

INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF TRANS-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

ІНВАРІАНТНІ ПІДМНОГОВИДИ ТРАНС-МНОГОВИДІВ САСАКІЯНА

We show the equivalence of totally geodesicity, recurrence, birecurrence, generalized birecurrence, Ricci-generalized birecurrence, parallelism, biparallelism, pseudoparallelism, bipseudoparallelism of σ for the invariant submanifold M of trans-Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} .

Показано еквівалентність повної геодезичності, зворотності, подвійної зворотності, узагальненої подвійної зворотності, узагальненої подвійної зворотності Річчі, паралелізму, подвійного паралелізму, псевдопаралелізму та подвійного псевдопаралелізму σ для інваріантного підмноговиду M транс-многовиду Сасакаїяна \tilde{M} .

1. Introduction. Let M be an almost contact Riemannian manifold with a contact form η , the associated vector field ξ , a $(1,1)$ -tensor field ϕ and the associated Riemannian metric g . Further an almost contact metric manifold is a contact metric manifold if $g(X, \phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in TM$. A K-contact manifold is a contact metric manifold while converse is true if the Lie derivative of ϕ in the character direction ξ vanishes. A Sasakian manifold is always a K-contact manifold. A 3-dimensional K-contact manifold is a Sasakian manifold. A contact metric manifold is Sasakian if $(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X$. Odd dimensional spheres and $C^* \times R$ are examples of Sasakian manifolds.

In 1972, K. Kenmotsu [4] studied a class of contact Riemannian manifolds called Kenmotsu manifolds, which is not Sasakian. In fact Kenmotsu proved that a locally Kenmotsu manifold is a warped product $I \times_f N$ of an interval I and a Kahlerian manifold with a warping function $f(t) = se^t$, where S is a non-zero contact. Hyperbolic space is an example of Kenmotsu manifold.

In the Gray–Hervella classification of almost Hermitian manifolds [10], there appears a class W_4 of Hermitian manifolds which are closely related to locally conformal Kaehler manifolds. An almost contact metric structure on a manifold M is called a trans-Sasakian structure [11] if the product manifold $M \times R$ belongs to the class W_4 . The class $C_5 \oplus C_6$ [13] coincides with the class of trans-Sasakian structure of (α, β) . The monkey saddle is an example of trans-Sasakian manifold. This class consists of both Sasakian and Kenmotsu structures. If $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$, then the class reduces to Sasakian, where as if $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ their reduces to Kenmotsu. J. C. Marrero [11] has shown that trans-Sasakian manifolds for $n \geq 5$ do not exist. If $\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0$ then it is α -Sasakian, if $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0$ then it is β -Kenmotsu and if $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then it is cosymplectic.

The geometry of invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds is carried out by Aysel Turgut Vanli and Ramazan Sari [3] and they have shown that an invariant submanifold M carries trans-Sasakian structure and established the equivalence of totally geodesicity of M , σ is parallel, σ is 2-parallel, σ is semiparallel.

In this paper we extend the study and show that for invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds the equivalence of M , totally geodesic, when σ is recurrent, 2-recurrent, generalized 2-recurrent, 2-semiparallel, pseudoparallel, 2-pseudoparallel, Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel, 2-Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel their equivalence. Finally it is concluded that the result of Aysel

Turgut Vanli and Ramazan Sari [3] and the above results proved are all equivalent to one another. We provide an example of trans-Sasakian manifold which is not totally geodesic.

2. Basic concepts. The covariant differential of the p^{th} order, $p \geq 1$ of a $(0, k)$ -tensor field T , $k \geq 1$ denoted by $\nabla^p T$, defined on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with the Levi-Civita connection ∇ . The tensor T is said to be recurrent [15], if the following condition holds on M :

$$(\nabla T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X)T(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = (\nabla T)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X)T(X_1, \dots, X_k) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$(\nabla^2 T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y)T(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = (\nabla^2 T)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X, Y)T(X_1, \dots, X_k)$$

respectively, where $X, Y, X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_k, Y_k \in TM$. From (2.1) it follows that at a point $x \in M$, if the tensor T is non-zero, then there exists a unique 1-form ϕ , a $(0, 2)$ -tensor ψ , defined on a neighborhood U of x such that

$$\nabla T = T \otimes \phi, \quad \phi = d(\log \|T\|) \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\nabla^2 T = T \otimes \psi \quad (2.3)$$

respectively, hold on U , where $\|T\|$ denotes the norm of T and $\|T\|^2 = g(T, T)$. The tensor T is said to be generalized 2-recurrent if

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\nabla^2 T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) - (\nabla T \otimes \phi)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y))T(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) = \\ & = ((\nabla^2 T)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X, Y) - (\nabla T \otimes \phi)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X, Y))T(X_1, \dots, X_k), \end{aligned}$$

holds on M , where ϕ is a 1-form on M . From this it follows that at a point $x \in M$ if the tensor T is non-zero, then there exists a unique $(0, 2)$ -tensor ψ , defined on a neighborhood U of x , such that

$$\nabla^2 T = \nabla T \otimes \phi + T \otimes \psi, \quad (2.4)$$

holds on U .

Let $f: (M, g) \rightarrow (\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ be an isometric immersion from an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) into $(n + d)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$, $n \geq 2$, $d \geq 1$. We denote by ∇ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ as Levi-Civita connection of M^n and \widetilde{M}^{n+d} respectively. Then the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N, \quad (2.6)$$

for any tangent vector fields X, Y and the normal vector field N on M , where σ , A and ∇^\perp are the second fundamental form, the shape operator and the normal connection respectively. If the second fundamental form σ is identically zero then the manifold is said to be *totally geodesic*. The second fundamental form σ and A_N are related by

$$\tilde{g}(\sigma(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y),$$

for tangent vector fields X, Y . The first and second covariant derivatives of the second fundamental form σ are given by

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp(\sigma(Y, Z)) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}^2 \sigma)(Z, W, X, Y) &= (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W) = \\ &= \nabla_X^\perp((\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W)) - (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(\nabla_X Z, W) - \\ &\quad - (\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Z, \nabla_Y W) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_X Y} \sigma)(Z, W) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

respectively, where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is called the van der Waerden–Bortolotti connection of M [7]. If $\tilde{\nabla} \sigma = 0$, then M is said to have parallel second fundamental form [7]. We next define endomorphisms $R(X, Y)$ and $X \wedge_B Y$ of $\chi(M)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, \\ (X \wedge_B Y)Z &= B(Y, Z)X - B(X, Z)Y \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

respectively, where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ and B is a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor.

Now, for a $(0, k)$ -tensor field T , $k \geq 1$ and a $(0, 2)$ -tensor field B on (M, g) , we define the tensor $Q(B, T)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(B, T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) &= -(T(X \wedge_B Y)X_1, \dots, X_k) - \dots \\ &\quad \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}(X \wedge_B Y)X_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Putting into the above formula $T = \sigma, \tilde{\nabla} \sigma$ and $B = g, B = S$, we obtain the tensors $Q(g, \sigma)$, $Q(S, \sigma)$, $Q(g, \tilde{\nabla} \sigma)$ and $Q(S, \tilde{\nabla} \sigma)$.

Definition 2.1. *The immersion f is said to be*

$$\text{semiparallel [9] if } \tilde{R} \cdot \sigma = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\text{2-semiparallel [14] if } \tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \sigma = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\text{pseudoparallel [2] if } \tilde{R} \cdot \sigma = L_1 Q(g, \sigma), \quad (2.13)$$

$$\text{2-pseudoparallel [14] if } \tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \sigma = L_1 Q(g, \tilde{\nabla} \sigma) \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\text{Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel [12] if } \tilde{R} \cdot \sigma = L_2 Q(S, \sigma) \quad (2.15)$$

respectively, where \tilde{R} denotes the curvature tensor with respect to connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{R}(X, Y)\sigma(U, V) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]})\sigma(U, V)$ and $(\tilde{R}(X, Y)\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, V, W) = \tilde{R}(X, Y)(\tilde{\nabla}_U \sigma)(V, W)$. Here L_1, L_2 are functions depending on σ and $\tilde{\nabla} \sigma$.

Now we introduce the definition of 2-Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel.

Definition 2.2. *The immersion f is said to be 2-Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel if*

$$\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \sigma = L_2 Q(S, \tilde{\nabla} \sigma), \quad (2.16)$$

where L_2 is a function depending on $\tilde{\nabla} \sigma$.

From the Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we obtain

$$(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z)^T = R(X, Y)Z + A_{\sigma(X, Z)}Y - A_{\sigma(Y, Z)}X. \quad (2.17)$$

By (2.11), we have

$$(\tilde{R}(X, Y) \cdot \sigma)(U, V) = R^\perp(X, Y)\sigma(U, V) - \sigma(R(X, Y)U, V) - \sigma(U, R(X, Y)V), \quad (2.18)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, U and V tangent to M , where

$$R^\perp(X, Y) = [\nabla_X^\perp, \nabla_Y^\perp] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^\perp. \quad (2.19)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{R}(X, Y) \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, V, W) &= R^\perp(X, Y)(\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, V, W) - (\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(R(X, Y)U, V, W) - \\ &\quad - (\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, R(X, Y)V, W) - (\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, V, R(X, Y)W), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, U, V, W tangent to M , where $(\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, V, W) = (\tilde{\nabla}_U \sigma)(V, W)$ [1].

3. Preliminaries. Let M be a $n = (2m + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where ϕ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field, ξ is a vector field, η is a 1-form and g is the associated Riemannian metric such that [5],

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y), \quad (3.2)$$

for all vector fields X, Y on \tilde{M} .

An almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) on M is called a trans-Sasakian structure [13] if $(M \times R, J, G)$ belongs to the class W_4 [10], where J is the almost complex structure on $M \times R$ defined by $J(X, \lambda d/dt) = (\phi X - \lambda\xi, \eta(X)d/dt)$ for all vector fields X on M and smooth function λ on $M \times R$ and G is the product metric on $M \times R$. This may be expressed by the condition [6]

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X), \quad (3.3)$$

for some smooth functions α and β on M and we say that the trans-Sasakian structure is of type (α, β) .

Let M be a trans-Sasakian manifold. From (3.3), it is easy to see that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha\phi X + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi). \quad (3.4)$$

If $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$ it reduces to Sasakian manifold.

If $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ it reduces to Kenmotsu manifold.

In an n -dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold, we have

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \} + 2\alpha\beta \{ \eta(Y)\phi(X) - \eta(X)\phi(Y) \} + \\ + \{ (Y\alpha)\phi X - (X\alpha)\phi Y + (Y\beta)\phi^2 X - (X\beta)\phi^2 Y \}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \} + (\xi\beta)\eta(Y) \{ -X + \eta(X)\xi \}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \xi\beta) \{ \eta(X)\xi - X \}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$2\alpha\beta + \xi\alpha = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = ((n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \xi\beta)\eta(X) - (n-2)X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha, \quad (3.9)$$

$$Q\xi = ((n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \xi\beta)\xi - (n-2)\text{grad } \beta + \phi(\text{grad } \alpha). \quad (3.10)$$

Further, in a trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) , we have

$$\phi(\text{grad } \alpha) = (n-2)\text{grad } \beta. \quad (3.11)$$

Using (3.11) the equations (3.5)–(3.7), (3.9) and (3.10) reduce to

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ \eta(X)\xi - X \}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(X), \quad (3.15)$$

$$Q\xi = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\xi \quad (3.16)$$

respectively.

A submanifold M of a trans-Sasakian manifold \widetilde{M} is called an invariant submanifold of \widetilde{M} , if for each $x \in M$, $\phi(T_x M) \subset T_x M$. As a consequence, ξ becomes tangent to M . In an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold

$$\sigma(X, \xi) = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

for any vector X tangent to M .

4. Recurrent invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds. We consider invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold satisfying the conditions σ is recurrent, 2-recurrent, generalized 2-recurrent and M has parallel third fundamental form. As a result of this we state the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \widetilde{M} . Then σ is recurrent if and only if it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let σ be recurrent, from (2.2) and we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \phi(X)\sigma(Y, Z),$$

where ϕ is a 1-form on M and in view of (2.7) and taking $Z = \xi$ in the above equation, we have

$$\nabla_X^\perp \sigma(Y, \xi) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, \xi) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X \xi) = \phi(X)\sigma(Y, \xi). \quad (4.1)$$

Using (3.4), (3.17) in (4.1), we obtain $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\sigma(X, Y) = 0$. Since α and β are not simultaneously zero. Hence $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \neq 0$ and $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$. Thus M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial.

Theorem 4.1 is proved.

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} . Then M has parallel third fundamental form if and only if it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let M has parallel third fundamental form. Then we obtain

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W) = 0.$$

Taking $W = \xi$ and using (2.8) in the above equation, we have

$$\nabla_X^\perp ((\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, \xi)) - (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(\nabla_X Z, \xi) - (\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Z, \nabla_Y \xi) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_X Y} \sigma)(Z, \xi) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

By virtue of (2.7) in (4.2) and using (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\nabla_X^\perp \alpha \sigma(Z, \phi Y) - 2\nabla_X^\perp \beta \sigma(Z, Y) - 2\alpha \sigma(\nabla_X Z, \phi Y) + 2\beta \sigma(\nabla_X Z, Y) - \sigma(Z, \nabla_X \alpha \phi Y) + \\ & + \sigma(Z, \nabla_X \beta Y) - \sigma(Z, \nabla_X \beta \eta(Y)\xi) - \alpha \sigma(Z, \phi \nabla_X Y) + \beta \sigma(Z, \nabla_X Y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ and using (3.4), (3.17) in (4.3), we get $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2 \sigma(X, Z) = 0$. Since $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \neq 0$, then $\sigma(X, Z) = 0$. Thus M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial.

Theorem 4.2 is proved.

Corollary 4.1. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} . Then σ is 2-recurrent if and only if it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let σ be 2-recurrent, from (2.3), we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W) = \sigma(Z, W)\phi(X, Y). \quad (4.4)$$

Taking $W = \xi$ in (4.4) and using the proof of the Theorem 4.2, we get $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2 \sigma(X, Z) = 0$. Since $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \neq 0$, then $\sigma(X, Z) = 0$. Thus M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial.

Corollary 4.1 is proved.

Theorem 4.3. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} . Then σ is generalized 2-recurrent if and only if it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let σ be generalized 2-recurrent, from (2.4), we obtain

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W) = \psi(X, Y)\sigma(Z, W) + \phi(X)(\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, W), \quad (4.5)$$

where ψ and ϕ are 2-recurrent and 1-form respectively. Taking $W = \xi$ in (4.5) and using (3.17), we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, \xi) = \phi(X)(\tilde{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(Z, \xi).$$

By virtue of (2.7) and (2.8) in above equation and in view of (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\nabla_X^\perp \alpha \sigma(Z, \phi Y) - 2\nabla_X^\perp \beta \sigma(Z, Y) - 2\alpha \sigma(\nabla_X Z, \phi Y) + 2\beta \sigma(\nabla_X Z, Y) - \\ & -\sigma(Z, \nabla_X \alpha \phi Y) + \sigma(Z, \nabla_X \beta Y) - \sigma(Z, \nabla_X \beta \eta(Y)\xi) - \alpha \sigma(Z, \phi \nabla_X Y) + \beta \sigma(Z, \nabla_X Y) = \\ & = \{\alpha \sigma(Z, \phi Y) - \beta \sigma(Z, Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ and using (3.4), (3.17) in the above equation, we obtain $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2 \sigma(X, Z) = 0$. Since $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \neq 0$, then $\sigma(X, Z) = 0$. Thus M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial.

Theorem 4.3 is proved.

5. 2-Semiparallel, pseudoparallel, 2-pseudoparallel, Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel and 2-Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds. We consider invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds satisfying the conditions $\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \sigma = 0$, $\tilde{R} \cdot \sigma = L_1 Q(g, \sigma)$, $\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \sigma = L_1 Q(g, \tilde{\nabla} \sigma)$, $\tilde{R} \cdot \sigma = L_2 Q(S, \sigma)$ and $\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \sigma = L_2 Q(S, \tilde{\nabla} \sigma)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} . Then the submanifold M is 2-semiparallel if and only if it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let M be 2-semiparallel $\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \sigma = 0$. Put $X = V = \xi$ in (2.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & R^\perp(\xi, Y)(\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, \xi, W) - (\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(R(\xi, Y)U, \xi, W) - \\ & - (\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, R(\xi, Y)\xi, W) - (\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, \xi, R(\xi, Y)W) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

In view of (2.7), (3.4), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.17), we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, \xi, W) = (\tilde{\nabla}_U \sigma)(\xi, W) = \\ & = \nabla_U^\perp \sigma(\xi, W) - \sigma(\nabla_U \xi, W) - \sigma(\xi, \nabla_U W) = \\ & = \alpha \sigma(\phi U, W) - \beta \sigma(U, W), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(R(\xi, Y)U, \xi, W) = (\tilde{\nabla}_{R(\xi, Y)U} \sigma)(\xi, W) = \\ & = \nabla_{R(\xi, Y)U}^\perp \sigma(\xi, W) - \sigma(\nabla_{R(\xi, Y)U} \xi, W) - \sigma(\xi, \nabla_{R(\xi, Y)U} W) = \\ & = -\alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(U)\sigma(\phi Y, W) + \beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(U)\sigma(Y, W), \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, R(\xi, Y)\xi, W) = (\tilde{\nabla}_U \sigma)(R(\xi, Y)\xi, W) = \\ & = \nabla_U^\perp \sigma(R(\xi, Y)\xi, W) - \sigma(\nabla_U R(\xi, Y)\xi, W) - \sigma(R(\xi, Y)\xi, \nabla_U W) = \\ & = \nabla_U^\perp \sigma((\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\{\eta(Y)\xi - Y\}, W) - \sigma(\nabla_U(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\{\eta(Y)\xi - Y\}, W) + \\ & + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\sigma(Y, \nabla_U W) \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{\nabla}\sigma)(U, \xi, R(\xi, Y)W) &= (\tilde{\nabla}_U\sigma)(\xi, R(\xi, Y)W) = \\
 &= \nabla_U^\perp\sigma(\xi, R(\xi, Y)W) - \sigma(\nabla_U\xi, R(\xi, Y)W) - \sigma(\xi, \nabla_U R(\xi, Y)W) = \\
 &= -\alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(W)\sigma(\phi U, Y) + \beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(W)\sigma(U, Y). \tag{5.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.2)–(5.5) into (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^\perp(\xi, Y) \{ \alpha\sigma(\phi U, W) - \beta\sigma(U, W) \} &+ \alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(U)\sigma(\phi Y, W) - \\
 -\beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(U)\sigma(Y, W) &- \nabla_U^\perp\sigma((\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\{\eta(Y)\xi - Y\}, W) + \\
 +\sigma(\nabla_U(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\{\eta(Y)\xi - Y\}, W) &- (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\sigma(Y, \nabla_U W) + \\
 +\alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(W)\sigma(\phi U, Y) &- \beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(W)\sigma(U, Y) = 0. \tag{5.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $W = \xi$ and using (3.4), (3.17) in (5.6), we get $(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\sigma(U, Y) = 0$. Since $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \neq 0$, hence if $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$ and then $\sigma(U, Y) = 0$, i.e., M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial.

Theorem 5.1 is proved.

Theorem 5.2. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} . Then the submanifold M is pseudoparallel if and only if it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let M be pseudoparallel $\tilde{R} \cdot \sigma = L_1 Q(g, \sigma)$. Put $X = V = \xi$ in (2.10), (2.18) and adding, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^\perp(\xi, Y)\sigma(U, \xi) - \sigma(R(\xi, Y)U, \xi) - \sigma(U, R(\xi, Y)\xi) &= \\
 = -L_1 \{ g(\xi, \xi)\sigma(U, Y) - g(\xi, U)\sigma(\xi, Y) + g(\xi, Y)\sigma(\xi, U) - g(Y, U)\sigma(\xi, \xi) \}. \tag{5.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.14) and (3.17) in (5.7), we get $[(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + L_1]\sigma(U, Y) = 0$. If $L_1 \neq -(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$ and $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$, then $\sigma(U, Y) = 0$, i.e., M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial.

Theorem 5.2 is proved.

Theorem 5.3. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} . Then the submanifold M is 2-pseudoparallel if and only if it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let M be 2-pseudoparallel $\tilde{R} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\sigma = L_1 Q(g, \tilde{\nabla}\sigma)$. Put $X = V = \xi$ in (2.10), (2.20) and adding, in view of (3.1) and (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^\perp(\xi, Y)(\tilde{\nabla}\sigma)(U, \xi, W) - (\tilde{\nabla}\sigma)(R(\xi, Y)U, \xi, W) - \\
 -(\tilde{\nabla}\sigma)(U, R(\xi, Y)\xi, W) - (\tilde{\nabla}\sigma)(U, \xi, R(\xi, Y)W) &= \\
 = -L_1 \left[\eta(W) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp\sigma(Y, U) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi Y, U) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_\xi U) \right\} - \right. \\
 \left. -\nabla_W^\perp\sigma(Y, U) + \sigma(\nabla_W Y, U) + \sigma(Y, \nabla_W U) - \eta(Y) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp\sigma(W, U) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi W, U) - \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$-\sigma(W, \nabla_\xi U) \} - \eta(U) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(Y, W) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi Y, W) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_\xi W) \right\} \Big]. \quad (5.8)$$

Substituting (5.2)–(5.5) into (5.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & R^\perp(\xi, Y) \{ \alpha\sigma(\phi U, W) - \beta\sigma(U, W) \} + \alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(U)\sigma(\phi Y, W) - \\ & - \beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(U)\sigma(Y, W) - \nabla_U^\perp \sigma((\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ \eta(Y)\xi - Y \}, W) + \\ & + \sigma(\nabla_U(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ \eta(Y)\xi - Y \}, W) - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\sigma(Y, \nabla_U W) + \\ & + \alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(W)\sigma(\phi U, Y) - \beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(W)\sigma(U, Y) = \\ & = -L_1 \left[\eta(W) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(Y, U) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi Y, U) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_\xi U) \right\} - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \nabla_W^\perp \sigma(Y, U) + \sigma(\nabla_W Y, U) + \sigma(Y, \nabla_W U) - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \eta(Y) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(W, U) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi W, U) - \sigma(W, \nabla_\xi U) \right\} - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \eta(U) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(Y, W) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi Y, W) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_\xi W) \right\} \right]. \quad (5.9) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $W = \xi$ and using (3.4), (3.17) in (5.9), we get $(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\sigma(U, Y) = 0$. Since $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \neq 0$, hence if $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$ and then $\sigma(U, Y) = 0$, i.e., M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial.

Theorem 5.3 is proved.

Theorem 5.4. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \widetilde{M} . Then the submanifold M is Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel if and only if it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let M be Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel $\widetilde{R} \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \sigma = L_2 Q(S, \sigma)$. Put $X = V = \xi$ in (2.10), (2.18) and adding, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & R^\perp(\xi, Y)\sigma(U, \xi) - \sigma(R(\xi, Y)U, \xi) - \sigma(U, R(\xi, Y)\xi) = \\ & = -L_2 \{ S(\xi, \xi)\sigma(U, Y) - S(\xi, U)\sigma(\xi, Y) + S(\xi, Y)\sigma(\xi, U) - S(Y, U)\sigma(\xi, \xi) \}. \quad (5.10) \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.14), (3.15) and (3.17) in (5.10), we have $(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)[1 + L_2(n - 1)]\sigma(U, Y) = 0$. If $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$ and $L_2 \neq -\frac{1}{n-1}$, then $\sigma(U, Y) = 0$, i.e., M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial.

Theorem 5.5. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \widetilde{M} . Then the submanifold M is 2-Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel, if and only if it is totally geodesic.*

Proof. Let M be 2-Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel $\widetilde{R} \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \sigma = L_2 Q(S, \widetilde{\nabla} \sigma)$. Put $X = V = \xi$ in (2.10), (2.20) and adding, in view of (3.15) and (3.17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & R^\perp(\xi, Y)(\widetilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, \xi, W) - (\widetilde{\nabla} \sigma)(R(\xi, Y)U, \xi, W) - \\ & - (\widetilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, R(\xi, Y)\xi, W) - (\widetilde{\nabla} \sigma)(U, \xi, R(\xi, Y)W) = \\ & = -L_2 \left[(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\eta(W) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(Y, U) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi Y, U) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_\xi U) \right\} - \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \left\{ \nabla_W^\perp \sigma(Y, U) - \sigma(\nabla_W Y, U) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_W U) \right\} - \\
& -(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \eta(Y) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(W, U) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi W, U) - \sigma(W, \nabla_\xi U) \right\} - \\
& -(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \eta(U) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(Y, W) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi Y, W) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_\xi W) \right\}. \quad (5.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.2)–(5.5) into (5.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& R^\perp(\xi, Y) \{ \alpha \sigma(\phi U, W) - \beta \sigma(U, W) \} + \alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \eta(U) \sigma(\phi Y, W) - \\
& - \beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \eta(U) \sigma(Y, W) - \nabla_U^\perp \sigma((\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ \eta(Y) \xi - Y \}, W) + \\
& + \sigma(\nabla_U(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ \eta(Y) \xi - Y \}, W) - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \sigma(Y, \nabla_U W) + \\
& + \alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \eta(W) \sigma(\phi U, Y) - \beta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \eta(W) \sigma(U, Y) = \\
& = -L_2 \left[(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \eta(W) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(Y, U) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi Y, U) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_\xi U) \right\} - \right. \\
& \quad - (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \left\{ \nabla_W^\perp \sigma(Y, U) - \sigma(\nabla_W Y, U) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_W U) \right\} - \\
& \quad - (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \eta(Y) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(W, U) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi W, U) - \sigma(W, \nabla_\xi U) \right\} - \\
& \quad \left. - (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \eta(U) \left\{ \nabla_\xi^\perp \sigma(Y, W) - \sigma(\nabla_\xi Y, W) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_\xi W) \right\} \right]. \quad (5.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $W = \xi$ and using (3.4), (3.15), (3.17) in (5.12), we get $(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\sigma(U, Y) = 0$. Since $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \neq 0$, hence if $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$ and then $\sigma(U, Y) = 0$. i.e., M is totally geodesic. The converse statement is trivial.

Theorem 5.5 is proved.

Using Theorems 4.1 to 4.3, 5.1 to 5.5, Corollary 4.1 and the result of [3], we have the following result.

Corollary 5.1. *Let M be an invariant submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold \widetilde{M} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) σ is parallel;
- (2) σ is 2-parallel;
- (3) σ is recurrent;
- (4) σ is 2-recurrent;
- (5) σ is generalized 2-recurrent;
- (6) M has parallel third fundamental form;
- (7) M is semiparallel;
- (8) M is 2-semiparallel, if $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$;
- (9) M is pseudoparallel, if $L_1 \neq -(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$ and $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$;
- (10) M is 2-pseudoparallel, if $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$;
- (11) M is Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel, if $L_2 \neq -\frac{1}{n-1}$ and $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$;

(12) M is 2-Ricci-generalized pseudoparallel, if $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$;

(13) M is totally geodesic.

Example of trans-Sasakian manifold. We consider the 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in R^3 : x \neq 0, y \neq 0\}$, where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates in R^3 . Let $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ be linearly independent global frame field on M given by

$$E_1 = \frac{e^z}{x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right), \quad E_2 = \frac{e^z}{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad E_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(E_1, E_2) = g(E_2, E_3) = g(E_1, E_3) = 0,$$

$$g(E_1, E_1) = g(E_2, E_2) = g(E_3, E_3) = 1.$$

The (ϕ, ξ, η) is given by

$$\eta = dz - ydx, \quad \xi = E_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

$$\phi E_1 = E_2, \quad \phi E_2 = -E_1, \quad \phi E_3 = 0.$$

The linearity property of ϕ and g yields that

$$\eta(E_3) = 1, \quad \phi^2 U = -U + \eta(U)E_3,$$

$$g(\phi U, \phi W) = g(U, W) - \eta(U)\eta(W),$$

for any vector fields U, W on M . By definition of Lie bracket, we have

$$[E_1, E_2] = y \frac{e^z}{x} E_2 - \frac{e^{2z}}{xy} E_3, \quad [E_1, E_3] = -E_1, \quad [E_2, E_3] = -E_2.$$

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to above metric g is given by Koszula formula

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = X(g(Y, Z)) + Y(g(Z, X)) - Z(g(X, Y)) - g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]).$$

Then we get

$$\nabla_{E_1} E_1 = E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = -\frac{e^{2z}}{2xy} E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_3 = -E_1 + \frac{e^{2z}}{2xy} E_2,$$

$$\nabla_{E_2} E_1 = -y \frac{e^z}{x} E_2 + \frac{e^{2z}}{2xy} E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = y \frac{e^z}{x} E_1 + E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_3 = -\frac{e^{2z}}{2xy} E_1 - E_2,$$

$$\nabla_{E_3} E_1 = \frac{e^{2z}}{2xy} E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_3} E_2 = -\frac{e^{2z}}{2xy} E_1, \quad \nabla_{E_3} E_3 = 0.$$

The tangent vectors X and Y to M are expressed as linear combination of E_1, E_2, E_3 , i.e., $X = a_1E_1 + a_2E_2 + a_3E_3$ and $Y = b_1E_1 + b_2E_2 + b_3E_3$, where a_i and b_j are scalars. Clearly (ϕ, ξ, η, g) and X, Y satisfy equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) with $\alpha = -\frac{e^{2z}}{2xy}$ and $\beta = -1$. Thus M is a trans-Sasakian manifold. In particular we consider the example of monkey saddle given by

$$M = \{(x, y, z) \in R^3 : z = x^3 - 3xy^2\}.$$

By the above $x \neq 0, y \neq 0 \Rightarrow z \neq 0$ and $M = R^3 - \{0\}$. We show that though $\alpha \neq -\beta$, M is not totally geodesic. For if X is a patch defined by $X(u, v) = (u, v, u^3 - 3uv^2)$ then any tangent vector V to the monkey saddle is given by $V = C_1X_u + C_2X_v$, where $X_u = (1, 0, 3u - 3v^2)$ and $X_v = (0, 1, -6uv)$. M will not be totally geodesic, if $\nabla_V V \neq 0$. On verification we can see that $\nabla_V V \neq 0$. Hence M is not totally geodesic.

Conclusion. From the above discussion we conclude that $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$ is only a necessary condition but not a sufficient condition. Hence it needs further investigation.

1. Arslan K., Lumiste U., Murathan C., Ozgur C. 2-Semi-parallel surfaces in space forms. I. Two particular cases // Proc. Eston. Acad. Sci. Phys. Math. – 2000. – **49**, № 3. – P. 139–148.
2. Asperti A. C., Lobos G. A., Mercuri F. Pseudo-parallel immersions in space forms // Math. Contemp. – 1999. – **17**. – P. 59–70.
3. Aysel Turgut Vanli, Ramazan Sari. Invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds // Different. Geom.-Dynam. Systems. – 2010. – **12**. – P. 177–288.
4. Bagewadi C. S., Prasad V. S. Invariant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds // Kuvempu Univ. Sci. J. – 2001. – **1**, № 1. – P. 92–97.
5. Blair D. E. Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry // Lect. Notes Math. – 1976. – **509**.
6. Blair D. E., Oubina J. A. Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds // Publ. Math. – 1990. – **34**, № 1. – P. 199–207.
7. Chen B. Y. Geometry of submanifolds and its applications. – Tokyo: Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 1981.
8. Chinea D., Prestelo P. S. Invariant submanifolds of a trans-Sasakian manifolds // Publ. Math. Debrecen. – 1991. – **38**, № 1-2. – P. 103–109.
9. Deprez J. Semi-parallel surfaces in the Euclidean space // J. Geometry. – 1985. – **25**. – P. 192–200.
10. Gray A., Hervella L. M. The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants // Ann. mat. pura ed appl. – 1980. – **123**, № 4. – P. 35–58.
11. Marrero J. C. The local structure of trans-Sasakian manifolds // Ann. mat. pura ed appl. – 1992. – **4**, № 162. – P. 77–86.
12. Murathan C., Arslan K., Ezentas R. Ricci generalized pseudo-parallel immersions // Differential Geometry and its Applications. – Prague: Matfyzpress, 2005. – P. 99–108.
13. Oubina J. A. New classes of almost contact metric structures // Publ. Math. Debrecen. – 1985. – **32**. – P. 187–193.
14. Ozgur C., Murathan C. On invariant submanifolds of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds // Arab. J. Sci. Eng. A. – 2008. – **34**, № 2. – P. 177–185.
15. Roter W. On conformally recurrent Ricci-recurrent manifolds // Colloq. Math. – 1982. – **46**, № 1. – P. 45–57.
16. Shaikh A. A., Baishya K. K., Eyasmin. On D-homothetic deformation of trans-Sasakian structure // Demonstr. math. – 2008. – **41**, № 1. – P. 171–188.
17. Yano K., Kon M. Structures on manifolds. – World Sci. Publ., 1984.

Received 20.02.12,
after revision – 02.10.14