

THIN SUBSETS OF GROUPS

ТОНКІ ПІДМНОЖИНИ ГРУП

For a group G and a natural number m , a subset A of G is called m -thin if, for each finite subset F of G , there exists a finite subset K of G such that $|Fg \cap A| \leq m$ for all $g \in G \setminus K$. We show that each m -thin subset of an Abelian group G of cardinality \aleph_n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$ can be split into $\leq m^{n+1}$ 1-thin subsets. On the other hand, we construct a group G of cardinality \aleph_ω and select a 2-thin subset of G which cannot be split into finitely many 1-thin subsets.

Нехай G — група, m — натуральне число. Підмножина $A \subseteq G$ називається m -тонкою, якщо для кожної скінченної підмножини F групи G знайдеться така скінченна підмножина K , що $|Fg \cap A| \leq m$ для всіх $g \in G \setminus K$. Доведено, що m -тонку підмножину абелевої групи G потужності \aleph_n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, можна розбити на $\leq m^{n+1}$ 1-тонких підмножин. Побудовано групу G потужності \aleph_ω і 2-тонку підмножину G , яку не можна розбити на скінченне число 1-тонких підмножин.

Let G be a group, κ and μ be cardinals, $|G| \geq \kappa \geq \aleph_0$ and $\mu \leq \kappa$, $[G]^{<\kappa} = \{X \subset G: |X| < \kappa\}$.

We say that a subset A of G is (κ, μ) -thin if, for every $F \in [G]^{<\kappa}$, there exists $K \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that

$$|Fg \cap A| \leq \mu$$

for each $g \in G \setminus K$.

If κ is regular, then A is $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin if (see Lemma 1) and only if, for each $g \in G$, $g \neq e$, e is the identity of G , we have

$$|\{a \in A: ga \in A\}| < \kappa.$$

An $(\aleph_0, 1)$ -thin subset is called *thin*. For thin subsets, its modifications and applications see [1–7]. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the (\aleph_0, m) -thin subsets appeared in [3] under name *m-thin* in attempt to characterize the ideal in the Boolean algebra of subsets of G generated by the family of thin subsets of G . If a subset A of G is a union of m thin subsets, then A is m -thin. On the other hand, if G is countable and A is m -thin then A can be partitioned into $\leq m$ thin subsets. Thus, the ideal generated by thin subsets of a countable group G coincides with the family of all m -thin, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ subsets of G . Does this characterization remain true for all infinite groups? In other words, can every m -thin subset of an uncountable group G be partitioned in m (finitely many) thin subsets? In this paper we give answer to these questions.

The paper consists of 5 sections. In Section 1 we see that the thin subsets can be defined in the much more general context of ballean, the counterparts of the uniform topological spaces. From this point of view, a thin subset is a counterpart of a uniformly discrete subset of a uniform space. As a corollary of some ballean statement (Theorem 1), we get that, for each infinite regular cardinal κ and each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, every (κ, m) -thin subset of a group G of cardinality κ can be partitioned into $\leq m$ $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin subsets.

In Section 2 we show (Theorem 3) that, for every infinite regular cardinal κ , $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \omega$, each (κ, m) -thin subset of an Abelian group G of cardinality κ^{+n} can be partitioned into $\leq m^{n+1}$ $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin subsets. Here, $\kappa^{+0} = \kappa$, $\kappa^{+(n+1)} = (\kappa^n)^+$. In particular, every m -thin subset of an Abelian

group G of cardinality \aleph_n can be partitioned into $\leq m^{n+1}$ thin subsets. Clearly, in this case the ideal generated by thin subsets also coincides with the family of all m -thin subsets, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In Theorem 4 we describe (κ, μ) -thin groups that can be partitioned into μ $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin subsets.

In Section 3 one can find two auxiliary combinatorial theorems (of independent interest!) on coloring of the square $G \times G$ of a group G which will be used in the next section.

Answering a question from [3], G. Bergman constructed a group G of cardinality \aleph_2 and a 2-thin subset A of G which cannot be partitioned into two thin subsets. With kind permission of the author, we reprint in Section 4 his letter with this remarkable construction (Example 1). Then we modify the Bergman's construction to show (Example 2) that for each natural number $m \geq 2$ there exist a group G_n of cardinality \aleph_n , $n = \frac{m(m+1)}{2} - 1$, and a 2-thin subset A of G which cannot be partitioned into m -thin subsets. And finally (Example 3), we point out a group G of cardinality \aleph_ω and a 2-thin subset of G which cannot be finitely partitioned into thin subsets.

We conclude the paper with some observations on interplay between thin subsets and ultrafilters in Section 5.

1. Ballean context. A *ball structure* is a triple $\mathcal{B} = (X, P, B)$, where X, P are non empty sets and, for any $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in P$, $B(x, \alpha)$ is a subset of X which is called a *ball of radius α* around x . It is supposed that $x \in B(x, \alpha)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in P$. The set X is called the *support* of \mathcal{B} , P is called the *set of radii*. Given any $x \in X, A \subseteq X, \alpha \in P$ we put

$$B^*(x, \alpha) = \{y \in X : x \in B(y, \alpha)\}, \quad B(A, \alpha) = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, \alpha).$$

Following [8], we say that a ball structure $\mathcal{B} = (X, P, B)$ is a *ballean* if for any $\alpha, \beta \in P$, there exist α', β' such that, for every $x \in X$,

$$B(x, \alpha) \subseteq B^*(x, \alpha'), \quad B^*(x, \beta) \subseteq B(x, \beta');$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in P$, there exists $\gamma \in P$ such that, for every $x \in X$,

$$B(B(x, \alpha), \beta) \subseteq B(x, \gamma).$$

We note that a ballean can also be defined in terms of entourages of diagonal in $X \times X$. In this case it is called a *coarse structure* [9].

A ballean \mathcal{B} is called *connected* if, for any $x, y \in X$, there exists $\alpha \in P$ such that $y \in B(x, \alpha)$. All balleans under consideration are supposed to be connected. Replacing each ball $B(x, \alpha)$ to $B(x, \alpha) \cap B^*(x, \alpha)$, we may suppose that $B(x, \alpha) = B^*(x, \alpha)$ for all $x \in X, \alpha \in P$. A subset $Y \subseteq X$ is called *bounded* if there exist $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in P$ such that $Y \subseteq B(x, \alpha)$.

We use a preordering \leq on the set P defined by the rule: $\alpha \leq \beta$ if and only if $B(x, \alpha) \subseteq B(x, \beta)$ for every $x \in X$. A subset $P' \subseteq P$ is called *cofinal* if, for every $\alpha \in P$, there exists $\alpha' \in P'$ such that $\alpha \leq \alpha'$. A ballean \mathcal{B} is called *ordinal* if there exists a cofinal subset $P' \subseteq P$ well ordered by \leq .

Let $\mathcal{B} = (X, P, B)$ be a ballean, μ be a cardinal. We say that a subset $A \subseteq X$ is μ -*thin* if, for every $\alpha \in P$, there exists a bounded subset $Y \subseteq X$ such that $|B(x, \alpha) \cap A| \leq \mu$ for every $x \in G \setminus Y$. A 1-thin subset is called *thin*.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{B} = (X, P, B)$ be a ballean, μ be a cardinal. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is μ -thin if and only if the set*

$$\{a \in A: |B(a, \alpha) \cap A| > \mu\}$$

is bounded.

Proof. The “if” part is evident. To verify the “only if”, we take an arbitrary $\alpha \in P$ and choose $\beta \in P$ such that $B(B(x, \alpha), \alpha) \subseteq B(x, \beta)$ for each $x \in X$. By the assumption, the set $Y = \{a \in A: |B(a, \alpha) \cap A| > \mu\}$ is bounded. We put $Z = B(Y, \alpha)$ and take an arbitrary $x \in X \setminus Z$. If $|B(x, \alpha) \cap A| > \mu$ and $a \in B(x, \alpha) \cap A$ then $|B(a, \beta) \cap A| > \mu$ because $B(x, \alpha) \subseteq B(a, \beta)$. Hence, $a \in Y$ and $x \in Z$. This contradiction shows that $|B(x, \alpha) \cap A| \leq \mu$ and A is μ -thin.

Lemma 1 is proved.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{B} = (X, P, B)$ be a ballean, μ be a cardinal, $A \subseteq X$. Then the following statements hold;*

(i) *if A is a union of μ thin subsets and a union of μ bounded subsets of X is bounded, then A is μ -thin;*

(ii) *if \mathcal{B} is ordinal and A is μ -thin, $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$, then A can be partitioned into $\leq \mu$ thin subsets.*

Proof. (i) Let $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \leq \mu} A_\lambda$ and each A_λ is thin, $\alpha \in P$. For each $\lambda \leq \mu$, we pick a bounded subset Y_λ such that $|B(x, \alpha) \cap A| \leq 1$ for each $x \in X \setminus Y_\lambda$. We put $Y = \bigcup_{\lambda \leq \mu} Y_\lambda$. By the assumption, Y is bounded. Clearly, $|B(x, \alpha) \cap A| \leq \mu$ for each $x \in X \setminus Y$ so A is μ -thin.

(ii) Apply Lemma 1 and [3] (Theorem 1.2).

Theorem 1 is proved.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a group, κ be an infinite regular cardinal, $|G| = \kappa$. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *each (κ, m) -thin subset A of G , $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is a union of $\leq m$ $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin subsets;*

(ii) *the ideal generated by the family of $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin subsets coincides with the family of all (κ, m) -thin subsets, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Clearly, (ii) follows from (i). To prove (i), we consider a ballean $\mathcal{B}(G, \kappa) = (G, [G]^{<\kappa}, B)$, where $B(x, F) = Fx \cup \{x\}$ for all $x \in G, F \in [G]^{<\kappa}$. We enumerate $G = \{g_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\}$ and put $F_\alpha = \{g_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$. Since κ is regular, $\{F_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\}$ is cofinal in $[G]^{<\kappa}$ so $\mathcal{B}(G, \kappa)$ is ordinal. To apply Theorem 1 (i), it suffices to note that A is (κ, m) -thin if and only if A is m -thin in the ballean $\mathcal{B}(G, \kappa)$.

Theorem 2 is proved.

In view of [8] (Chapter 1), the balleans can be considered as asymptotic counterparts of the uniform spaces. For uniform spaces see [10] (Chapter 8). Now we describe the uniform counterparts of thin subsets.

Let \mathcal{U} be a uniformity on a set X . For an entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in X$, we put $U(x) = \{y \in X: (x, y) \in U\}$. Let A be a subset of X , μ be a cardinal. We say that A is (\mathcal{U}, μ) -discrete if there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $|U(x) \cap A| \leq \mu$ for each $x \in X$, a $(\mathcal{U}, 1)$ -discrete subset is called \mathcal{U} -discrete. We show that each (\mathcal{U}, μ) -discrete subset A of X can be partitioned into $\leq \mu$ \mathcal{U} -discrete subsets.

We fix an entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $|U(x) \cap A| \leq \mu$ for each $x \in X$ and choose a symmetric entourage $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V^2 \subseteq U$. Then we consider a graph Γ with the set of vertices A and the set of edges E defined by the rule: $(x, y) \in E$ if and only if there exists $z \in X$ such that $x, y \in V(z)$.

Since $|U(x) \cap A| \leq \mu$ for each $x \in X$ and $V^2 \subseteq U$, each unit ball in Γ is of cardinality $\leq \mu$. Hence, the chromatic number $\chi(\Gamma)$ does not exceed μ . We take a partition \mathcal{P} of A such that $|\mathcal{P}| = \chi(\Gamma)$ and each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ has no incident vertices. If $x \in P$ then $V(x) \cap P = \{x\}$. It follows that P is \mathcal{U} -discrete.

2. Partitions.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a group, κ be an infinite cardinal, $\kappa \leq |G|$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a (κ, m) -thin subset of G , $S \subseteq G$, $|S| \geq \kappa$. Then there exists a subgroup H of G such that $S \subseteq H$, $|H| = |S|$ and $|Hx \cap A| \leq m$ for each $x \in G \setminus H$. In particular, A is (κ', m) -thin for each $\kappa' \geq \kappa$, $\kappa' \leq |G|$.*

Proof. We may suppose that S is a subgroup. Let $H_0 = S$, $[H_0]^{m+1} = \{X \subset H_0 : |X| = m+1\}$, $|S| = \kappa'$. For each $X \in [H_0]^{m+1}$, we choose $K_0(X) \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $|Xg \cap A| \leq m$ for every $g \in G \setminus K_0(X)$. We put $K_0 = \cup\{K_0(X) : X \in [H_0]^{m+1}\}$ and note that $|K_0| \leq \kappa'$ and $|H_0x \cap A| \leq m$ for each $x \in G \setminus K_0$.

We consider a subgroup H_1 of G generated by $H_0 \cup K_0$. Clearly, $|H_1| = \kappa'$. For each $X \in [H_1]^{m+1}$, we take $K_1(X) \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $|Xg \cap A| \leq m$ for every $g \in G \setminus K_1(X)$. We put $K_1 = \cup\{K_1(X) : X \in [H_1]^{m+1}\}$ and note that $|H_1x \cap A| \leq m$ for each $x \in G \setminus K_1$.

After ω steps we get an increasing sequence of $\{H_n : n \in \omega\}$ of subgroups of G and a sequence $\{K_n : n \in \omega\}$ of subsets of G such that $|H_n| = \kappa'$, $|K_n| \leq \kappa'$. Since $\cup_{n \in \omega} K_n \subseteq \cup_{n \in \omega} H_n$, for the subgroup $H = \cup_{n \in \omega} H_n$ we get a desired statement.

To show that A is (κ', m) -thin, we take $S \in [G]^{<\kappa'}$. If $|S| < \kappa$ then there exists $K \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $|Sx \cap A| \leq m$ for each $x \in G \setminus K$ because A is (κ, m) -thin. If $|S| \geq \kappa$, we apply the previous statement.

Lemma 2 is proved.

For a cardinal κ and $n \in \omega$, we use the following notations from [11]: $\kappa^{+0} = \kappa$, $\kappa^{+(n+1)} = (\kappa^{+n})^+$. In particular, $\aleph_0^{+n} = \aleph_n$ for each $n \in \omega$.

Theorem 3. *Let κ be an infinite regular cardinal, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \omega$, G be an Abelian group of cardinality κ^{+n} . Each (κ, m) -thin subset A of G can be partitioned into $\leq m^{n+1}$ $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin subsets.*

Proof. We use an induction by n . For $n = 0$, apply Theorem 2. Let A be a (κ, m) -thin subset of G and $|G| = \kappa^{+(n+1)}$. By Lemma 2, A is $(\kappa^{+(n+1)}, m)$ -thin. Applying Theorem 2, we can partition A in $\leq m$ $(\kappa^{+(n+1)}, 1)$ -thin subsets. We suppose that A itself is $(\kappa^{+(n+1)}, 1)$ -thin and show that A can be partitioned in $\leq m^{n+1}$ $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin subsets.

Since A is $(\kappa^{+(n+1)}, 1)$ -thin, we use Lemma 2 to write G as a union of increasing chain of subgroups $\{H_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^{+(n+1)}\}$ such that $H_0 = \{e\}$, $|H_\alpha| = \kappa^{+n}$ and $|H_\alpha x \cap A| \leq 1$ for all $x \in G \setminus H_\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, $H_\beta = \cup_{\alpha < \beta} H_\alpha$ for each limit ordinal $\beta < \kappa^{+(n+1)}$. Clearly, $G \setminus \{e\} = \cup_{\alpha < \kappa^{+(n+1)}} H_{\alpha+1} \setminus H_\alpha$.

For each $\alpha < \kappa^{+(n+1)}$, $\alpha > 0$, we put $A_\alpha = A \cap H_\alpha$. Since A_α is (κ, m) -thin and $|H_\alpha| = \kappa^{+n}$, by the inductive assumption, each A_α can be partitioned in $k_\alpha \leq m^{n+1}$ $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin subsets of H_α . Admitting empty sets of the partition, we suppose that $k_\alpha = m^{n+1}$ for each $\alpha < \kappa^{+(n+1)}$ and write

$$A_\alpha = A_\alpha(1) \cup \dots \cup A_\alpha(m^{n+1}),$$

where each $A_\alpha(i)$ is $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin.

For all $\alpha < \kappa^{+(n+1)}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, m^{n+1}\}$, we put

$$B_\alpha(i) = A_{\alpha+1}(i) \setminus A_\alpha(i), \quad B_i = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa^{+(n+1)}} B_\alpha(i).$$

Since $A \setminus \{e\} = \cup \{B_i : i \in \{1, \dots, m^{n+1}\}\}$, it suffices to verify that each subset B_i is $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin. It turns out, since κ is regular, in view of Lemma 1, it suffices to show that, for each $g \in G$, $g \neq e$,

$$|\{x \in B_i : gx \in B_i\}| < \kappa.$$

We take the minimal $\alpha < \kappa^{+(n+1)}$ such that $g \in H_{\alpha+1} \setminus H_\alpha$. If $x \in B_i \setminus H_{\alpha+1}$, then $gx \notin B_i$ by the choice of $H_{\alpha+1}$. Since $A_{\alpha+1}(i)$ is $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin, $|\{x \in A_{\alpha+1}(i) \setminus A_\alpha(i) : gx \in A_{\alpha+1}(i) \setminus A_\alpha(i)\}| < \kappa$. If $x, y \in A_{\alpha+1}(i) \setminus A_\alpha(i)$ and $gx, gy \in A_\alpha(i)$, then $x^{-1}y \in A_\alpha(i)$. Since G is Abelian, $(x^{-1}yx) = y$ and, by the choice of H_α , $x = y$. If $x, y \in A_\alpha(i)$ and $gx, gy \in A_{\alpha+1}(i) \setminus A_\alpha(i)$, replacing g to g^{-1} , we get the previous case.

Theorem 3 is proved.

Given an infinite group G and infinite cardinal κ , $\kappa \leq |G|$, we denote by $\mu(G, \kappa)$ the minimal cardinal μ such that G can be partitioned in μ κ -thin subsets. For a cardinal γ , $cf \ \gamma$ is a cofinality of γ , γ^+ is the cardinal successor of γ . By [5],

$$\mu(G, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \gamma, & \text{if } |G| \text{ is non-limit cardinal and } |G| = \gamma^+; \\ |G|, & \text{if } |G| \text{ is a limit cardinal and either} \\ & \kappa < |G| \text{ or } |G| \text{ is regular,} \\ cf \ |G|, & \text{if } |G| \text{ is singular, } \kappa = |G| \text{ and } cf \ |G| \\ & \text{is a limit cardinal.} \end{cases}$$

If $|G|$ is singular, $\kappa = |G|$ and $cf \ |G|$ is a non-limit cardinal, $cf \ |G| = \gamma^+$, then $\mu(G, \kappa) \in \{\gamma, \gamma^+\}$.

Now let γ be a cardinal, $\gamma \leq \kappa$. Then G is (κ, γ) -thin if and only if $\kappa = \gamma^+$. Applying above formulae for $\mu(G, \kappa)$, we get the following statement.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a group, γ be an infinite cardinal, $\kappa = \gamma^+$, $|G| \geq \gamma$. Then G can be partitioned in γ $(\kappa, 1)$ -thin subsets if and only if $|G| = \kappa$.*

3. Colorings. For a group G and $g \in G$, we say that $\{G\} \times \{g\}$ is a *horizontal line* in $G \times G$, $\{g\} \times G$ is a *vertical line* in $G \times G$, $\{(x, gx) : x \in G\}$ is a *diagonal* in $G \times G$.

The statement (i) in the following theorem was proved by G. Bergman, (ii) by the first author.

Theorem 5. *For a group G with the identity e , the following statements hold:*

(i) *if $|G| \geq \aleph_2$ and $\chi : G \times G \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$, then there is $g \in G$, $g \neq e$ such that either some horizontal line $G \times \{g\}$ has infinitely many points of color 1, or some vertical line $\{g\} \times G$ has infinitely many points of color 2, or some diagonal $\{(x, gx) : x \in G\}$ has infinitely many points of color 3;*

(ii) *if $|G| \leq \aleph_1$, then there is a coloring $\chi : G \times G \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that each horizontal line has only finite number of points of color 1, each vertical line has only finite number of points of color 2, each diagonal has only finite number of points of color 3.*

Proof. (i) We suppose the contrary and fix a corresponding coloring $\chi : G \times G \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let G_0, G_1 be subgroups of G such that $G_0 \subset G_1$, $|G_0| = \aleph_0$, $|G_1| = \aleph_1$. Since the set $G \times G_1$ has at most \aleph_1 points of color 1, there is $g \in G$, $g \neq e$ such that $gG_1 \times G_1$ has no points of color 1. The set $gG_0 \times G_1$ has at most \aleph_0 points of color 2, so there is $h \in G_1$, $h \neq g$, $h \neq e$ such that $gG_0 \times hG_0$

has no points of color 2. Hence, the set $\{(gx, hx) : x \in G_0\}$ consists of color 3 and is contained in the diagonal $\{(y, g^{-1}hy) : y \in G\}$.

(ii) We proceed in three steps.

Step 1. Let X be a countable set, $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$, $\{B_n : n \in \omega\}$ be partitions of X such that $A_n \cap B_m$ is finite for all $n, m \in \omega$. Then there is a coloring $\chi : X \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ such that each subset A_n has only finite number of elements of color 1 and each subset B_n has only finite number of elements of color 2.

We color A_0 in 2, $B_0 \setminus A_0$ in 1, $A_1 \setminus B_0$ in 2, $B_1 \setminus (A_0 \cup A_1)$ in 1, $A_2 \setminus (B_0 \cup B_1)$ in 2, $B_2 \setminus (A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2)$ in 1, and so on.

Step 2. Let H be a countable group, K be a subgroup of H . Applying Step 1, we define a coloring $\chi : ((H \times H) \setminus (K \times K)) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that

$\chi((H \setminus K) \times (H \setminus K)) = \{1, 2\}$ and each horizontal line in this set has only finite number of points of color 1, and each vertical line has only finite number of points of color 2;

$\chi(K \times (H \setminus K)) = \{1, 3\}$ and each horizontal line in this set has only finite number of points of color 1, and each diagonal has only finite number of points of color 3;

$\chi((H \setminus K) \times K) = \{2, 3\}$ and each vertical line in this set has only finite number of points of color 2, and each diagonal has only finite number of points of color 3.

Step 3. To prove (ii), we may suppose that $|G| = \aleph_1$ so write G as a union of an increasing chain $\{G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$, $G_0 = \{e\}$, e is the identity of G , such that $G_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} G_\alpha$ for each limit ordinal $\beta < \omega_1$. We put $\chi_0(e) = 1$ and, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ use a coloring $\chi_\alpha((G_{\alpha+1} \times G_{\alpha+1}) \setminus (G_\alpha \times G_\alpha))$ defined at Step 2. We put $\chi = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \chi_\alpha$ and verify that $\chi : G \times G \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ is thin.

Clearly, $(G \times \{e\}) \cap \chi^{-1}(1) \subseteq G_1 \times \{e\}$, $(\{e\} \times G) \cap \chi^{-1}(2) \subseteq \{e\} \times G_1$. If $g \in G_{\alpha+1} \setminus G_\alpha$ then

$$(G \times \{g\}) \cap \chi^{-1}(1) \subseteq G_{\alpha+1} \times \{g\}, \quad (\{g\} \times G) \cap \chi^{-1}(2) \subseteq \{g\} \times G_{\alpha+1}.$$

Thus, each horizontal line in $G \times G$ has only finite number of points of color 1, and each vertical line in $G \times G$ has only finite number of points of color 2.

At last, if $(x, y) \in (G_{\alpha+1} \setminus G_\alpha) \times G_\alpha$ or $(x, y) \in G_\alpha \times (G_{\alpha+1} \setminus G_\alpha)$ then $x^{-1}y \in G_{\alpha+1} \setminus G_\alpha$. It follows that each diagonal has only finite number of points of color 3.

Theorem 5 is proved.

In [12, 13] R. Davies proved the following theorem (see also [11], Theorem 1.7).

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following statements are equivalent:

- 1) $2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_n$;
- 2) there is a sequence L_0, \dots, L_{n+1} of lines in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 and a coloring $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n+1\}$ such that, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$, every line in \mathbb{R}^2 parallel to L_i intersects $\chi^{-1}(i)$ in finitely many points.

We note that the group \mathbb{R} of real numbers is the direct sum of 2^{\aleph_0} copies of the group \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers and use a part of this theorem in the following form.

Theorem 6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $H = \bigoplus_{\aleph_n} \mathbb{Q}$, $a_0, \dots, a_n, b_0, \dots, b_n$ be rational numbers such that, for each i , either $a_i \neq 0$ or $b_i \neq 0$. Then, for every coloring $\chi : H \times H \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$, there exist $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, $h \in H$, $h \neq 0$ and infinitely many pairs $a, b \in H$ such that $\chi(a, b) = i$ and $a_i a + b_i b = h$.

Proof. For $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $L_i = \{(x, y) \in H \times H : a_i x + b_i y = 0\}$. Apply Davies' theorem to the lines L_0, \dots, L_n .

4. Examples. With minor changes, the first example is a reprint of the original G. Bergman's letter to the first author (15 May 2011).

Example 1. Let H, K be groups of cardinality \aleph_2 , $G = H \times K$. We construct a 2-thin subset A of G which cannot be partitioned into two thin subsets.

One can find a thin subset $X \subset K$ of cardinality \aleph_2 . For instance, do a recursion over \aleph_2 , selecting at each step an element not in the subgroup generated by those that precede. Since X has cardinality \aleph_2 , we can index it by pairs of elements of H : $X = \{x_{\{a,b\}} : a, b \in H\}$. After choosing such an indexing, we let

$$A = \{x_{\{a,b\}}, ax_{\{a,b\}}, bx_{\{a,b\}} : a, b \in H\}, \quad A \subseteq H \times K = G.$$

We claim first that A is 2-thin. For this it suffices to show that for every 3-element subset F of G , only finitely many right translates of F lie in A . In proving this, we may, by an initial right translation assume that $e \in F$, e is the identity of G .

Assume that F lay in HK but not in H . Then every one of its right translates Fg : $g \in G$ has elements lying in more than one left coset of H ; hence if such a right translate is contained in A , its elements do not all have the same second coordinate in X . Since X is thin in K , we have $\{g \in G : Fg \subset A\}$ is finite.

We are left with the case $F \subset H$. In this case, it is not hard to see that F has exactly 6 right translates contained in A ; namely, these are obtained by taking the 6 arrangement of the elements of F as an ordered 3-tuple, applying to each the right translate by a member of H that puts it in the form (e, a, b) and then right translating this by $x_{\{a,b\}}$ to get a 3-tuple of members of A .

Finally, let us show that A cannot be partitioned into two thin subsets, A_1 and A_2 . Suppose we had such a partition. Then let us color $H \times H$ as follows. Color an element $(a, b) \in H \times H$

with color 1 if $x_{\{a,b\}}$ and $ax_{\{a,b\}}$ lie in the same one of A_1 and A_2 , and $bx_{\{a,b\}}$ lies in the other one;

with color 2 if $x_{\{a,b\}}$ and $bx_{\{a,b\}}$ lie in the same one of A_1 and A_2 , and $ax_{\{a,b\}}$ lies in the other one;

with color 1 if $ax_{\{a,b\}}$ and $bx_{\{a,b\}}$ lie in the same one of A_1 and A_2 , and $x_{\{a,b\}}$ lies in the other one;

with any of these three colors if $x_{\{a,b\}}$, $ax_{\{a,b\}}$, and $bx_{\{a,b\}}$ all lie in the same set A_1 or A_2 .

Now if some vertical line $\{a\} \times H$ in $H \times H$ had infinitely many points of color 1, then there would be infinitely many b such that $x_{\{a,b\}}$ and $ax_{\{a,b\}}$ lay in the same one of A_1 and A_2 . Hence one of the latter sets, say A_i , contains infinitely many 2-element sets $\{x_{\{a,b\}}, ax_{\{a,b\}}\}$. This gives infinitely many right translates of the pair $\{1, a\}$ in A_i , contradicting the assumption of thinness.

If some horizontal line $H \times \{a\}$ or diagonal $\{(h, ah) : h \in H\}$ had infinitely many points of color 2, respectively 3, we would get a contradiction in the same way. The case of horizontal line is like that of vertical line, so let us check the diagonal case. Suppose that for some a infinitely many of the pairs $hx_{\{h,ah\}}$ and $ahx_{\{h,ah\}}$ lay in the same of our thin sets. Then at least one of those sets would contain infinitely many of these pairs; but this shows that the set would contain infinitely many right translates of the pair $\{e, a\}$, contradicting thinness.

The above arguments show that our coloring of $H \times H$ contradicts Theorem 5 (i); so A cannot, as assumed, be decomposed into two thin sets.

Example 2. For each natural number $m \geq 2$, we construct an Abelian group G of cardinality \aleph_n , $n = \frac{m(m+1)}{2} - 1$, and find a 2-thin subset A of G which cannot be partitioned into m -thin subsets.

We put $H = \bigoplus_{\aleph_n} \mathbb{Q}$, take an arbitrary Abelian group K of cardinality \aleph_n and let $G = K \oplus H$. Then we choose a thin subset X of K , $|X| = \aleph_2$ and enumerate X by the pairs of elements of H : $X = \{x_{\{a,b\}} : a, b \in H\}$. After choosing such an indexing, we let

$$A = \{x_{\{a,b\}} + ka + k^2b : a, b \in H, k \in \{0, \dots, m\}\}.$$

To see that A is 2-thin, it suffices to show that, for any two distinct non-zero elements $x, y \in G$, the set

$$A(x, y) = \{a \in A : a + x \in A, a + y \in A\}$$

is finite. We write $x = x_1 + x_2$, $y = y_1 + y_2$, $x_1, x_2 \in K$, $y_1, y_2 \in H$. If either $x_1 = 0$ or $x_2 = 0$, $A(x, y)$ is finite because X is thin. Let $x_1 = x_2 = 0$. If $x_{\{a,b\}} + ia + i^2b \in A(x, y)$, then

$$x_2 + ia + i^2b = ja + j^2b,$$

$$y_2 + ia + i^2b = ka + k^2b$$

for some distinct $i, k \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. In this system of relations, a, b are uniquely determined by i, j, k . Since we have only finite number of possibilities to choose i, j, k , $A(x, y)$ is finite.

Now assume that A is partitioned $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m$. To show that at least one cell of the partition is not thin, we define a coloring $\chi : H \times H \rightarrow \{(k, l) : 0 \leq k < l \leq m\}$ by the following rule: for $a, b \in H$, we choose k, l so that $x_{\{a,b\}} + ka + k^2b, x_{\{a,b\}} + la + l^2b$ lie in the same cell of the partition $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m$ and put $\chi(a, b) = (k, l)$. We note that

$$(x_{\{a,b\}} + ka + k^2b) - (x_{\{a,b\}} + la + l^2b) = (k - l)a + (k^2 - l^2)b.$$

Since $\frac{m(m+1)}{2} = n + 1$, by Theorem 6, there exist $h \in H, h \neq 0, k < l$, and infinitely many monochrome pairs a, b such that

$$(k - l)a + (k^2 - l^2)b = h.$$

By the definition of χ , there are a cell A_i of the partition and infinitely many pairs a, b such that

$$x_{\{a,b\}} + ka + k^2b \in A_i, x_{\{a,b\}} + la + l^2b + h \in A_i,$$

so A_i is not thin.

Example 3. We construct a group G of cardinality \aleph_ω and point out a 2-thin subset A of G which cannot be partitioned into m thin subsets for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $m \geq 2$, we take a group G_n , $n = \frac{m(m+1)}{2} - 1$ from Example 2, put $N = \left\{ \frac{m(m+1)}{2} - 1 : m \geq 2 \right\}$, take a 2-thin subset A_n of G_n which cannot be partitioned into m -thin subsets, and denote

$$G = \bigoplus_{n \in N} G_n, \quad A = \bigcup_{n \in N} A_n.$$

We take any distinct $x, y \in G \setminus \{0\}$ and, in notation of Example 2, show that $A(x, y)$ is finite, so A is 2-thin. If $x, y \in G_n$ for some n , then $A(x, y)$ is 2-thin because A_2 is 2-thin. If $x \notin G_n$ for each n then $|A \cap (A + x)| \leq 1$ so $A(x, y)$ is also finite. By the choice of $\{A_n : n \in N\}$, A cannot be finitely partitioned into thin subsets.

5. Ultrafilter context. Let G be a discrete group, βG be the Stone–Čech compactification of G . We take the elements of βG to be ultrafilters on G identifying G with the set of principal ultrafilters, so $G^* = \beta G \setminus G$ is the set of free ultrafilters. The topology of βG can be defined by the family $\{\bar{A} : A \subseteq G\}$ as a base for open sets, $\bar{A} = \{p \in \beta G : A \in p\}$.

The multiplication on G can be naturally extended to βG (see [14], Chapter 4). By this extension, the product pq of ultrafilters p and q can be defined as follows. Take an arbitrary $P \in p$ and, for each $g \in P$, pick $Q_g \in q$. Then $\cup_{g \in P} gQ_g \in pq$ and each member of pq contains a subset of this form. In particular, if $g \in G$ and $q \in \beta G$ then $gq = \{gQ : Q \in q\}$.

The proofs of all propositions in this section can be easily extracted from corresponding definitions.

Proposition 1. *For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a subset A of a group G is m -thin if and only if $|Gp \cap \bar{A}| \leq m$ for each $p \in G^*$.*

By [1], a subset A of a group G is *sparse* if for any infinite subset $X \subset G$ there exists a finite subset F such that $\cap_{g \in F} gA$ is finite. An ultrafilter $p \in G^*$ has a sparse member if and only if $p \notin \overline{G^*G^*}$.

Proposition 2. *A subset A of a group G is sparse if and only if the set $Gp \cap \bar{A}$ is finite for each $p \in G^*$.*

Proposition 3. *A subset A of a group G can be partitioned in finite number of thin subsets if and only if each ultrafilter $p \in \bar{A}$ has a thin member.*

Now take a group G of cardinality \aleph_ω from Example 3 and corresponding 2-thin subset A . Since A cannot be finitely partitioned into thin subsets, by Proposition 3, there is $p \in \bar{A}$ with no thin members. Hence, p has a base consisting of 2-thin but not thin subsets.

1. Lutsenko Ie., Protasov I. V. Sparse, thin and other subsets of groups // Int. J. Algebra Comput. – 2009. – **11**. – P. 491–510.
2. Lutsenko Ie., Protasov I. V. Relatively thin and sparse subsets of groups // Ukr. Math. J. – 2011. – **63**, № 2. – P. 216–225.
3. Lutsenko Ie., Protasov I. Thin subsets of balleans // Appl. Gen. Topology. – 2010. – **11**, № 2. – P. 89–93.
4. Protasov I. V. Selective survey on subset combinatorics of groups // Ukr. Math. Bull. – 2010. – **7**. – P. 220–257.
5. Protasov I. Partitions of groups into thin subsets // Algebra Discrete Math. – 2011. – **11**. – P. 88–92.
6. Banakh T., Lyaskovska N. On thin complete ideals of subsets of groups // Ukr. Math. J. – 2011. – **63**, № 6. – P. 741–754.
7. Petrenko O., Protasov I. V. Thin ultrafilters // Notre Dame J. Formal Logic. – 2012. – **53**. – P. 79–88.
8. Protasov I., Zarichnyi M. General asymptology // Math. Stud. Monogr. Ser. – Lviv: VNTL Publ., 2007. – **12**.
9. Roe J. Lectures on coarse geometry // AMS Univ. Lect. Ser. – Providence, RI, 2003. – **31**.
10. Engelking R. General topology. – Warszawa: PWN, 1985.
11. Simms J. C. Another characterization of Alephs: decompositions of hyperspace // Notre Dame J. Formal Logic. – 1997. – **38**. – P. 19–36.
12. Davies R. O. The power of the continuum on some propositions of the plane geometry // Fundam. Math. – 1963. – **52**. – P. 277–281.
13. Davies R. O. On a problem of Erdős concerning decomposition of the plane // Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. – 1963. – **59**. – P. 33–36.
14. Hindman N., Strauss D. Algebra in the Stone–Čech compactification: theory and applications. – Berlin; New York: Walter de Gruyter, 1998.

Received 08.06.12