

**A. Fošner** (Univ. Primorska, Slovenia),

**N. Baydar** (Ege Univ., Izmir, Turkey),

**R. Strašek** (Univ. Primorska, Slovenia)

## REMARKS ON CERTAIN IDENTITIES WITH DERIVATIONS ON SEMIPRIME RINGS

### ПРО ДЕЯКІ ТОТОЖНОСТІ ДЛЯ ПОХІДНИХ НА НАПІВПРОСТИХ КІЛЬЦЯХ

Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer,  $R$  a  $(2n)!$ -torsion free semiprime ring,  $\alpha$  an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of  $R$ , and  $D_1, D_2: R \rightarrow R$  derivations. We prove the following result: If  $(D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)) \circ \alpha(x)^n = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ , then  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ . The same is true if  $R$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $F(x) \circ \beta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $F(x) = (D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)) \circ \alpha(x)$ ,  $x \in R$ , and  $\beta$  is any automorphism or anti-automorphism on  $R$ .

Припустимо, що  $n$  – фіксоване натуральне число,  $R$  –  $(2n)!$  напівпросте кільце, вільне від кручення,  $\alpha$  – автоморфізм або антиавтоморфізм на  $R$ , а  $D_1, D_2: R \rightarrow R$  – похідні. Доведено наступний результат: якщо  $(D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)) \circ \alpha(x)^n = 0$  виконується для всіх  $x \in R$ , то  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ . Аналогічне твердження справджується, якщо  $R$  – 2-напівпросте кільце, вільне від кручення, і  $F(x) \circ \beta(x) = 0$  для всіх  $x \in R$ , де  $F(x) = (D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)) \circ \alpha(x)$ ,  $x \in R$ , і  $\beta$  – довільний автоморфізм або антиавтоморфізм на  $R$ .

**1. Introduction.** The aim of this paper is to generalize the results obtained in [9]. Let us first fix some notation. Throughout the paper,  $R$  will represent an associative ring with a center  $Z(R)$ . Let  $n > 1$  be an integer. We say that a ring  $R$  is  $n$ -torsion free if  $nx = 0$ ,  $x \in R$ , implies  $x = 0$ . As usual, the Lie product of elements  $x, y \in R$  will be denoted by  $[x, y]$  (i. e.,  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ ) and the Jordan product of elements  $x, y \in R$  will be denoted by  $x \circ y$  (i.e.,  $x \circ y = xy + yx$ ). Recall that a ring  $R$  is prime if  $aRb = \{0\}$ ,  $a, b \in R$ , implies that either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , and it is semiprime if  $aRa = \{0\}$ ,  $a \in R$ , implies  $a = 0$ .

An additive mapping  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is called centralizing on  $R$  if  $[f(x), x] \in Z(R)$  holds for all  $x \in R$ . In a special case, when  $[f(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , the mapping  $f$  is said to be commuting on  $R$ . Furthermore, an additive mapping  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is skew-centralizing on  $R$  if  $f(x) \circ x \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , and it is called skew-commuting on  $R$  if  $f(x) \circ x = 0$  is fulfilled for all  $x \in R$ . We say that an additive mapping  $D: R \rightarrow R$  is a derivation on  $R$  if  $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . A classical result of Posner [12] (Posner's second theorem) states that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring forces the ring to be commutative. On the other hand, Posner's second theorem in general cannot be proved for semiprime rings as shows the following example. Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be prime rings with  $R_1$  commutative and set  $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ . Further, let  $D_1: R_1 \rightarrow R_1$  be a nonzero derivation. Then a mapping  $D: R \rightarrow R$  given by  $D((r_1, r_2)) = (D_1(r_1), 0)$  is a nonzero commuting derivation. It is also easy to show that every commuting derivation on a semiprime ring  $R$  maps  $R$  into  $Z(R)$  (see, for example, the end of the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [13]).

In the present paper we continue the series of papers concerning arbitrary additive maps of prime and semiprime rings satisfying certain identities (see [1–5, 9] and the references therein). In particular, we generalize the main results obtained in [9].

**2. The results.** Before stating our main theorems, let us write some known facts which we will need in the sequel. So, let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $f: R \rightarrow R$  an additive mapping such that  $[f(x), x^2] = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $f$  must be commuting on  $R$ . This result was proved

by Vukman and the second named author in [9]. Moreover, the same conclusion is true, if  $f$  satisfies  $[f(x), x^n] = 0$ ,  $x \in R$ , where  $n$  is a fixed positive integer and  $R$  is a  $n!$ -torsion free semiprime ring (see [8], Theorem 2). Now, let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $R$  and suppose that an additive mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$  satisfies the relation

$$[f(x), \alpha(x)^n] = 0 \quad (1)$$

for all  $x \in R$ . This means that

$$f(x)\alpha(x)^n - \alpha(x)^n f(x) = 0$$

for all  $x \in R$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $R$ , we have

$$\alpha^{-1}(f(x))x^n - x^n\alpha^{-1}(f(x)) = [\alpha^{-1}(f(x)), x^n] = 0.$$

Moreover, if  $\alpha$  is an anti-automorphism of  $R$  such that (1) holds, then

$$x^n\alpha^{-1}(f(x)) - \alpha^{-1}(f(x))x^n = -[\alpha^{-1}(f(x)), x^n] = 0.$$

Thus, using Theorem 2 in [8], we have the next result.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer,  $R$  a  $n!$ -torsion free semiprime ring, and  $\alpha$  an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of  $R$ . Suppose that an additive mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$  satisfies the relation (1) for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ .*

Next, let us take the Jordan product instead of the Lie product in (1) and observe the relation

$$f(x) \circ \alpha(x)^n \in Z(R), \quad (2)$$

where  $f$  is an additive map on a  $(2n)!$ -torsion free semiprime ring  $R$  and  $\alpha$  an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of  $R$ . Then we obtain

$$[f(x) \circ \alpha(x)^n, y] = 0$$

for all  $y \in R$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $\alpha(x)^n$ , we get

$$0 = [f(x) \circ \alpha(x)^n, \alpha(x)^n] = [f(x), \alpha(x)^{2n}].$$

Using Proposition 1, we have the next result which generalizes Theorem 3 in [8].

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer,  $R$  a  $(2n)!$ -torsion free semiprime ring, and  $\alpha$  an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of  $R$ . Suppose that an additive mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$  satisfies the relation (2) for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ .*

In particular, we will use the following corollary of Proposition 2.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer,  $R$  a  $(2n)!$ -torsion free semiprime ring, and  $\alpha$  an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of  $R$ . Suppose that an additive mapping  $f : R \rightarrow R$  satisfies*

$$f(x) \circ \alpha(x)^n = 0$$

*for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ .*

Posner's first theorem [12] states that the composition of two nonzero derivations on a 2-torsion free prime ring cannot be a derivation. On the other hand, this conclusion is not true in the case of semiprime rings (see, for example, [6]). However, Herstein [10] (Lemma 1.1.9) showed that if  $R$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $D_1, D_2 : R \rightarrow R$  derivations such that  $D_1^2(x) = D_2(x)$  holds for all  $x \in R$ , then  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ . The same is true if  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  satisfy the relation  $(D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)) \circ x^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  (see [9]). These results motivated us to prove the following theorem which generalizes Theorem 8 in [9].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer,  $R$  a  $(2n)!$ -torsion free semiprime ring,  $\alpha$  an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of  $R$ , and  $D_1, D_2: R \rightarrow R$  derivations. Suppose that*

$$(D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)) \circ \alpha(x)^n = 0$$

holds for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ .

In the following, we shall use the fact that any semiprime ring  $R$  and its maximal right ring of quotients  $Q$  satisfy the same differential identities which is very useful since  $Q$  contains the identity element (see [11], Theorem 3). For the explanation of differential identities we refer the reader to [7].

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By Theorem 3 in [11], we have

$$D(x) \circ \alpha(x)^n = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all  $x \in Q$ , where  $D(x)$  stands for  $D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)$ . Since  $D$  is additive, by Corollary 1, we obtain  $[D(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and, again, using [11], this identity is true for all  $x \in Q$ .

Recall that  $D(1) = 0$ . Putting  $x + 1$  instead of  $x$  in (3) we get

$$D(x) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha(x)^{n-k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha(x)^{n-k} D(x) = 0 \tag{4}$$

for all  $x \in Q$ . It follows from (3) and (4) that

$$D(x) \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha(x)^{n-k} + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha(x)^{n-k} D(x) = 0 \tag{5}$$

holds for all  $x \in Q$ . Again, putting  $x + 1$  instead of  $x$  and comparing the obtained equality with (5), we have

$$D(x) \sum_{k=2}^n t_k \alpha(x)^{n-k} + \sum_{k=2}^n t_k \alpha(x)^{n-k} D(x) = 0,$$

where  $t_2, \dots, t_n$  are the appropriate positive integers. Continuing with the same procedure for  $(n - 2)$ -times, we get

$$n!(D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x)) + (n - 1)n!D(x) = 0$$

for every  $x \in Q$ . Since  $[D(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$ , we obtain

$$2D(x)\alpha(x) + (n - 1)D(x) = 0$$

for all  $x \in Q$ . Again, putting  $x + 1$  in the last identity, we get  $2D(x) = 0$ ,  $x \in Q$ , and, therefore,  $D = 0$ . Recall that in the case  $n = 1$  we do this procedure just for one time and if  $n = 2$  we do this procedure for two times. In both cases we get the same conclusion, i.e.,  $D = 0$ . At the end, using Lemma 1.1.9 in [10], we get  $D_1 = 0$  and  $D_2 = 0$ , as asserted.

Theorem 1 is proved.

If we take  $n = 2$  and  $\alpha = id$ , where  $id$  denotes the identity map on  $R$ , we have the next direct consequence of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2** ([9], Theorem 8). *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let  $D_1, D_2: R \rightarrow R$  be derivations. Suppose that*

$$(D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)) \circ x^2 = 0$$

*holds for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ .*

**Remark 1.** Let us point out that in Corollary 2 we do not have to restrict ourselves to 4!-torsion free semiprime rings, since the result holds true for 2-torsion free semiprime rings, as well. The main idea of the proof remains the same.

We proceed with the following result which generalizes Theorem 9 in [9].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring,  $\alpha$  an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of  $R$ , and  $D_1, D_2: R \rightarrow R$  derivations. Suppose that  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is a mapping defined by*

$$F(x) = (D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)) \circ \alpha(x), \quad x \in R.$$

*If  $F(x) \circ \beta(x) = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$  and some automorphism or anti-automorphism  $\beta$  of  $R$ , then  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ .*

**Proof.** By the assumption, we have

$$(D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x)) \circ \beta(x) = 0$$

for all  $x \in R$ , where  $D(x) = D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)$ . This means that

$$(D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x))\beta(x) + \beta(x)(D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x)) = 0$$

for all  $x \in R$ . According to Theorem 3 in [11], the above identity holds for all  $x \in Q$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x + 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x))\beta(x) + \beta(x)(D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x)) + \\ &+ 2(D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x)) + 2(D(x)\beta(x) + \beta(x)D(x)) + 4D(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in Q$ . Combining the last two relations, it follows that

$$D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x) + D(x)\beta(x) + \beta(x)D(x) + 2D(x) = 0 \quad (6)$$

for all  $x \in Q$ . Again, putting  $x + 1$  instead of  $x$  in the above identity and comparing so obtained equality with the relation (6), we get  $4D(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in Q$ . This yields that  $D(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and, by Lemma 1.1.9 in [10],  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ .

Theorem 2 is proved.

Taking  $\alpha = \beta = id$ , we have the next direct consequence of Theorem 2.

**Corollary 3** ([9], Theorem 9). *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let  $D_1, D_2: R \rightarrow R$  be derivations. Suppose that  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is a mapping defined by*

$$F(x) = (D_1^2(x) + D_2(x)) \circ x, \quad x \in R.$$

*If  $F$  is skew-commuting on  $R$ , then  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ .*

**Remark 2.** At the end, let us point out that (with the same main idea) we can prove the conclusion of Theorem 2 even if we replace the identity  $F(x) \circ \beta(x) = 0$  with the identity  $F(x) \circ \beta(x)^n = 0$ , where  $n$  is any fixed positive integer. We only have to restrict ourselves to suitable torsion free semiprime rings. In the following, we will write just a sketch of the proof since the proof is rather technical but the main idea remains the same.

Firstly, we know that

$$(D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x)) \circ \beta(x)^n = 0$$

for all  $x \in R$ . This means that

$$(D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x))\beta(x)^n + \beta(x)^n(D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x)) = 0$$

for all  $x \in Q$ , as well. Replacing  $x$  by  $x + 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x) + 2D(x)) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \beta(x)^{n-k} + \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \beta(x)^{n-k} (D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x) + 2D(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in Q$ . Combining the last two relations, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x) + 2D(x)) \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \beta(x)^{n-k} + \\ &+ 2(D(x)\beta(x)^n + \beta(x)^n D(x)) + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \beta(x)^{n-k} (D(x)\alpha(x) + \alpha(x)D(x) + 2D(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Again, putting  $x + 1$  instead of  $x$  in the above identity and continuing with the same procedure for  $n$ -times, we get  $D(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in Q$ . This yields that  $D(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and, by Lemma 1.1.9 in [10],  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ .

1. Brešar M. On a generalization of the notion of centralizing mappings // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1992. – **114**. – P. 641–649.
2. Brešar M. Centralizing mappings of rings // J. Algebra. – 1993. – **156**. – P. 385–394.
3. Brešar M. Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, commutativity-preserving mappings and Lie mappings // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1993. – **335**. – P. 525–546.
4. Brešar M. On skew-commuting mappings of rings // Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. – 1993. – **47**. – P. 291–296.
5. Brešar M., Hvala B. On additive maps of prime rings // Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. – 1995. – **51**. – P. 377–381.
6. Brešar M., Vukman J. Orthogonal derivations and an extension of a theorem of Posner // Radovi Mat. – 1989. – **5**. – P. 237–246.
7. Chuang C.-L. On decomposition of derivations of prime rings // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1990. – **108**. – P. 647–652.
8. Fošner A., Rehman N. Ur. Identities with additive mappings in semiprime rings // Bull. Korean Math. Soc. – 2014. – **51**, № 1. – P. 207–211.
9. Fošner A., Vukman J. Some results concerning additive mappings and derivations on semiprime rings // Publ. Math. Debrecen. – 2011. – **78**. – P. 575–581.
10. Herstein I. N. Rings with involution. – Chicago; London: Chicago Univ. Press, 1976.
11. Lee T.-K. Semiprime rings with differential identities // Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica. – 1992. – **20**. – P. 27–38.
12. Posner E. C. Derivations in prime rings // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1957. – **8**. – P. 1093–1100.
13. Vukman J. Identities with derivations on rings and Banach algebras // Glasnik Mat. – 2005. – **40**. – P. 189–199.

Received 17.09.12,  
after revision – 18.10.13