K_j^w(\tau_A(x^1)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p, \quad |j| \leq A.$$

The method of the discussion B_j^A (see inequality (6)), Lemma 3 and the fact that the transformation τ_A is measure-preserving [26] immediately give that

$$\tilde{\tilde{B}}_j^A \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) \|K_j^w \circ \tau_A\|_1 \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) \|K_j^w\|_1 \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n,10}, A_{n,11} &\leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-2} |q_{n-2^A-j} - q_{n-2^A-j-1}| j + q_1(n-2^A-1) \right) \leq \\ &\leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-2} q_{n-2^A-j} + q_1(n-2^A-1) \right) \leq \\ &\leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) (Q_{n-2^A} + q_1(n-2^A-1)). \end{aligned}$$

We note that $Q_n \geq (n-1)q_1$ for increasing sequence $\{q_k\}$.

Now, we discuss the terms $A_{n,8}$ and $A_{n,9}$. Let us set $j < 2^{A-1}$. We use Lemma 6 of Gát, Goginava and the author and the fact that the functions K_j^κ are constant on the sets $I_{|j|}(\varepsilon) \times I_{|j|}(\rho)$ for any $\varepsilon, \rho \in G$:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_j &:= \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) \mathcal{K}_j^\kappa(x) d\mu(x) \right\|_p = \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{l=0}^1 \int_{I_{|j|}(\varepsilon) \times I_{|j|}(\rho)} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) \mathcal{K}_j^\kappa(x) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{l=0}^1 |\mathcal{K}_j^\kappa(\varepsilon, \rho)| \times \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{G^2} \left(\int_{I_{|j|}(\varepsilon) \times I_{|j|}(\rho)} |f(y+x) - f(y)| d\mu(x) \right)^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{l=0}^1 |\mathcal{K}_j^\kappa(\varepsilon, \rho)| \int_{I_{|j|}(\varepsilon) \times I_{|j|}(\rho)} \left(\int_{G^2} |f(y+x) - f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} d\mu(x) \leq \\
&\leq c \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{l=0}^1 |\mathcal{K}_j^\kappa(\varepsilon, \rho)| \omega_p(2^{-|j|}, f) \int_{I_{|j|}(\varepsilon) \times I_{|j|}(\rho)} d\mu(x) \leq \\
&\leq c \|\mathcal{K}_j^\kappa\|_1 \omega_p(2^{-|j|}, f) \leq c \omega_p(2^{-|j|}, f).
\end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$A_{n,9} \leq q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} (2^{A-1} - 1) E_{2^{A-1}-1} \leq c q_{n-2^{A-1}+1} 2^{A-1} \omega_p(2^{-(A-2)}, f).$$

If $q_k \uparrow$, then

$$A_{n,9} \leq c q_{n-2^{A-2}} 2^{A-2} \omega_p(2^{-(A-2)}, f).$$

If $q_k \downarrow$, then

$$A_{n,9} \leq c q_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f).$$

Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{n,8} &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-2} |q_{n-j} - q_{n-j-1}| j E_j \leq \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} \sum_{l=2^j}^{2^{j+1}-1} |q_{n-l} - q_{n-l-1}| l E_l \leq \\
&\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} \omega_p(2^{-j}, f) \sum_{l=2^j}^{2^{j+1}-1} |q_{n-l} - q_{n-l-1}| l.
\end{aligned}$$

If $q_k \uparrow$, then

$$\sum_{l=2^j}^{2^{j+1}-1} |q_{n-l} - q_{n-l-1}|l \leq \sum_{l=0}^{2^j-1} q_{n-2^j-l} \leq 2^j q_{n-2^j},$$

$$A_{n,8} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} q_{n-2^j} 2^j \omega_p(2^{-j}, f).$$

If $q_k \downarrow$, then

$$\sum_{l=2^j}^{2^{j+1}-1} |q_{n-l} - q_{n-l-1}|l \leq 2^{j+1} q_{n-2^{j+1}},$$

$$A_{n,8} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{A-2} q_{n-2^{j+1}} 2^{j+1} \omega_p(2^{-j-1}, f).$$

At last, we discuss the expressions $A_{n,6}, A_{n,7}, A_{n,12}$. Now, we investigate $A_{n,12}$ and the other two term can be treated analogously. But, we will write some words about it later.

First, let $q_k \downarrow$. We note that $\mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2))$ is constant on the sets $I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)$ for any $\varepsilon, \rho \in G$. This and the generalized Minkowski inequality give

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n-2^A}^A &:= \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p = \\ &= \left\| \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) \times \right. \\ &\quad \times r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) \mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2)) d\mu(x) \left. \right\|_p \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 |\mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(\varepsilon), \tau_A(\rho))| \times \\ &\quad \times \left\| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 |\mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(\varepsilon), \tau_A(\rho))| \times \\ \times \left(\int_{G^2} \left| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)} (f(y+x) - f(y)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) d\mu(x) \right|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p}.$$

In the way of estimation (5) we easily get

$$\left| \int_{I_A(\varepsilon) \times I_A(\rho)} (f(y+x) - f(y)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) d\mu(x) \right| \leq \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_{A+1}(\rho)} \Delta_A f(x, y) d\mu(x), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Delta_A f(x, y) := |f(x+y) - f(x+y+e_A^2) - f(x+y+e_A^1) + f(x+y+e_A^1+e_A^2)|.$$

Inequality (9), condition (4) and Lemma 5 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n-2^A}^A &\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 |\mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(\varepsilon), \tau_A(\rho))| \times \\ &\times \left(\int_{G^2} \left(\int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_{A+1}(\rho)} \Delta_A f(x, y) d\mu(x) \right)^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 |\mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(\varepsilon), \tau_A(\rho))| \times \\ &\times \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_{A+1}(\rho)} \left(\int_{G^2} (\Delta_A f(x, y))^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} d\mu(x) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i=0 \\ i \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \sum_{\substack{\rho_j=0 \\ j \in \{0, \dots, A-1\}}}^1 \int_{I_{A+1}(\varepsilon) \times I_{A+1}(\rho)} |\mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w(\tau_A(\varepsilon), \tau_A(\rho))| d\mu(x) \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \leq \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w \circ (\tau_A \times \tau_A)\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \leq \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \leq c \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We note that we used that the transformation τ_A is measure-preserving [26]. From the above written

$$A_{n,12} \leq cQ_{n-2^A}\omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \leq cQ_{n-2^A}\omega_p(2^{-A}, f).$$

Now, we discuss the expression $A_{n,12}$ for sequence $q_k \uparrow$. By Abel's transformation we write

$$Q_{n-2^A}\mathcal{L}_{n-2^A}^w = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^A-2} (q_{n-2^A-j} - q_{n-2^A-j-1})j\mathcal{K}_j^w + q_1(n-2^A-1)\mathcal{K}_{n-2^A-1}^w.$$

Let us set for $|j| \leq A$

$$\tilde{F}_j^A := \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) \mathcal{K}_j^w(\tau_A(x^1), \tau_A(x^2)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p.$$

The method of the discussion of F_j^A (see inequality (10)) and Lemma 5 give immediately

$$\tilde{F}_j^A \leq c\omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \|\mathcal{K}_j^w \circ (\tau_A \times \tau_A)\|_1 \leq c\omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A}, 2^{-A}, f) \|\mathcal{K}_j^w\|_1 \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f)$$

and

$$A_{n,12} \leq c\omega_p(2^{-A}, f) (Q_{n-2^A} + q_1(n-2^A-1)).$$

(For more details see $A_{n,10}, A_{n,11}$.)

Let us define $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_j^{A-1}$ (for any $|j| \leq A-1$) by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tilde{F}}_j^{A-1} &:= \\ &:= \left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_{A-1}(x^1 + x^2) \omega_{2^{A-1}-1}(x^1 + x^2) \mathcal{K}_j^w(\tau_{A-1}(x^1), \tau_{A-1}(x^2)) d\mu(x) \right\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

The method presented for discussion F_j^A (see inequality (10)) and Lemma 5 give

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tilde{F}}_j^{A-1} &\leq c\|\mathcal{K}_j^w \circ (\tau_{A-1} \times \tau_{A-1})\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A+1}, 2^{-A+1}, f) \leq \\ &\leq c\|\mathcal{K}_j^w\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A+1}, 2^{-A+1}, f) \leq c\omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A+1}, 2^{-A+1}, f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$A_{n,7} \leq cq_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A+1}, 2^{-A+1}, f) \leq cq_{n-2^{A-1}} 2^{A-1} \omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f)$$

and

$$A_{n,6} \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{2^{A-1}-1} |q_{n-2^A+j} - q_{n-2^A+j+1}| j \omega_{1,2}^p(2^{-A+1}, 2^{-A+1}, f).$$

If $q_k \uparrow$, then by (7)

$$A_{n,6} \leq c2^{A-1} q_{n-2^{A-1}} \omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f).$$

If $q_k \downarrow$, then by (8)

$$A_{n,6} \leq c(Q_{n-2^A-1} - Q_{n-2^A+1})\omega_p(2^{-A+1}, f) \leq c(Q_{n-2^A-1} - Q_{n-2^A+1})\omega_p(2^{-A}, f).$$

Summarising our results on $A_{n,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, 12$, we complete the proof of our main theorem.

Now, we discuss the following cases:

(A) The nondecreasing $\{q_k\}$, in sign $q_k \uparrow$, satisfies the condition

$$\frac{nq_{n-1}}{Q_n} = O(1). \quad (11)$$

In particular (11) is true if

$$q_k \asymp k^\beta \text{ or } (\log k)^\beta \text{ for some } \beta > 0.$$

(B) The nonincreasing $\{q_k\}$, in sign $q_k \downarrow$, satisfies

$$(B_i) q_k \asymp k^{-\beta} \text{ for some } 0 < \beta < 1, \text{ or}$$

$$(B_{ii}) q_k \asymp (\log k)^{-\beta} \text{ for some } 0 < \beta.$$

(We note that the condition (4) is satisfied in these cases.) For more details see [17, 19].

The one-dimensional analogue of the following theorem was proven for Walsh–Paley system by Móricz and Siddiqi in [17] for Walsh–Kaczmarz system by the author [18]. We mention that as special case (set $q_k := 1$ for all k) we get Marcinkiewicz means of Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series. More generally, when $q_k := A_k^\beta := \binom{\beta+k}{k}$ for $k \geq 1$, $\beta \neq -1, -2, \dots$, we have the (C, β) mean of Marcinkiewicz type discussed by Goginava [13] with respect to the double Walsh–Paley system and by the author [22] with respect to double Walsh–Kaczmarz system.

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

Let $\{q_k : k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers such that in case $q_k \uparrow$ the condition (11) is satisfied, while in case $q_k \downarrow$ the condition (B_i) or (B_{ii}) is satisfied, then

$$\|\mathbf{t}_n^\kappa(f) - f\|_p = \begin{cases} O(n^{-\alpha}), & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ O(n^{-1} \log n), & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ O(n^{-1}), & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

First, let $q_k \uparrow$, which satisfies the condition (11). From Theorem 1 by the method of Móricz and Siddiqi [17] Theorem 2 can be proven.

Second, let $q_k \downarrow$, which satisfies the condition (B_i), that is,

$$q_k \asymp k^{-\beta} \quad \text{for some } 0 < \beta < 1, \quad \text{then} \quad Q_n \asymp n^{1-\beta}.$$

From Theorem 1 it follows that

$$\|\mathbf{t}_n^\kappa(f) - f\|_p \leq \frac{c}{Q_n} \sum_{l=0}^{|n|-1} q_{n-2^l} 2^l 2^{-l\alpha} + O(2^{-|n|\alpha}).$$

For $0 \leq l \leq |n| - 1$ we have $2^{|n|-1} \leq n - 2^l$ and $q_{n-2^l} \leq c2^{-\beta(|n|-1)}$. Thus,

$$\|\mathbf{t}_n^\kappa(f) - f\|_p \leq \frac{c}{n^{1-\beta}} \sum_{l=0}^{|n|-1} 2^{-\beta|n|} 2^{l(1-\alpha)} + O(2^{-|n|\alpha}) \leq \frac{c}{n} \sum_{l=0}^{|n|-1} 2^{l(1-\alpha)} + O(2^{-|n|\alpha}) =$$

$$= \begin{cases} O\left(\frac{2^{|n|(1-\alpha)}}{n}\right), & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ O\left(\frac{|n|}{n}\right), & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let the condition (B_{ii}) be satisfied, that is,

$$q_k \asymp (\log k)^{-\beta} \text{ for some } 0 < \beta, \text{ then } Q_n \asymp n(\log n)^{-\beta}.$$

From now the proof goes along the same lines as that of case (B_i).

References

1. Agaev G. H., Vilenkin N. Ja., Dzhafarli G. M., Rubinstein A. I. Multiplicative systems of functions and harmonic analysis on 0-dimensional groups (in Russian). – Baku: ELM, 1981.
2. Blahota I., Gát G. Norm summability of Nörlund logarithmic means on unbounded Vilenkin groups // Anal. Theory Appl. – 2008. – **24**, № 1. – P. 1–17.
3. Fridli S., Manchanda P., Siddiqi A. H. Approximation by Walsh–Nörlund means // Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged). – 2008. – **74**. – P. 593–608.
4. Gát G. On (C, 1) summability of integrable functions with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system // Stud. Math. – 1998. – **130**, № 2. – P. 135–148.
5. Gát G., Goginava U. Uniform and L-convergence of logarithmic means of Walsh–Fourier series // Acta Math. Sinica. Eng. Ser. – 2006. – **22**, № 2. – P. 497–506.
6. Gát G., Goginava U. Uniform and L-convergence of logarithmic means of cubical partial sums of double Walsh–Fourier series // E. J. Approxim. – 2004. – **10**, № 3. – P. 391–412.
7. Gát G., Goginava U., Nagy K. Marcinkiewicz–Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system // Stud. sci. math. hung. – 2009. – **46**, № 3. – P. 399–421.
8. Glukhov V. A. On the summability of multiple Fourier series with respect to multiplicative systems (in Russian) // Mat. Zametki. – 1986. – **39**. – P. 665–673.
9. Goginava U., Tkebuchava G. Convergence of subsequences of partial sums and logarithmic means of Walsh–Fourier series // Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged). – 2006. – **72**. – P. 159–177.
10. Goginava U. On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh–Fourier series // J. Approxim. Theory. – 2002. – **115**. – P. 9–20.
11. Goginava U. Almost everywhere convergence of (C, α)-means of cubical partial sums of d-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series // J. Approxim. Theory. – 2006. – **141**, № 1. – P. 8–28.
12. Goginava U. On the uniform convergence and L-convergence of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system // Georg. Math. J. – 2003. – **10**. – P. 223–235.
13. Goginava U. Approximation properties of (C, α) means of double Walsh–Fourier series // Anal. Theory Appl. – 2004. – **20**, № 1. – P. 77–98.
14. Jastrebova M. A. On approximation of functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition by arithmetic means of their Walsh–Fourier series (in Russian) // Math. Sb. – 1966. – **71**. – P. 214–226.
15. Móricz F., Rhoades B. E. Approximation by Nörlund means of double Fourier series for Lipschitz functions // J. Approxim. Theory. – 1987. – **50**. – P. 341–358.
16. Móricz F., Schipp F. On the integrability and L¹ convergence of Walsh series with coefficients of bounded variation // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 1990. – **146**, № 1. – P. 99–109.
17. Móricz F., Siddiqi A. Approximation by Nörlund means of Walsh–Fourier series // J. Approxim. Theory. – 1992. – **70**, № 3. – P. 375–389.
18. Nagy K. Approximation by Nörlund means of Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series // Georg. Math. J. – 2011. – **18**. – P. 147–162.

19. Nagy K. Approximation by Nörlund means of quadratrical partial sums of double Walsh–Fourier series // Anal. Math. – 2010. – **36**, № 4. – P. 299–319.
20. Nagy K. On the two-dimensional Marcinkiewicz means with respect to Walsh–Kaczmarz system // J. Approxim. Theory. – 2006. – **142**, № 2. – P. 138–165.
21. Nagy K. Approximation by Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series // E. J. Approxim. – 2010. – **16**, № 3. – P. 193–207.
22. Nagy K. Approximation by Cesàro means of negative order of double Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series // Tohoku Math. J. – 2012. – **64**, № 3. – P. 317–331.
23. Schipp F., Wade W. R., Simon P., Pál J. Walsh series. An introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis. – Bristol; New York: Adam Hilger, 1990.
24. Schipp F. Pointwise convergence of expansions with respect to certain product systems // Anal. Math. – 1976. – **2**. – P. 63–75.
25. Simon P. (C, α) summability of Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series // J. Approxim. Theory. – 2004. – **127**. – P. 39–60.
26. Skvortsov V. A. On Fourier series with respect to the Walsh–Kaczmarz system // Anal. Math. – 1981. – **7**. – P. 141–150.
27. Skvortsov V. A. Certain estimates of approximation of functions by Cesàro means of Walsh–Fourier series (in Russian) // Mat. Zametki. – 1981. – **29**. – P. 539–547.
28. Šneider A. A. On series with respect to the Walsh functions with monotone coefficients // Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. – 1948. – **12**. – P. 179–192.
29. Persson L. E., Tephnadze G., Wall P. Maximal operators of Vilenkin–Nörlund means // J. Fourier Anal. and Appl. – 2015. – **21**, № 1. – P. 76–94.
30. Tephnadze G. On the maximal operator of Walsh–Kaczmarz–Nörlund means // Acta Math. Acad. Pead. Nyíregyháziensis. – 2015. – **31**, № 2. – P. 259–271.
31. Yano Sh. On Walsh series // Tohoku Math. J. – 1951. – **3**. – P. 223–242.
32. Yano Sh. On approximation by Walsh functions // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1951. – **2**. – P. 962–967.
33. Young W. S. On the a.e. convergence of Walsh–Kaczmarz–Fourier series // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1974. – **44**. – P. 353–358.

Received 23.04.13,
after revision – 05.06.15