

q -APOSTOL – EULER POLYNOMIALS AND q -ALTERNATING SUMS* **q -ПОЛІНОМИ АПОСТОЛА – ЕЙЛЕРА ТА q -ЗНАКОЗМІННІ СУМИ**

We establish the basic properties and generating functions of the q -Apostol–Euler polynomials. We define q -alternating sums and obtain q -extensions of some formulas in [Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. – 2009. – 20. – P. 377–391]. We also deduce an explicit relationship between the q -Apostol–Euler polynomials and the q -Hurwitz–Lerch zeta-function.

Встановлено основні властивості та твірні функції q -поліномів Апостола–Ейлера. Визначено q -знакозмінні суми та отримано q -продовження деяких формул з [Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. – 2009. – 20. – P. 377–391]. Виведено також явне співвідношення між q -поліномами Апостола–Ейлера і q -дзета-функцією Хурвіца–Лерча.

1. Introduction and definitions. Throughout this paper, we always use the following notation: $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ denotes the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers, $\mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}$ denotes the set of nonpositive integers, \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integers, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers, \mathbb{C} denotes the set of complex numbers.

The q -shifted factorial are defined by

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_k = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \dots (1 - aq^{k-1}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(a; q)_\infty = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \dots (1 - aq^k) \dots = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k).$$

The q -numbers are defined by $[a]_q = \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q}$, $q \neq 1$.

The above q -standard notation can be found in Gasper [12, p. 7].

The classical Bernoulli polynomials and Euler polynomials are defined by means of the following generating functions (see, e.g., [1, p. 804–806], [11] or [25, p. 25–32]):

$$\frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < 2\pi, \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\frac{2e^{xz}}{e^z + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < \pi, \quad (1.2)$$

respectively. Obviously, $B_n := B_n(0)$ and $E_n := E_n(0)$ are the Bernoulli numbers and Euler numbers respectively.

Some interesting analogues of the classical Bernoulli polynomials were first investigated by Apostol. We begin by recalling here Apostol's definition as follows:

* This research was supported by Natural Science Foundation Project of Chongqing, China under Grant CSTC2011JJA00024, Research Project of Science and Technology of Chongqing Education Commission, China under Grant KJ120625, Fund of Chongqing Normal University, China under Grant 10XLR017 and 2011XLZ07 and the PhD Program Scholarship Fund of ECNU 2009 of China under Grant 2009041.

Definition 1.1 [2]. *The Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials $\mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda)$ are defined by means of the generating function*

$$\frac{ze^{xz}}{\lambda e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda) \frac{z^n}{n!} \tag{1.3}$$

$$(|z| < 2\pi \text{ when } \lambda = 1; \quad |z| < |\log \lambda| \text{ when } \lambda \neq 1)$$

with, of course,

$$B_n(x) = \mathcal{B}_n(x; 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda) := \mathcal{B}_n(0; \lambda),$$

where $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ denotes the so-called Apostol–Bernoulli numbers (in fact, it is a function in λ).

Recently, Luo further extended the Euler polynomials based on the Apostol’s idea [2] as follows:

Definition 1.2 (cf. [18]). *The Apostol–Euler polynomials $\mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda)$ are defined by means of the generating function*

$$\frac{2e^{xz}}{\lambda e^z + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda) \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < |\log(-\lambda)|, \tag{1.4}$$

with, of course,

$$E_n(x) = \mathcal{E}_n(x; 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_n(\lambda) := \mathcal{E}_n(0; \lambda), \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_n(\lambda)$ denote the so-called Apostol–Euler numbers.

Recently, M. Cenkci and M. Can [6] further defined the following q -extensions of the Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials, i.e., the so-called q -Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials.

Definition 1.3. *The q -Apostol–Bernoulli numbers $\mathcal{B}_{n;q}(\lambda)$ and polynomials $\mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda)$ are defined by means of the generating functions*

$$U_{\lambda;q}(t) = -t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n q^n e^{[n]_q t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n;q}(\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$U_{x;\lambda;q}(t) = -t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n q^{n+x} e^{[n+x]_q t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} \tag{1.7}$$

respectively.

Setting $\lambda = 1$ in (1.6) and (1.7), we obtain the corresponding Carlitz’s definitions for the q -Bernoulli numbers $B_{n;q}$ and q -Bernoulli polynomials $B_{n;q}(x)$ respectively.

Obviously,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} B_{n;q}(x) = B_n(x), \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} B_{n;q} = B_n$$

and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{B}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) = \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda), \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{B}_{n;q}(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda).$$

It follows that we define the following q -extensions of the Apostol–Euler numbers and polynomials (see [3–6, 9]).

Definition 1.4. The q -Apostol–Euler numbers $\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda)$ and polynomials $\mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda)$ are defined by means of the generating functions

$$V_{\lambda;q}(t) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^n q^n e^{[n]_q t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$V_{x;\lambda;q}(t) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^n q^{n+x} e^{[n+x]_q t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (1.9)$$

respectively.

When $\lambda = 1$, then the above definitions (1.8) and (1.9) will become the corresponding definitions of the q -Euler numbers $E_{n;q}$ and q -Euler polynomials $E_{n;q}(x)$.

Clearly,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} E_{n;q}(x) = E_n(x), \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} E_{n;q} = E_n$$

and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) = \mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda), \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda) = \mathcal{E}_n(\lambda).$$

There are numerous recent investigations on this subject by, among many other authors, Cenkci et al. [6–8], Choi et al. [9, 10], Kim [14–16], Luo and Srivastava [17–24], Ozden [26] and Simsek [27–29].

The aim of the present paper is to investigate the basic properties, generating functions, Raabe's multiplication theorem and alternating sums for the q -Apostol–Euler polynomials and to obtain some q -extensions of some formulas in [Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. – 2009. – **20**. – P. 377–391]. We also derive some interesting formulas and relationships between the q -Apostol–Euler polynomials, the q -Apostol–Euler polynomials and q -Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function.

2. The properties of the q -Apostol–Euler polynomials. The following *elementary* properties of the q -Apostol–Euler polynomials $\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda)$ are readily derived from (1.8) and (1.9). We, therefore, choose to omit the details involved.

Proposition 2.1 (the several values).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{0;q}(x; \lambda) &= \frac{2}{\lambda q + 1} q^x, \\ \mathcal{E}_{1;q}(x; \lambda) &= \frac{2}{\lambda q + 1} q^x [x]_q - \frac{2\lambda}{(\lambda q + 1)(\lambda q^2 + 1)} q^{2x+1}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{0;q}(\lambda) &= \frac{2}{\lambda q + 1}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{1;q}(\lambda) &= -\frac{2\lambda q}{(\lambda q + 1)(\lambda q^2 + 1)}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{2;q}(\lambda) &= \frac{2\lambda q(\lambda q^2 - 1)}{(\lambda q + 1)(\lambda q^2 + 1)(\lambda q^3 + 1)}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{3;q}(\lambda) &= -\frac{2\lambda q(\lambda^2 q^5 - 4\lambda q^2 + 1)}{(\lambda q + 1)(\lambda q^2 + 1)(\lambda q^3 + 1)(\lambda q^4 + 1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.2. *An expansion formula of q -Apostol – Euler polynomials*

$$\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{E}_{k;q}(\lambda) q^{(k+1)x} [x]_q^{n-k}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.3 (difference equation).

$$\lambda \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x + 1; \lambda) + \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) = 2q^x [x]_q^n. \tag{2.3}$$

Proposition 2.4 (differential relation).

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) = \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) \log q + n \frac{\log q}{q - 1} q^x \mathcal{E}_{n-1;q}(x; \lambda q). \tag{2.4}$$

Proposition 2.5 (integral formula).

$$\int_a^b q^x \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda q) dx = \frac{1 - q}{n + 1} \int_a^b \mathcal{E}_{n+1;q}(x; \lambda) dx + \frac{q - 1}{\log q} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{n+1;q}(b; \lambda) - \mathcal{E}_{n+1;q}(a; \lambda)}{n + 1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proposition 2.6 (addition theorem).

$$\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x + y; \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{E}_{k;q}(x; \lambda) q^{(k+1)y} [y]_q^{n-k}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proposition 2.7 (theorem of complement).

$$\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(1 - x; \lambda) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda q^n} \mathcal{E}_{n;q^{-1}}(x; \lambda^{-1}), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(1 + x; \lambda) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda q^n} \mathcal{E}_{n;q^{-1}}(-x; \lambda^{-1}). \tag{2.8}$$

Remark 2.1. If $q \rightarrow 1$, then the formulas (2.1)–(2.8) become the corresponding formulas for the Apostol–Euler polynomials (see [18, p.918, 919], Eqs. (3)–(11) when $\alpha = 1$). So the above formulas are q -extensions of the corresponding formulas of the Apostol–Euler polynomials respectively.

Remark 2.2. When $\lambda = 1$, then the formulas (2.1) – (2.8) become the corresponding formulas for the q -Euler polynomials (see [3–5]).

3. The generating functions of q -Apostol–Euler polynomials. By (1.8) and (1.9) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{x;\lambda;q}(t) &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^n q^{n+x} e^{[n+x]_q t} = \\ &= 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^n q^{n+x} e^{-\frac{q^{n+x}}{1-q} t} = \\ &= 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)x}}{(1-q)^k} \frac{t^k}{k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda q^{k+1})^n = \\ &= 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)x}}{1 + \lambda q^{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q} \right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore, we obtain the generating function of $\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda)$ as follows:

$$V_{x;\lambda;q}(t) = 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)x}}{1 + \lambda q^{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q} \right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (3.2)$$

Clearly, setting $x = 0$ in (3.2) we have the generating function of $\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda)$:

$$V_{\lambda;q}(t) = 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{1 + \lambda q^{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q} \right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (3.3)$$

Putting $\lambda = 1$ in (3.2) and (3.3), we deduce the generating function of $E_{n;q}(x)$ and $E_{n;q}$

$$V_{x;q}(t) = 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)x}}{1 + q^{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q} \right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n;q}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$V_q(t) = 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{1 + q^{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q} \right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n;q} \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (3.5)$$

respectively.

It is not difficult, from (3.2) and (3.3) we get the following closed formulas:

$$\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) = \frac{2}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)x}}{1 + \lambda q^{k+1}} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda) = \frac{2}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{1 + \lambda q^{k+1}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Remark 3.1. In the same way, we can also obtain the generating function of q -Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials as follows:

$$U_{x;\lambda;q}(t) = -te^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)x}}{1 - \lambda q^{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q}\right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n;q}(x; \lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{3.8}$$

4. q -Raabe’s multiplication theorem, q -alternating sums and their applications.

Theorem 4.1 (q -Apostol–Raabe’s multiplication theorem). *For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(mx; \lambda) = \begin{cases} [m]_q^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-\lambda)^j \mathcal{E}_{n;q^m} \left(x + \frac{j}{m}; \lambda^m\right), & m \text{ is odd,} \\ -\frac{2}{n+1} [m]_q^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-\lambda)^j \mathcal{B}_{n+1;q^m} \left(x + \frac{j}{m}; \lambda^m\right), & m \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. If m is odd, we compute the following sum by (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[[m]_q^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-\lambda)^j \mathcal{E}_{n;q^m} \left(x + \frac{j}{m}; \lambda^m\right) \right] \frac{t^n}{n!} = \\ & = 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)mx}}{1 + (\lambda q^{k+1})^m} \left(\frac{1}{1-q}\right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-\lambda q^{k+1})^j = \\ & = 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)mx}}{1 + \lambda q^{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q}\right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} = \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(mx; \lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\frac{t^n}{n!}$ on the both sides of (4.2), we obtain the first formula of Theorem 4.1.

If m is even, we calculate the following sum by (3.8) and (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[-\frac{2}{n+1} [m]_q^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-\lambda)^j \mathcal{B}_{n+1;q^m} \left(x + \frac{j}{m}; \lambda^m\right) \right] \frac{t^n}{n!} = \\ & = 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)mx}}{1 - (\lambda q^{k+1})^m} \left(\frac{1}{1-q}\right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-\lambda q^{k+1})^j = \\ & = 2e^{\frac{t}{1-q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{(k+1)mx}}{1 + \lambda q^{k+1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q}\right)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} = \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(mx; \lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\frac{t^n}{n!}$ on the both sides of (4.3), we obtain the second formula of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.1 is proved.

Clearly, the above formulas (4.1) of Theorem 4.1 are a q -extensions of the multiplication formulas in [20, p. 386] (Eq. (43)).

Taking $\lambda = 1$ in (4.1), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1 (q -Raabe's multiplication theorem). *For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have*

$$E_{n;q}(mx) = \begin{cases} [m]_q^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^j E_{n;q^m} \left(x + \frac{j}{m} \right), & m \text{ is odd,} \\ -\frac{2}{n+1} [m]_q^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^j B_{n+1;q^m} \left(x + \frac{j}{m} \right), & m \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

Obviously, the above formulas (4.4) are a q -extensions of the classical Raabe's multiplication theorem of Euler polynomials in [20, p. 386] (Eq. (45)).

We now define the following alternating sums:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{k;q}(m; n; \lambda) &= \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} \lambda^j q^{j(n-k)} [j]_q^k = \\ &= \lambda q^{n-k} [1]_q^k - \lambda^2 q^{2(n-k)} [2]_q^k + \dots + (-1)^{m+1} \lambda^m q^{m(n-k)} [m]_q^k, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$Z_{k;q}(m; n) = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} q^{j(n-k)} [j]_q^k = q^{n-k} [1]_q^k - q^{2(n-k)} [2]_q^k + \dots + (-1)^{m+1} q^{m(n-k)} [m]_q^k, \quad (4.6)$$

$$Z_k(m; \lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} \lambda^j j^k = \lambda 1^k - \lambda^2 2^k + \dots + (-1)^{m+1} \lambda^m m^k, \quad (4.7)$$

$$Z_k(m) = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} j^k = 1^k - 2^k + \dots + (-1)^{m+1} m^k, \quad (4.8)$$

$$m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}; \quad n \geq k; \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

which are called the q - λ -alternating sums, q -alternating sums, λ -alternating sums and alternating sums respectively.

It is easy to obtain the following generating functions of $Z_k(m; \lambda)$ and $Z_k(m)$ respectively:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_k(m; \lambda) \frac{x^k}{k!} = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} \lambda^j e^{jx} = \frac{(-\lambda)^{m+1} e^{(m+1)x} + \lambda e^x}{\lambda e^x + 1}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_k(m) \frac{x^k}{k!} = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} e^{jx} = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} e^{(m+1)x} + e^x}{e^x + 1}. \quad (4.10)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let m be odd. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the recursive formula of q -Apostol–Euler numbers*

$$[m]_q^n \mathcal{E}_{n;q^m}(\lambda^m) - \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} [m]_q^k \mathcal{E}_{k;q^m}(\lambda^m) Z_{n-k;q}(m-1; n+1; \lambda) \tag{4.11}$$

holds true in terms of the q - λ -alternating sums defined by (4.5).

Proof. If m is odd, taking $x = 0$ in (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda) &= [m]_q^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-\lambda)^j \mathcal{E}_{n;q^m} \left(\frac{j}{m}; \lambda^m \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} [m]_q^k \mathcal{E}_{k;q^m}(\lambda^m) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-\lambda)^j q^{(k+1)j} [j]_q^{n-k} = \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} [m]_q^k \mathcal{E}_{k;q^m}(\lambda^m) Z_{n-k;q}(m-1; n+1; \lambda) + [m]_q^n \mathcal{E}_{n;q^m}(\lambda^m). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

The formula (4.11) follows.

Theorem 4.2 is proved.

Clearly, the above formula (4.11) is an q -extension of the formula in [20, p. 389] (Eq. (60)).

Putting $\lambda = 1$ in (4.11), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let m be odd. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the recursive formula of Apostol–Euler numbers holds*

$$[m]_q^n E_{n;q^m} - E_{n;q} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} [m]_q^k E_{k;q^m} Z_{n-k;q}(m-1; n+1) \quad (m \text{ is odd}). \tag{4.13}$$

Clearly, the above formula (4.13) is a q -extension of the formula in [20, p. 389] (Eq. (61)).

Theorem 4.3. *Let m be even. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the formula of q -Apostol–Euler numbers*

$$\begin{aligned} [m]_q \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda) + \frac{2}{n+1} [m]_q^{n+1} \mathcal{B}_{n+1;q^m}(\lambda^m) &= \\ = \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} [m]_q^k \mathcal{B}_{k;q^m}(\lambda^m) Z_{n+1-k;q}(m-1; n+1; \lambda), \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

holds true in terms of the q - λ -alternating sums defined by (4.5).

Proof. If m is even, setting $x = 0$ in (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda) &= -\frac{2}{n+1} [m]_q^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-\lambda)^j \mathcal{B}_{n+1;q^m} \left(\frac{j}{m}; \lambda^m \right) = \\ &= \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} [m]_q^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{k;q^m}(\lambda^m) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{j+1} \lambda^j q^{kj} [j]_q^{n+1-k} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} [m]_q^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{k;q^m}(\lambda^m) Z_{n-k+1;q}(m-1; n+1; \lambda) - \frac{2}{n+1} [m]_q^n \mathcal{B}_{n+1;q^m}(\lambda^m). \quad (4.15)$$

The formula (4.3) follows.

Theorem 4.3 is proved.

Clearly, the above formula (4.3) is a q -extension of the formula (see [20, p. 390], Eq. (63) for $\ell = 1$):

$$m\mathcal{E}_n(\lambda) + \frac{2}{n+1} m^{n+1} \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(\lambda^m) = \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} m^k \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda^m) Z_{n+1-k}(m-1; \lambda) \quad (m \text{ is even}), \quad (4.16)$$

where λ -alternating sums defined by (4.7).

Putting $\lambda = 1$ in (4.3), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. For m be even, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the formula of q -Euler numbers

$$[m]_q E_{n;q} + \frac{2}{n+1} [m]_q^{n+1} B_{n+1;q^m} = \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} [m]_q^k B_{k;q^m} Z_{n+1-k;q}(m-1; n+1), \quad (4.17)$$

holds true in terms of the q -alternating sums defined by (4.6).

Clearly, the above formula (4.17) is a q -extension of the formula in [20, p. 390] (Eq. (64) for $\ell = 1$).

Remark 4.1. Setting $\lambda = 1$ in (4.16) and noting that $Z_0(m-1) = 1$ for m even, we derive the following interesting sum formula:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} m^k B_k Z_{n+1-k}(m-1) = \frac{m(n+1)}{2} E_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}; \quad m \text{ is even}). \quad (4.18)$$

Applying the relation $E_n = \frac{2}{n+1} (1 - 2^{n+1}) B_{n+1}$ to (4.18), we find that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} m^k B_k Z_{n+1-k}(m-1) = m(1 - 2^{n+1}) B_{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}; \quad m \text{ is even}), \quad (4.19)$$

which is just the formula of Howard (see [13, p. 167], Eq. (33)).

Remark 4.2. Separating the odd and even terms in (4.19), and noting that $B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $B_{2n+1} = 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{2k} m^{2k} B_{2k} Z_{2n-2k}(m-1) = m(1 - 2^{2n}) B_{2n} + mn Z_{2n-1}(m-1) - Z_{2n}(m-1) \quad (4.20)$$

$$(n \in \mathbb{N}; \quad m \text{ is even})$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \binom{2n+1}{2k} m^{2k} B_{2k} Z_{2n-2k+1}(m-1) = \frac{m(2n+1)}{2} Z_{2n}(m-1) - Z_{2n+1}(m-1) \quad (4.21)$$

$$(n \in \mathbb{N}; \quad m \text{ is even}),$$

where the alternating sums $Z_k(m)$ defined by (4.8).

Below we give the evaluations for the alternating sums (4.5), (4.6) given by (4.22) and (4.24) respectively.

Theorem 4.4. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the following formula of *q*- λ -alternating sums:

$$Z_{n;q}(m; n + 1; \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{j+1} \lambda^j q^j [j]_q^n = \frac{(-\lambda)^{m+1} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(m + 1; \lambda) - \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda)}{2}, \tag{4.22}$$

holds true in terms of the *q*-Apostol – Euler polynomials.

Proof. It is easy to observe that

$$(-\lambda)^{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^j q^{m+j+1} e^{[m+j+1]_q t} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^j q^j e^{[j]_q t} = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{j+1} \lambda^j q^j e^{[j]_q t}. \tag{4.23}$$

By (1.8), (1.9) and (4.23), via simple computation, we arrive at the desire (4.22) immediately.

Theorem 4.4 is proved.

Clearly, the above formula (4.22) is a *q*-extension of the formula in [20, p. 388] (Eq. (55)).

Setting $\lambda = 1$ in (4.22), then we have

$$Z_{n;q}(m; n + 1) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{j+1} q^j [j]_q^n = -\frac{(-1)^m E_{n;q}(m + 1) + E_{n;q}}{2}, \tag{4.24}$$

which is a *q*-extension of the well-known formula in [20, p. 388] (Eq. (56)) and [1, p. 804], (23.1.4).

5. Some relationships between the *q*-Apostol – Euler polynomials and *q*-Hurwitz – Lerch zeta-function. The Hurwitz – Lerch zeta-function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ defined by (cf., e.g., [29, p. 121]).

$$\Phi(z, s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n + a)^s}$$

$$(a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } |z| < 1; \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } |z| = 1)$$

contains, as its *special* cases, not only the Riemann and Hurwitz (or generalized) zeta-functions

$$\zeta(s) := \Phi(1, s, 1) = \zeta(s, 1) = \frac{1}{2^s - 1} \zeta\left(s, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$\zeta(s, a) := \Phi(1, s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + a)^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1, \quad a \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-,$$

and the Lerch zeta-function:

$$l_s(\xi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2n\pi i \xi}}{n^s} = e^{2\pi i \xi} \Phi\left(e^{2\pi i \xi}, s, 1\right), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Re(s) > 1,$$

but also such other functions as the polylogarithmic function:

$$\text{Li}_s(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^s} = z \Phi(z, s, 1)$$

$$(s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } |z| < 1; \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } |z| = 1)$$

and the Lipschitz–Lerch zeta-function (cf. [29, p. 122], Eq. 2.5 (11)):

$$\phi(\xi, a, s) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2n\pi i \xi}}{(n+a)^s} = \Phi(e^{2\pi i \xi}, s, a) =: L(\xi, s, a)$$

$$(a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \Re(s) > 0 \text{ when } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}; \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } \xi \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We define the q -Hurwitz–Lerch zeta-functions as follows:

Definition 5.1. For $\Re(a) > 0$, q -Hurwitz–Lerch zeta-function is defined by

$$\Phi_q(z, s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n q^{n+a}}{[n+a]_q^s}, \quad \Re(a) > 0, \quad a \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-.$$

Theorem 5.1. The following relationship:

$$\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(a; \lambda) = 2\Phi_q(-\lambda, -n, a), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |\lambda| \leq 1, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \quad (5.1)$$

holds true between the q -Apostol–Euler polynomials and the q -Hurwitz–Lerch zeta-function.

Proof. We differentiate the both sides of (1.9) with respect to the variable t yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{n;q}(a; \lambda) &= \left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} V_{a;\lambda;q}(t) \right|_{t=0} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^k q^{k+a} \left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left\{ e^{[k+a]_q t} \right\} \right|_{t=0} = \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^k q^{k+a} ([k+a]_q)^n = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^k q^{k+a}}{[k+a]_q^{-n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1 is proved.

Letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in (5.1), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1. The following relationship:

$$\mathcal{E}_n(a; \lambda) = 2\Phi(-\lambda, -n, a), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |\lambda| \leq 1, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-,$$

holds true between the Apostol–Euler polynomials and Hurwitz–Lerch zeta-function.

On the other hand, we define an analogue of the Hurwitz zeta-function as follows:

Definition 5.2. For $\Re(s) > 1$, $a \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, L -function is defined by

$$L(s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+a)^s}.$$

Clearly, $L(s, a) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}, a, s\right) = \Phi(e^{\pi i}, s, a) = L\left(\frac{1}{2}, s, a\right)$.

Next we define an q -extension of the L -function.

Definition 5.3. For $\Re(s) > 1$, $a \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, the q - L -function is defined by

$$L_q(s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n+a}}{[n+a]_q^s}.$$

In the same way, we can obtain the following relationships.

Theorem 5.2. *The following relationship:*

$$E_{n,q}(a) = 2L_q(-n, a), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-,$$

holds true between the q -Euler polynomials and q - L -function.

Corollary 5.2. *The following relationship:*

$$E_n(a) = 2L(-n, a), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-,$$

holds true between the Euler polynomials and the L -function.

We define an analogue of the Riemann zeta-function:

Definition 5.4. *The l -function is defined by*

$$l(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.$$

Obviously, $l(s) = l_s\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \text{Li}_s(-1)$.

It follows that we define a q -extension of the l -function:

Definition 5.5. *The q - l -function is defined by*

$$l_q(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^n}{[n]_q^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.$$

Similarly, we can obtain the following explicit relationship:

Theorem 5.3. *The following relationship:*

$$E_{n,q} = 2l_q(-n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

holds true between the q -Euler numbers and q - l -function.

Corollary 5.3. *The following relationship:*

$$E_n = 2l(-n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

holds true between the Euler numbers and l -function.

6. Some explicit relationships between the q -Apostol – Bernoulli and q -Apostol – Euler polynomials. In this section, we will investigate some relationships between the q -Apostol – Bernoulli and q -Apostol – Euler polynomials. We also obtain a q -extension of Howard’s result.

It is easy to observe that

$$2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2n} q^{2n+x} e^{[2n+x]_q t} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n q^{n+x} e^{[n+x]_q t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^n q^{n+x} e^{[n+x]_q t}.$$

By (1.7) and (1.9), via the simple computation, we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,q}(x; \lambda) = \frac{2}{n+1} \left[\mathcal{B}_{n+1;q}(x; \lambda) - 2[2]_q^n \mathcal{B}_{n+1;q^2}\left(\frac{x}{2}; \lambda^2\right) \right], \tag{6.1}$$

which is just a q -extension of the formula of Luo and Srivastava (see [19, p. 636], Eq. (38))

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda) = \frac{2}{n+1} \left[\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x; \lambda) - 2^{n+1} \mathcal{B}_{n+1} \left(\frac{x}{2}; \lambda^2 \right) \right].$$

Setting $x = 0$ in (6.1), we get

$$\mathcal{E}_{n;q}(\lambda) = \frac{2}{n+1} \left[\mathcal{B}_{n+1;q}(\lambda) - 2[2]_q^n \mathcal{B}_{n+1;q^2}(\lambda^2) \right]. \quad (6.2)$$

Putting $\lambda = 1$ in (6.1), we have

$$E_{n;q}(x) = \frac{2}{n+1} \left[B_{n+1;q}(x) - 2[2]_q^n B_{n+1;q^2} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right], \quad (6.3)$$

which is an q -extension of the well-known formula (see [1])

$$E_n(x) = \frac{2}{n+1} \left[B_{n+1}(x) - 2^{n+1} B_{n+1} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right].$$

Remark 6.1. If taking $x = 0$ in (6.3), we obtain

$$E_{n;q} = \frac{2}{n+1} (B_{n+1;q} - 2[2]_q^n B_{n+1;q^2})$$

is an q -extension of the formula (see [1, p. 805] (Entry (23.1.20)) and [25, p. 29])

$$E_n = \frac{2}{n+1} (1 - 2^{n+1}) B_{n+1}.$$

Remark 6.2. By (4.3) and (6.2), we easily obtain the following explicit recursive formula for the q -Apostol–Bernoulli numbers:

$$[m]_q (\mathcal{B}_{n;q}(\lambda) - 2[2]_q^{n-1} \mathcal{B}_{n;q^2}(\lambda^2)) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} [m]_q^k \mathcal{B}_{k;q^m}(\lambda^m) Z_{n-k;q}(m-1; n; \lambda) - [m]_q^n \mathcal{B}_{n;q^m}(\lambda^m). \quad (6.4)$$

Remark 6.3. Setting $\lambda = 1$ in (6.4), we have

$$[m]_q (B_{n;q} - 2[2]_q^{n-1} B_{n;q^2}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} [m]_q^k B_{k;q^m} Z_{n-k;q}(m-1; n) - [m]_q^n B_{n;q^m} \quad (m \text{ is even})$$

is an q -extension of Howard's formula [13, p. 167] (Eq. (33))

$$m(1 - 2^n) B_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} B_k m^k Z_{n-k}(m-1) \quad (m \text{ is even}).$$

Remark 6.4. Letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in (6.4), we obtain a new formula for the Apostol–Bernoulli numbers as follows:

$$m (\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda) - 2^n \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda^2)) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} m^k \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda^m) Z_{n-k}(m-1; \lambda) - m^n \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda^m) \quad (m \text{ is even}). \quad (6.5)$$

Obviously, by setting $\lambda = 1$ in (6.5) we get a new recurrence formula for the Bernoulli numbers:

$$B_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{m^{k-1} Z_{n-k}(m-1)}{1 - 2^n + m^{n-1}} B_k \quad (m \text{ is even}).$$

1. *Abramowitz M., Stegun I. A.* (Editors). Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables // Nat. Bur. Stand., Appl. Math. Ser. – Washington, D.C., 1965. – **55**.
2. *Apostol T. M.* On the Lerch zeta-function // Pacif. J. Math. – 1951. – **1**. – P. 161–167.
3. *Carlitz L.* q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials // Duke Math. J. – 1948. – **15**. – P. 987–1000.
4. *Carlitz L.* q -Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1954. – **76**. – P. 332–350.
5. *Carlitz L.* Expansions of q -Bernoulli numbers // Duke Math. J. – 1958. – **25**. – P. 355–364.
6. *Cenkci M., Can M.* Some results on q -analogue of the Lerch zeta-function // Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. – 2006. – **12**. – P. 213–223.
7. *Cenkci M., Kurt V., Rim S. H., Simsek Y.* On (i, q) -Bernoulli and Euler numbers // Appl. Math. Lett. – 2008. – **21**, № 7. – P. 706–711.
8. *Cenkci M., Kurt V.* Congruences for generalized q -Bernoulli polynomials // J. Inequal. Appl. – 2008. – Art. ID 270713. – 19 p.
9. *Choi J., Anderson P. J., Srivastava H. M.* Some q -extensions of the Apostol–Bernoulli and the Apostol–Euler polynomials of order n , and the multiple Hurwitz zeta-function // Appl. Math. Comput. – 2008. – **199**. – P. 723–737.
10. *Choi J., Anderson P. J., Srivastava H. M.* Carlitz’s q -Bernoulli and q -Euler numbers and polynomials and a class of q -Hurwitz zeta-functions // Appl. Math. Comput. – 2009. – **215**. – P. 1185–1208.
11. *Comtet L.* Advanced combinatorics: the art of finite and infinite expansions (Transl. from the French by J. W. Nienhuys). – Dordrecht; Boston: Reidel, 1974.
12. *Gasper G., Rahman M.* Basic hypergeometric series (2nd edition). – Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
13. *Howard F. T.* Applications of a recurrence for Bernoulli numbers // J. Number Theory. – 1995. – **52**. – P. 157–172.
14. *Kim T.* On p -adic q -L-functions and sums of powers // Discrete Math. – 2002. – **252**. – P. 179–187.
15. *Kim T.* On the q -extension of Euler numbers and Genocchi numbers // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2007. – **326**. – P. 1458–1465.
16. *Kim T.* On the analogs of Euler numbers and polynomials associated with p -adic q -integral on \mathbb{Z}_p at $q = -1$ // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2007. – **331**. – P. 779–792.
17. *Luo Q.-M., Srivastava H. M.* Some generalizations of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2005. – **308**. – P. 290–302.
18. *Luo Q.-M.* Apostol–Euler polynomials of higher order and Gaussian hypergeometric functions // Taiwan. J. Math. – 2006. – **10**. – P. 917–925.
19. *Luo Q.-M., Srivastava H. M.* Some relationships between the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials // Comput. Math. Appl. – 2006. – **51**. – P. 631–642.
20. *Luo Q.-M.* The multiplication formulas for the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials of higher order // Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. – 2009. – **20**. – P. 377–391.
21. *Luo Q.-M.* q -Extensions for the Apostol–Genocchi polynomials // Gen. Math. – 2009. – **17**, № 2. – P. 113–125.
22. *Luo Q.-M.* q -Analogues of some results for the Apostol–Euler polynomials // Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. – 2010. – **20**, № 1. – P. 103–113.
23. *Luo Q.-M.* Some results for the q -Bernoulli and q -Euler polynomials // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2010. – **363**. – P. 7–18.
24. *Luo Q.-M., Srivastava H. M.* q -Extensions of some relationships between the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials // Taiwan. J. Math. – 2011. – **15**. – P. 241–257.
25. *Magnus W., Oberhettinger F., Soni R. P.* Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics. – Third Enlarged Edition. – New York: Springer-Verlag, 1966.
26. *Ozden H., Simsek Y.* A new extension of q -Euler numbers and polynomials related to their interpolation functions // Appl. Math. Lett. – 2008. – **21**. – P. 934–939.
27. *Simsek Y., Kurt V., Kim D.* New approach to the complete sum of products of the twisted $(h; q)$ -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials // J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. – 2007. – **14**. – P. 44–56.
28. *Simsek Y.* Twisted (h, q) -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials related to twisted (h, q) -zeta-function and L -function. // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2006. – **324**. – P. 790–804.
29. *Srivastava H. M., Choi J.* Series associated with the zeta and related functions. – Dordrecht etc.: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2001.

Received 13.05.11,
after revision – 20.05.13