

UDC 517.5

U. Goginava (Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State Univ., Georgia),

A. Sahakian (Yerevan State Univ., Armenia)

CONVERGENCE OF MULTIPLE FOURIER SERIES OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED GENERALIZED VARIATION *

ЗБІЖНІСТЬ КРАТНИХ РЯДІВ ФУР'Є ФУНКЦІЙ З ОБМЕЖЕНОЮ УЗАГАЛЬНЕНОЮ ВАРІАЦІЄЮ

The paper introduces a new concept of Λ -variation of multivariable functions and studies its relationship with the convergence of multidimensional Fourier series.

Введено нову концепцію Λ -варіації функцій багатьох змінних та вивчено її зв'язок зі збіжністю багатовимірних рядів Фур'є.

1. Classes of functions of bounded generalized variation. In 1881 Jordan [11] introduced a class of functions of bounded variation and applied it to the theory of Fourier series. Hereafter this notion was generalized by many authors (quadratic variation, Φ -variation, Λ -variation etc., see [2, 12, 15, 17]). In two-dimensional case the class BV of functions of bounded variation was introduced by Hardy [10].

For an interval $T = [a, b] \subset R$ we denote by $T^d = [a, b]^d$ the d -dimensional cube in R^d .

Consider a function $f(x)$ defined on T^d and a collection of intervals

$$J^k = (a^k, b^k) \subset T, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

For $d = 1$ we set

$$f(J^1) := f(b^1) - f(a^1).$$

If for any function of $d - 1$ variables the expression $f(J^1 \times \dots \times J^{d-1})$ is already defined, then for a function of d variables the *mixed difference* is defined as follows:

$$f(J^1 \times \dots \times J^d) := f(J^1 \times \dots \times J^{d-1}, b^d) - f(J^1 \times \dots \times J^{d-1}, a^d).$$

Let $E = \{I_k\}$ be a collection of nonoverlapping intervals from T ordered in arbitrary way and let $\Omega = \Omega(T)$ be the set of all such collections E . We denote by $\Omega_n = \Omega_n(T)$ set of all collections of n nonoverlapping intervals $I_k \subset T$.

For sequences of positive numbers

$$\Lambda^j = \{\lambda_n^j\}_{n=1}^\infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^j = \infty, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, d,$$

and for a function $f(x)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in T^d$ the $(\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d)$ -variation of f with respect to the index set $D := \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ is defined as follows:

$$\{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\} V^D(f, T^d) := \sup_{\{I_{i_j}^j\} \in \Omega_{i_1, \dots, i_d}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d} \frac{|f(I_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times I_{i_d}^d)|}{\lambda_{i_1}^1 \dots \lambda_{i_d}^d}.$$

* The research of U. Goginava was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant no. 31/48 (Operators in some function spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis).

For an index set $\alpha = \{j_1, \dots, j_p\} \subset D$ and any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in R^d$ we set $\tilde{\alpha} := D \setminus \alpha$ and denote by x_α the vector of R^p consisting of components x_j , $j \in \alpha$, i.e.,

$$x_\alpha = (x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_p}) \in R^p.$$

By

$$\{\Lambda^{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda^{j_p}\}V^\alpha(f, x_{\tilde{\alpha}}, T^d) \quad \text{and} \quad f\left(I_{i_{j_1}}^1 \times \dots \times I_{i_{j_p}}^p, x_{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)$$

we denote respectively the $(\Lambda^{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda^{j_p})$ -variation over the p -dimensional cube T^p and mixed difference of f as a function of variables x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_p} with fixed values $x_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ of other variables. The $(\Lambda^{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda^{j_p})$ -variation of f with respect to the index set α is defined as follows:

$$\{\Lambda^{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda^{j_p}\}V^\alpha(f, T^p) = \sup_{x_{\tilde{\alpha}} \in T^{d-p}} \{\Lambda^{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda^{j_p}\}V^\alpha(f, x_{\tilde{\alpha}}, T^d).$$

Definition 1. We say that the function f has total bounded $(\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d)$ -variation on T^d and write $f \in \{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\}BV(T^d)$, if

$$\{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\}V(f, T^d) := \sum_{\alpha \subset D} \{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\}V^\alpha(f, T^d) < \infty.$$

Definition 2. We say that the function f is continuous in $(\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d)$ -variation on T^d and write $f \in C\{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\}V(T^d)$, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\Lambda^{j_1}, \dots, \Lambda^{j_{k-1}}, \Lambda_n^{j_k}, \Lambda^{j_{k+1}}, \dots, \Lambda^{j_p}\}V^\alpha(f, T^d) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p,$$

for any $\alpha \subset D$, $\alpha := \{j_1, \dots, j_p\}$, where $\Lambda_n^{j_k} := \{\lambda_s^{j_k}\}_{s=n}^\infty$.

Definition 3. We say that the function f has bounded partial $(\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d)$ -variation and write $f \in P\{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\}BV(T^d)$ if

$$P\{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\}V(f, T^d) := \sum_{i=1}^d \Lambda^i V^{\{i\}}(f, T^d) < \infty.$$

In the case $\Lambda^1 = \dots = \Lambda^d = \Lambda$ we set

$$\Lambda BV(T^d) := \{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\}BV(T^d),$$

$$C\Lambda V(T^d) := C\{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\}V(T^d),$$

$$P\Lambda BV(T^d) := P\{\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^d\}BV(T^d).$$

If $\lambda_n \equiv 1$ (or if $0 < c < \lambda_n < C < \infty$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$) the classes ΛBV and $P\Lambda BV$ coincide with the Hardy class BV and PBV respectively. Hence it is reasonable to assume that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$.

When $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ we say *Harmonic Variation* instead of Λ -variation and write H instead of Λ , i.e., HBV , $PHBV$, CHV , etc.

For two variable functions Dyachenko and Waterman [5] introduced another class of functions of generalized bounded variation.

Denoting by Γ the the set of finite collections of nonoverlapping rectangles $A_k := [\alpha_k, \beta_k] \times [\gamma_k, \delta_k] \subset T^2$, for a function $f(x, y)$, $x, y \in T$, we set

$$\Lambda^*V(f, T^2) := \sup_{\{A_k\} \in \Gamma} \sum_k \frac{|f(A_k)|}{\lambda_k}.$$

Definition 4 (Dyachenko, Waterman). *We say that $f \in \Lambda^*BV(T^2)$ if*

$$\Lambda V(f, T^2) := \Lambda V_1(f, T^2) + \Lambda V_2(f, T^2) + \Lambda^*V(f, T^2) < \infty.$$

In this paper we introduce a new classes of functions of generalized bounded variation and investigate the convergence of Fourier series of function of that classes.

For the sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ we denote

$$\Lambda^\#V_s(f, T^d) := \sup_{\{x^i\{s\}\} \subset T^{d-1}} \sup_{\{I_i^s\} \in \Omega} \sum_i \frac{|f(I_i^s, x^i\{s\})|}{\lambda_i},$$

where

$$x^i\{s\} := (x_1^i, \dots, x_{s-1}^i, x_{s+1}^i, \dots, x_d^i) \quad \text{for } x^i := (x_1^i, \dots, x_d^i). \tag{1}$$

Definition 5. *We say that the function f belongs to the class $\Lambda^\#BV(T^d)$, if*

$$\Lambda^\#V(f, T^d) := \sum_{s=1}^d \Lambda^\#V_s(f, T^d) < \infty.$$

The notion of Λ -variation was introduced by Waterman [15] in one-dimensional case, by Sahakian [14] in two-dimensional case and by Sablin [13] in the case of higher dimensions. The notion of bounded partial variation (class PBV) was introduced by Goginava in [7]. These classes of functions of generalized bounded variation play an important role in the theory Fourier series.

Remark 1. It is not hard to see that $\Lambda^\#BV(T^d) \subset P\Lambda BV(T^d)$ for any $d > 1$ and $\Lambda^*BV(T^2) \subset \Lambda^\#BV(T^2)$.

We prove that the following theorem is true.

Theorem 1. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $T = (t_1, t_2) \subset R$. If*

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\} \quad \text{with } \lambda_n = \frac{n}{\log^{d-1}(n+1)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2}$$

then

$$HV(f, T^d) \leq M(d)\Lambda^\#V(f, T^d). \tag{3}$$

Proof. We have to prove that for any $\alpha := \{j_1, \dots, j_p\} \subset D$

$$\sup_{\{I_{i_j}^j\} \in \Omega} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \frac{|f(I_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times I_{i_p}^p, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})|}{i_1 \dots i_p} \leq M(d) \sum_{s=1}^d \Lambda^\#V_s(f, T^d). \tag{4}$$

To this end, observe that

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \frac{|f(I_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times I_{i_p}^p, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})|}{i_1 \dots i_p} = \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i_{\sigma(1)} \leq \dots \leq i_{\sigma(p)}} \frac{|f(I_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times I_{i_p}^p, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})|}{i_1 \dots i_p}, \tag{5}$$

where the sum is taken over all rearrangements $\sigma = \{\sigma(k)\}_{k=1}^p$ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$.

Next, we have

$$\sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p} \frac{|f(I_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times I_{i_p}^p, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})|}{i_1 \dots i_p} = \sum_{i_p} \frac{1}{i_p} \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{p-1}} \frac{|f(I_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times I_{i_{p-1}}^{p-1}, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})|}{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}. \tag{6}$$

Taking into account that for the fixed $i_p, i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p$, there exists $x_1^{i_p}, \dots, x_{p-1}^{i_p} \in T$ such that

$$|f(I_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times I_{i_p}^p, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})| \leq 2^d |f(I_{i_p}^p, x_1^{i_p}, \dots, x_{p-1}^{i_p}, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})|$$

from (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p} \frac{|f(I_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times I_{i_p}^p, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})|}{i_1 \dots i_p} &\leq 2^d \sum_{i_p} \frac{|f(I_{i_p}^p, x_1^{i_p}, \dots, x_{p-1}^{i_p}, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})|}{i_p} \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{p-1}} \frac{1}{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} \leq \\ &\leq M(d) \sum_{i_p} \frac{\log^{d-1}(i_p + 1)}{i_p} |f(I_{i_p}^p, x_1^{i_p}, \dots, x_{p-1}^{i_p}, x_{\tilde{\alpha}})| \leq \\ &\leq M(d) \Lambda^{\#} V_{i_p}(f, T^d) \leq M(d) \Lambda^{\#} V(f, T^d). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can obtain bounds for other summands in the right-hand side of (5), which imply (3).

Theorem 1 is proved.

Corollary 1. *If the sequence Λ is defined by (2), then $\Lambda^{\#} BV(T^d) \subset HBV(T^d)$.*

Now, we denote

$$\Delta := \{\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d) : \delta_i = \pm 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, d\} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\pi_{\varepsilon\delta}(x) := (x_1, x_1 + \varepsilon\delta_1) \times \dots \times (x_d, x_d + \varepsilon\delta_d),$$

for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in R^d$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We set $\pi_{\delta}(x) := \pi_{\varepsilon\delta}(x)$, if $\varepsilon = 1$.

For a function f defined in some neighbourhood of a point x and $\delta \in \Delta$ we set

$$f_{\delta}(x) := \lim_{t \in \pi_{\delta}(x), t \rightarrow x} f(t), \tag{8}$$

if the last limit exists.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $f \in \Lambda^{\#} BV(T^d)$ for some sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$.*

(a) *If the limit $f_{\delta}(x)$ exists for some $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in T^d$ and some $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d) \in \Delta$, then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{\#} V(f, \pi_{\varepsilon\delta}(x)) = 0. \tag{9}$$

(b) If f is continuous on some compact $K \subset T^d$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^\# V(f, [x_1 - \varepsilon, x_1 + \varepsilon] \times \dots \times [x_d - \varepsilon, x_d + \varepsilon]) = 0 \tag{10}$$

uniformly with respect to $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in K$.

Proof. According to Definition 5, we need to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^\# V_s(f, \pi_{\varepsilon\delta}(x)) = 0 \tag{11}$$

for any $s = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $s = 1$ and $\delta_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Assume to the contrary that (11) does not hold:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^\# V_1(f, \pi_{\varepsilon\delta}(x)) \neq 0.$$

Then there exists a number α such that

$$\Lambda^\# V_1(f, \pi_{\varepsilon\delta}(x)) > \alpha > 0 \tag{12}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Using induction on $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we construct positive numbers ε_k and the sequences of collections of nonoverlapping intervals

$$I_i^1 \subset (x_1 + \varepsilon_{k+1}, x_1 + \varepsilon_k), \quad i = n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}, \tag{13}$$

and vectors

$$\beta^i = (\beta_1^i, \dots, \beta_d^i) \in \pi_{\varepsilon_k\delta}(x), \quad i = n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}, \tag{14}$$

as follows. By (12), for a fixed number $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ we find a collection of nonoverlapping intervals

$$I_i^1 \subset (x_1, x_1 + \varepsilon_1), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_1,$$

and vectors

$$\beta^i = (\beta_1^i, \dots, \beta_d^i) \in \pi_{\varepsilon_1\delta}(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_1,$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{|f(I_i^1; \beta_2^i, \dots, \beta_d^i)|}{\lambda_i} > \alpha. \tag{15}$$

Now, suppose the number ε_k , intervals (13) and the vectors (14) for some $k = 1, 2, \dots$ are constructed. Since the limit $f_\delta(x)$ exists, we can choose ε_{k+1} satisfying

$$0 < \varepsilon_{k+1} < \varepsilon_k, \quad (x_1, x_1 + \varepsilon_{k+1}) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} I_i^1 \right) = \emptyset \tag{16}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \frac{|f(J_i^1; \gamma_2^i, \dots, \gamma_d^i)|}{\lambda_i} < \frac{\alpha}{2} \tag{17}$$

for any collection of nonoverlapping intervals

$$J_i^1 \subset (x_1, x_1 + \varepsilon_{k+1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_k,$$

and for any vectors

$$\gamma^i = (\gamma_1^i, \dots, \gamma_d^i) \in \pi_{\varepsilon_{k+1}\delta}(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_k.$$

Further, according to (12) there is a collection of nonoverlapping intervals

$$J_i^1 \subset (x_1, x_1 + \varepsilon_{k+1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_{k+1}, \quad (18)$$

and vectors

$$\gamma^i = (\gamma_1^i, \dots, \gamma_d^i) \in \pi_{\varepsilon_{k+1}\delta}(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_{k+1},$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_{k+1}} \frac{|f(J_i^1; \gamma_2^i, \dots, \gamma_d^i)|}{\lambda_i} > \alpha. \quad (19)$$

Now, denoting

$$I_i^1 = J_i^1, \quad \beta^i = \gamma^i \quad \text{for } i = n_k + 1, \dots, n_{k+1}, \quad (20)$$

from (17) and (19) we get

$$\sum_{i=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \frac{|f(I_i^1; \beta_2^i, \dots, \beta_d^i)|}{\lambda_i} > \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (21)$$

Intervals (13) and vectors (14) for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are constructed.

By (16), (18) and (20), the intervals I_i^1 are nonoverlapping for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, while according to (21),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(I_i^1; \beta_2^i, \dots, \beta_d^i)|}{\lambda_i} = \infty.$$

Consequently, $\Lambda^\# V_1(f, T^d) = \infty$. This contradiction completes proof of the statement (a) of Theorem 2.

To prove statement (b), observe that (a) obviously implies (10) for any point $x \in T^d$, where f is continuous. Hence, we have to prove that (10) holds uniformly with respect to $x \in K$, provided that f is continuous on the compact $K \subset T^d$.

To this end let us assume to the contrary that (10) does not hold uniformly on K . Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and sequences

$$x^i = (x_1^i, \dots, x_d^i) \in K \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$$

such that

$$\Lambda^\# V(f; [x_1^i - \varepsilon_i, x_1^i + \varepsilon_i] \times \dots \times [x_d^i - \varepsilon_i, x_d^i + \varepsilon_i]) \geq \delta > 0.$$

Since K is compact we can assume without loss of generality that $x^i \rightarrow x$ for some $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in K$. Then obviously for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a number $i(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$[x_j^i - \varepsilon_i, x_j^i + \varepsilon_i] \subset [x_j - \varepsilon, x_j + \varepsilon], \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \quad \text{for } i > i(\varepsilon).$$

Consequently,

$$\Lambda^\# V(f; [x_1 - \varepsilon, x_1 + \varepsilon] \times \dots \times [x_d - \varepsilon, x_d + \varepsilon]) \geq \delta > 0,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 2 is proved.

Next, we define

$$v_s^\#(f, n) := \sup_{\{x^i\}_{i=1}^n \subset T^d} \sup_{\{I_i^s\}_{i=1}^n \in \Omega_n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(I_i^s, x^i\{s\})|, \quad s = 1, \dots, d, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $x^i\{s\}$ is as in (1). The following theorem holds.

Theorem 3. *If the function $f(x)$, $x \in T^d$, satisfies the condition*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{v_s^\#(f, n) \log^{d-1}(n+1)}{n^2} < \infty, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, d,$$

then $f \in \left\{ \frac{n}{\log^{d-1}(n+1)} \right\}^\# BV(T^d)$.

Proof. Let $s = 1, \dots, d$ be fixed. The for any collection of intervals $\{I_i^s\}_{i=1}^n \in \Omega_n$ and a sequence of vectors $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^n \in T^d$, using Abel's partial summation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|f(I_j^s, x^j\{s\})| \log^{d-1}(j+1)}{j} = \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\log^{d-1}(j+1)}{j} - \frac{\log^{d-1}(j+2)}{j+1} \right) \sum_{k=1}^j |f(I_k^s, x^k\{s\})| + \\ & \quad + \frac{\log^{d-1}(n+1)}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |f(I_j^s, x^j\{s\})| \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\log^{d-1}(j+1)}{j} - \frac{\log^{d-1}(j+2)}{j+1} \right) v_s^\#(f, j) + \frac{\log^{d-1}(n+1)}{n} v_s^\#(f, n). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Using the inequality

$$\frac{\log^{d-1}(n+1)}{n} v_s^\#(f, n) \leq \sum_{j=n}^\infty \left(\frac{\log^{d-1}(j+1)}{j} - \frac{\log^{d-1}(j+2)}{j+1} \right) v_s^\#(f, j), \tag{23}$$

from (22) we get

$$\left\{ \frac{n}{\log^{d-1}(n+1)} \right\}^\# V_s(f, T^d) \leq c \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{v_s^\#(f, n) \log^{d-1}(n+1)}{n^2} < \infty. \tag{24}$$

Theorem 3 is proved.

2. Convergence of multiple Fourier series. We suppose throughout this section, that $T = [0, 2\pi)$ and $T^d = [0, 2\pi)^d$, $d \geq 2$, stands for the d -dimensional torus.

We denote by $C(T^d)$ the space of continuous and 2π -periodic with respect to each variable functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_C := \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in T^d} |f(x_1, \dots, x_d)|.$$

The Fourier series of the function $f \in L^1(T^d)$ with respect to the trigonometric system is the series

$$Sf(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_d = -\infty}^{+\infty} \widehat{f}(n_1, \dots, n_d) e^{i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_d x_d)},$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{T^d} f(x^1, \dots, x^d) e^{-i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_d x_d)} dx_1 \dots dx_d$$

are the Fourier coefficients of f .

In this paper we consider convergence of **only rectangular partial sums** (convergence in the sense of Pringsheim) of d -dimensional Fourier series. Recall that the rectangular partial sums are defined as follows:

$$S_{N_1, \dots, N_d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{n_1 = -N_1}^{N_1} \dots \sum_{n_d = -N_d}^{N_d} \widehat{f}(n_1, \dots, n_d) e^{i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_d x_d)}.$$

We say that the point $x \in T^d$ is a *regular point* of a function f , if the limit $f_\delta(x)$ defined by (8) exists for any $\delta \in \Delta$ (see (7)). For the regular point x we denote

$$f^*(x) := \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} f_\delta(x). \quad (25)$$

Definition 6. We say that the class of functions $V \subset L^1(T^d)$ is a class of convergence on T^d , if for any function $f \in V$

- 1) the Fourier series of f converges to $f^*(x)$ at any regular point $x \in T^d$,
- 2) the convergence is uniform on a compact $K \subset T^d$, if f is continuous on K .

The well known Dirichlet–Jordan theorem (see [18]) states that the Fourier series of a function $f(x)$, $x \in T$, of bounded variation converges at every point x to the value $[f(x+0) + f(x-0)]/2$. If f is in addition continuous on T , then the Fourier series converges uniformly on T .

Hardy [10] generalized the Dirichlet–Jordan theorem to the double Fourier series and proved that BV is a class of convergence on T^2 .

The following theorem was proved by Waterman (for $d = 1$) and Sahakian (for $d = 2$).

Theorem WS (Waterman [15], Sahakian [14]). *If $d = 1$ or $d = 2$, then the class $HBV(T^d)$ is a class of convergence on T^d .*

In [1] Bakhvalov proved that the class HBV is not a class of convergence on T^d , if $d > 2$. On the other hand, he proved the following theorem.

Theorem B (Bakhvalov [1]). *The class $CHV(T^d)$ is a class of convergence on T^d for any $d = 1, 2, \dots$*

Convergence of spherical and other partial sums of double Fourier series of functions of bounded Λ -variation was investigated in details by Dyachenko [3, 4].

In [8, 9] Goginava and Sahakian investigated convergence of multiple Fourier series of functions of bounded partial Λ -variation. In particular, the following theorem was proved.

Theorem GS. (a) *If and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with*

$$\lambda_n = \frac{n}{\log^{d-1+\varepsilon}(n+1)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad d > 1,$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then the class $P\Lambda BV(T^d)$ is a class of convergence on T^d .

(b) *If $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with*

$$\lambda_n = \frac{n}{\log^{d-1}(n+1)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad d > 1,$$

then the class $P\Lambda BV(T^d)$ is not a class of convergence on T^d .

In [5], Dyachenko and Waterman proved that the class $\Lambda^*BV(T^2)$ is a class convergence on T^2 for $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ with $\lambda_n = \frac{n}{\ln(n+1)}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 4. (a) *If $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with*

$$\lambda_n = \frac{n}{\log^{d-1}(n+1)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad d > 1, \tag{26}$$

then the class $\Lambda^\#BV(T^d)$ is a class of convergence on T^d .

(b) *If $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with*

$$\lambda_n := \left\{ \frac{n\xi_n}{\log^{d-1}(n+1)} \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad d > 1, \tag{27}$$

where $\xi_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a continuous function $f \in \Lambda^\#BV(T^d)$ such that the cubical partial sums of d -dimensional Fourier series of f diverge unboundedly at $(0, \dots, 0) \in T^d$.

Proof. The proof of the part (a) is based on the following statement, that in the case $d = 2$ is proved by Sahakian (see formulaes (33) and (35) in [14]). For an arbitrary $d > 2$ the proof is similar.

Lemma S. *Suppose $f \in HV(T^d)$ and $x \in T^d$. If the limit $f_\delta(x)$ exists for any $\delta \in \Delta$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$|S_{n_1, \dots, n_d} f(x) - f^*(x)| \leq M(d) \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} HV(f; \pi_{\varepsilon\delta}(x)) + o(1),$$

as $n_i \rightarrow \infty$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

Moreover, the quantity $o(1)$ tends to 0 uniformly on a compact K , if f is continuous on K .

Now, if the sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ is defined by (26) and $f \in \Lambda^\#BV(T^d)$, then Lemma S and Theorem 1 imply that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|S_{n_1, \dots, n_d} f(x) - f^*(x)| \leq M(d) \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \Lambda^\#V(f; \pi_{\varepsilon\delta}(x)) + o(1), \tag{28}$$

which combined with Theorem 2 completes the proof of (a).

To prove part (b) suppose that $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence defined by (27). It is not hard to see that the class $C(T^d) \cap \Lambda^\#BV(T^d)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda^\#BV} := \|f\|_C + \Lambda^\#BV(f).$$

Denoting

$$A_{i_1, \dots, i_d} := \left[\frac{\pi i_1}{N+1/2}, \frac{\pi(i_1+1)}{N+1/2} \right) \times \dots \times \left[\frac{\pi i_d}{N+1/2}, \frac{\pi(i_d+1)}{N+1/2} \right),$$

we consider the following functions:

$$g_N(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d=1}^{N-1} 1_{A_{i_1, \dots, i_d}}(x_1, \dots, x_d) \prod_{s=1}^d \sin(N+1/2)x_s,$$

for $N = 2, 3, \dots$, where $1_A(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is the characteristic function of a set $A \subset T^d$.

It is easy to check that

$$\left\{ \frac{n\xi_n}{\log^{d-1}(n+1)} \right\}^\# V_s(g_N) \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{\log^{d-1}(i+1)}{i\xi_i} = o(\log^d N)$$

and hence

$$\|g_N\|_{\Lambda^\#BV} = o(\log^d N) = \eta_N \log^d N,$$

where $\eta_N \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Now, setting

$$f_N := \frac{g_N}{\eta_N \log^d N}, \quad N = 2, 3, \dots,$$

we obtain that $f_N \in \Lambda^\#BV(T^d)$ and

$$\sup_N \|f_N\|_{\Lambda^\#BV} < \infty. \quad (29)$$

Now, for the cubical partial sums of the d -dimensional Fourier series of f_N at $(0, \dots, 0) \in T^d$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi^d S_{N, \dots, N} f_N(0, \dots, 0) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta_N \log^d N} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d=1}^{N-1} \int_{A_{i_1, \dots, i_d}} \prod_{s=1}^d \frac{\sin^2(N+1/2)x_s}{2 \sin(x_s/2)} dx_1 \dots dx_d \geq \\ & \geq \frac{c}{\eta_N \log^d N} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{i_1 \dots i_d} \geq \frac{c}{\eta_N} \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Applying the Banach–Steinhaus theorem, from (29) and (30) we conclude that there exists a continuous function $f \in \Lambda^\#BV(T^d)$ such that

$$\sup_N |S_{N, \dots, N} f(0, \dots, 0)| = \infty.$$

Theorem 4 is proved.

The next theorem follows from Theorems 3 and 4.

Theorem 5. For any $d > 1$ the class of functions $f(x)$ $x \in T^d$ satisfying the following condition:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_s^{\#}(f, n) \log^{d-1}(n+1)}{n^2} < \infty, \quad s = 1, \dots, d,$$

is a class of convergence.

1. *Bakhvalov A. N.* Continuity in Λ -variation of functions of several variables and the convergence of multiple Fourier series (in Russian) // *Mat. Sb.* – 2002. – **193**, № 12. – P. 3–20.
2. *Chanturia Z. A.* The modulus of variation of a function and its application in the theory of Fourier series // *Sov. Math. Dokl.* – 1974. – **15**. – P. 67–71.
3. *Dyachenko M. I.* Waterman classes and spherical partial sums of double Fourier series // *Anal. Math.* – 1995. – **21**. – P. 3–21.
4. *Dyachenko M. I.* Two-dimensional Waterman classes and u -convergence of Fourier series (in Russian) // *Mat. Sb.* – 1999. – **190**, № 7. – P. 23–40 (English transl.: *Sb. Math.* – 1999. – **190**, № 7-8. – P. 955–972).
5. *Dyachenko M. I., Waterman D.* Convergence of double Fourier series and W -classes // *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* – 2005. – **357**. – P. 397–407.
6. *Goginava U.* On the uniform convergence of multiple trigonometric Fourier series // *East J. Approxim.* – 1999. – **3**, № 5. – P. 253–266.
7. *Goginava U.* Uniform convergence of Cesàro means of negative order of double Walsh–Fourier series // *J. Approxim. Theory.* – 2003. – **124**. – P. 96–108.
8. *Goginava U., Sahakian A.* On the convergence of double Fourier series of functions of bounded partial generalized variation // *East J. Approxim.* – 2010. – **16**, № 2. – P. 109–121.
9. *Goginava U., Sahakian A.* On the convergence of multiple Fourier series of functions of bounded partial generalized variation // *Anal. Math.* – 2013. – **39**, № 1. – P. 45–56.
10. *Hardy G. H.* On double Fourier series and especially which represent the double zeta function with real and incommensurable parameters // *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* – 1906. – **37**. – P. 53–79.
11. *Jordan C.* Sur la series de Fourier // *C. r. Acad. sci. Paris.* – 1881. – **92**. – P. 228–230.
12. *Marcinkiewicz J.* On a class of functions and their Fourier series // *Compt. Rend. Soc. Sci. Warsawie.* – 1934. – **26**. – P. 71–77.
13. *Sablin A. I.* Λ -variation and Fourier series (in Russian) // *Izv. Vysch. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* – 1987. – **10**. – P. 66–68 (English transl.: *Sov. Math. Izv. VUZ.* – 1987. – **31**).
14. *Sahakian A. A.* On the convergence of double Fourier series of functions of bounded harmonic variation (in Russian) // *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan.SSR. Ser. Mat.* – 1986. – **21**, № 6. – P. 517–529 (English transl.: *Sov. J. Contemp. Math. Anal.* – 1986. – **21**, № 6. – P. 1–13).
15. *Waterman D.* On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation // *Stud. Math.* – 1972. – **44**, № 1. – P. 107–117.
16. *Waterman D.* On the summability of Fourier series of functions of Λ -bounded variation // *Stud. Math.* – 1975/76. – **54**, № 1. – P. 87–95.
17. *Wiener N.* The quadratic variation of a function and its Fourier coefficients // *Mass. J. Math.* – 1924. – **3**. – P. 72–94.
18. *Zygmund A.* *Trigonometric series.* – Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.

Received 21.11.12