

B. I. Golubov (Moscow Inst. Phys. and Technol. (State Univ.), Russia),

S. S. Volosivets (Saratov State Univ., Russia)

FOURIER COSINE AND SINE TRANSFORMS AND GENERALIZED LIPSCHITZ CLASSES IN UNIFORM METRIC*

КОСИНУС- І СИНУС-ПЕРЕТВОРЕННЯ ФУР'Є ТА УЗАГАЛЬНЕНІ КЛАСИ ЛІПШИЦЯ В РІВНОМІРНИЙ МЕТРИЦІ

For functions $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with cosine (sine) Fourier transforms \hat{f}_c (\hat{f}_s) in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we give necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of \hat{f}_c (\hat{f}_s) for f to belong to generalized Lipschitz classes $H^{\omega,m}$ and $h^{\omega,m}$. Conditions for the uniform convergence of the Fourier integral and for the existence of the Schwartz derivative are also obtained.

Для функцій $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ із косинус-(синус-) перетвореннями Фур'є \hat{f}_c (\hat{f}_s) у $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ наведено (в термінах \hat{f}_c (\hat{f}_s)) необхідні та достатні умови належності функцій f до узагальнених класів Ліпшиця $H^{\omega,m}$ та $h^{\omega,m}$. Також отримано умови рівномірної збіжності інтеграла Фур'є та існування похідної Шварца.

1. Introduction. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a Lebesgue integrable function over $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$, i.e., $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then the Fourier cosine and sine transforms of f are defined by

$$\hat{f}_c(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(t) \cos xt \, dt, \quad \hat{f}_s(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(t) \sin xt \, dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If, in addition, $\hat{f}_c \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ($\hat{f}_s \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$) and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (f is continuous on \mathbb{R}_+), then the inversion formula

$$f(t) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_c(x) \cos xt \, dx \quad \left(f(t) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_s(x) \sin xt \, dx \right) \quad (1.1)$$

takes place for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. A proof is similar to that of inversion formula for

$$\hat{f}(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-ixt} \, dt$$

and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ (see [1, p. 192], Chapter 5). In this case we have also $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$, that is $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$. In all results connected with cosine (sine) Fourier transform we consider the even (odd) extension f_e (f_o) of a function $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ onto \mathbb{R} . For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and f defined on \mathbb{R} let introduce the m -th symmetric difference $\Delta_h^m f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} f(x + (m-2j)h/2)$. If $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (i.e., $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(x) = 0$) and $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|$, then $\omega_m(f, \delta) := \sup\{\|\Delta_h^m f\| : 0 \leq h \leq \delta\}$ is the m -th modulus of smoothness.

Denote by Φ the set of all continuous and increasing on \mathbb{R}_+ functions ω such that $\omega(0) = 0$ and $\omega(2t) \leq C\omega(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. If $\omega \in \Phi$ and $\int_0^\delta t^{-1} \omega(t) \, dt = O(\omega(\delta))$, then ω belongs to the Bari class

*The work of the first author is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Grant № 11-01-00321 and by the project "Contemporary problems of analysis and mathematical physics" fulfilled by the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technologies (State University). The work of the second author is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Grant № 10-01-00270a and by a grant of the President of Russian Federation, project NSh-4383.2010.1.

B ; if $\omega \in \Phi$ and $\delta^m \int_{\delta}^{\infty} t^{-m-1} \omega(t) dt = O(\omega(\delta))$, $m > 0$, then ω belongs to the Bari–Stechkin class B_m (see [2]). If $\omega \in \Phi$ and $\omega(\lambda\delta) \leq C\lambda^m \omega(\delta)$ for all $\lambda \geq 1$, $\delta > 0$, then $\omega \in N^m$. It is well known that $\omega_m(f, \delta) \in N^m$ (see [3], Chapter 3). By definition, $H^{\omega, m} = \{f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) : \omega_m(f, t) \leq C\omega(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ and $h^{\omega, m} = \{f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) : \omega_m(f, t) = o(\omega(t)), t \rightarrow 0\}$ for $\omega \in \Phi$. The class $H^{\omega, 1}(h^{\omega, 1})$ with $\omega(t) = t^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, will be denoted by $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$ ($\text{lip}(\alpha)$). There is a different notation for the class $H^{\omega, 2}(h^{\omega, 2})$ with $\omega(t) = t^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. In the paper [4] it was denoted by $\text{Zyg}(\alpha)$ ($\text{zyg}(\alpha)$). F. Moricz [4] established several theorems connecting the behaviour of \hat{f} and classes $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$, $\text{Zyg}(\alpha)$, $\text{lip}(\alpha)$, $\text{zyg}(\alpha)$. The main content of these results is represented in the following theorem.

Theorem A. (i) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ and for some $\alpha \in (0, m]$, $m = 1, 2$, we have

$$\int_{|t| < y} |t^m \hat{f}(t)| dt = O(y^{m-\alpha}) \quad \text{for all } y > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

then $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$ for $m = 1$ and $f \in \text{Zyg}(\alpha)$ for $m = 2$.

(ii) If $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $m = 1$, or $f \in \text{Zyg}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 2]$, $m = 2$, and $t^m \hat{f}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then (1.2) holds.

(iii) Both statements (i) and (ii) are valid for $0 < \alpha < m$, $m = 1, 2$, if the right-hand side of (1.2) is replaced by $o(y^{m-\alpha})$, $y \rightarrow 0$, and the condition $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$ or $f \in \text{Zyg}(\alpha)$ is replaced by $f \in \text{lip}(\alpha)$ or $f \in \text{zyg}(\alpha)$ correspondingly.

In the paper [5] Theorem A was generalized to arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and ω belonging to the class B or B_m . Such theorems in the case of trigonometric series are known as Boas-type results. Interesting survey of earlier results may be found in [6]. R. P. Boas, L. Leindler, J. Nemeth and S. Tikhonov [7, 8] considered the cases of cosine and sine series separately, while F. Moricz [9–11] and second author [12] studied such conditions in terms of complex Fourier coefficients (about papers of L. Leindler and J. Nemeth see Introduction and references in [7]). Let a_n, b_n are cosine and sine coefficients of $f \in L^1_{2\pi}$ and $\omega_\beta(f, \delta)$ is a modulus of continuity of order $\beta > 0$. Using our notations, we can formulate S. Tikhonov's results from [7] as follows.

Theorem B. Let $\omega \in \Phi$ and $\beta > 0$, $f \in C_{2\pi}$ is even, $a_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

(A) If $\beta \neq 2l - 1$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\omega \in B$, then the conditions $\omega_\beta(f, 1/n) = O(\omega(1/n))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k = O(n^\beta \omega(1/n))$ are equivalent.

(B) If $\beta = 2l - 1$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\omega \in B$, then the condition $\omega_\beta(f, 1/n) = O(\omega(1/n))$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{\beta+1} a_k = O(n^{\beta+1} \omega(1/n)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\beta a_k \sin kx = O(n^\beta \omega(1/n)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

uniformly in $x \in [0, 2\pi]$.

(C) If $\omega \in B_\beta$, then the conditions $\omega_\beta(f, 1/n) = O(\omega(1/n))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k = O(\omega(1/n))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are equivalent.

Parts (A) and (B) of Theorem B are valid for odd functions f , but exceptional values of β are $2l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [7]). V. Fülöp [13] obtained analogs of the Theorem A for cosine and sine Fourier transforms.

By definition, a function f has the Schwartz derivative of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in the point x and this derivative equals to A if there exists $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-m} \hat{\Delta}_h^m f(x) = A$. In [5] the following result is proved.

Theorem C. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$\int_{|t|>y} |\hat{f}(t)| dt = o(y^{-m}), \quad y \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then the Schwartz derivative of order m exists at the point x and equals to A if and only if the principal value of the integral $(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (it)^m \hat{f}(t) e^{itx} dt$ exists and equals to A .

It is known the following theorem of R. Paley [15] (see also [16, p. 277], Ch. 4).

Theorem D. *Let the Fourier series $a_0/2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx)$ of a function $f \in C_{2\pi}$ has non-negative coefficients a_n, b_n . Then this series converges uniformly on \mathbb{R} .*

F. Moricz [11] proved a similar result.

Theorem E. *Let the Fourier series $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx}$ of a function $f \in C_{2\pi}$ is such that $k\hat{f}(k) \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then this series converges uniformly on \mathbb{R} .*

The aim of present paper is to obtain the sufficient conditions in order that functions to belong to the class $H^{\omega, m}$ or $h^{\omega, m}$ in terms of cosine and sine Fourier transforms. These conditions are necessary for functions with non-negative cosine and sine transforms. Also we obtain analogs of Theorems C and D (see Theorems 3 and 4). Theorem 5 is a generalization of Theorems 4, 5 and 8 from the paper [13].

2. Auxiliary results. For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ let us consider the Fejer operator

$$\sigma_t(f)_c(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{|u|}{t}\right) \hat{f}_c(u) \cos xu du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and de La Vallée Poussin operator $v_t(f)_c = 2\sigma_{2t}(f) - \sigma_t(f)$. Similarly we define $\sigma_t(f)_s(x)$ and $v_t(f)_s(x)$. By definition $\sigma_t(f)_c(x)$ and $v_t(f)_c(x)$ are even while $\sigma_t(f)_s(x)$ and $v_t(f)_s(x)$ are odd. Let us remind that an entire function $f(z)$ has exponential type $t \geq 0$ ($f \in E_t$) if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $A = A(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $|f(z)| \leq Ae^{(t+\varepsilon)|z|}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. By $UC(\mathbb{R})$ ($BUC(\mathbb{R})$) we denote the space of uniformly continuous (bounded uniformly continuous) functions on \mathbb{R} . For a function $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R})$ we set $A_t(f) = \inf\{\|f - g\|_{\infty} : g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}) \cap E_t\}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

It is clear that $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subset BUC(\mathbb{R})$. Lemma 1 connects the direct approximation theorems for $A_t(f)$ and properties of $v_t(f)_c$ ($v_t(f)_s$) (see [14], Ch. 5, § 5.1 and Ch. 8, § 8.6).

Lemma 1. *If $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $t > 0$ and f is even (odd), then*

$$\|f - v_t(f)_c\|_{\infty} \leq C_1 A_t(f) \leq C_2 \omega_m(f, 1/t)$$

$$(\|f - v_t(f)_s\|_{\infty} \leq C_1 A_t(f) \leq C_2 \omega_m(f, 1/t)).$$

A function $\gamma(t)$ will be called almost increasing (almost decreasing) if there exists a constant $k := k(\gamma) \geq 1$, such that $k\gamma(t) \geq \gamma(u)$ ($k\gamma(u) \geq \gamma(t)$) for $0 \leq u \leq t$.

Lemma 2 [2]. (i) Let $\omega \in \Phi$. Then $\omega \in B_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if there exists $\alpha \in (0, k)$ such that $t^{\alpha-k}\omega(t)$ is almost decreasing.

(ii) Let $\omega \in \Phi$. Then $\omega \in B$ if and only if there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $t^{-\alpha}\omega(t)$ is almost increasing.

Lemma 3. Let $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+ and $F' = f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then $t\hat{F}_c(t) = -\hat{f}_s(t)$ and $t\hat{F}_s(t) - (2/\pi)^{1/2}F(0) = \hat{f}_c(t)$ on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof. We have $F(x) = F(0) + \int_0^x f(t) dt$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, there exists $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x) = F(0) + \int_0^\infty f(t) dt$. But $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ implies $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x) = 0$. Using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\hat{f}_s(t) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(u) \sin tu \, du = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left[F(u) \sin tu \Big|_0^\infty - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t \cos tu F(u) \, du \right] = -t\hat{F}_c(t).$$

Second identity is proved in a similar way.

Lemma 3 is proved.

Lemma 4 [5]. (i) If $\omega \in B_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $g(t)$ is a non-negative measurable function and

$$\int_y^\infty g(t) dt = O(\omega(1/y)), \quad y > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

then $y^m g(t) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and

$$\int_0^y t^m g(t) dt = O(y^m \omega(1/y)), \quad y > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) If $\omega \in B$, $g(t)$ is a non-negative measurable function and $t^m g(t) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then (2.2) implies (2.1).

Lemma 5 [5]. (i) If $\omega \in B_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $g(x)$ is a non-negative, measurable function on \mathbb{R}_+ satisfying (2.1) and

$$\int_y^\infty g(t) dt = o(\omega(y^{-1})), \quad y \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (2.3)$$

then $t^m g(t) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and

$$\int_0^y t^m g(t) dt = o(y^m \omega(y^{-1})), \quad y \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.4)$$

(ii) If $\omega \in B$, $g: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a measurable function such that $t^m g(t) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and (2.4) holds, then (2.3) also holds.

3. Main results.

Theorem 1. (i) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in B$ and

$$\int_0^y t^m |\hat{f}_c(t)| dt = O(y^m \omega(1/y)) \quad \text{for all } y > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

or

$$\int_0^y t^m |\hat{f}_s(t)| dt = O(y^m \omega(1/y)) \quad \text{for all } y > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

then $\hat{f}_c \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (or $\hat{f}_s \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$) and $f_e \in H^{\omega, m}$ (or $f_o \in H^{\omega, m}$).

(ii) If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be even, $f_e \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{\omega, m}$ and $\hat{f}_c(t)$ keeps its sign on \mathbb{R}_+ , then (3.1) holds. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd, $\omega \in B_m$, $f_e \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{\omega, m}$ and $\hat{f}_c(t)$ keeps its sign on \mathbb{R}_+ , then (3.1) holds.

(iii) If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd, $\omega \in \Phi$, $f_o \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{\omega, m}$ and $\hat{f}_s(t)$ keeps its sign on \mathbb{R}_+ , then (3.2) holds. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and $f_o \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{\omega, m}$ and $\hat{f}_s(t)$ keeps its sign on \mathbb{R}_+ , then (3.2) holds.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 4(i) the integral $\int_y^\infty |\hat{f}_c(t)| dt$ is finite for all $y > 0$ and it is well known that $\hat{f}_c \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Therefore, $\hat{f}_c \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Further,

$$\dot{\Delta}_h^m \cos xt = \operatorname{Re} \dot{\Delta}_h^m e^{ixt} = \operatorname{Re} \left[e^{ixt} \left(2i \sin \frac{ht}{2} \right)^m \right], \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad h > 0.$$

For even m we have $\dot{\Delta}_h^m \cos xt = (-1)^{m/2} \cos xt (2 \sin ht/2)^m$ and for odd m we see that $\dot{\Delta}_h^m \cos xt = (-1)^{(m+1)/2} \sin xt (2 \sin ht/2)^m$. Similar formulas are valid for $\dot{\Delta}_h^m \sin xt$. By the inversion formula (1.1) we find that

$$\dot{\Delta}_h^m f_e(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} (-1)^{m/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_c(t) \cos xt \left(2 \sin \frac{ht}{2} \right)^m dt, & m \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} (-1)^{(m+1)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_c(t) \sin xt \left(2 \sin \frac{ht}{2} \right)^m dt, & m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\dot{\Delta}_h^m f_o(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} (-1)^{m/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_s(t) \sin xt \left(2 \sin \frac{ht}{2} \right)^m dt, & m \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} (-1)^{(m+1)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_s(t) \cos xt \left(2 \sin \frac{ht}{2} \right)^m dt, & m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, in all cases $\dot{\Delta}_h^m f_e(x)$ ($\dot{\Delta}_h^m f_o(x)$) is either even or odd function of x . From (3.3) we deduce

$$|\dot{\Delta}_h^m f_e(x)| \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/h} + \int_{1/h}^\infty \right) |\hat{f}_c(t)| \left| 2 \sin \frac{ht}{2} \right|^m dt =: \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} (I_h + J_h)$$

for $h > 0$. By (3.1) and inequality $|\sin t| \leq t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$|I_h| \leq \int_0^{1/h} h^m t^m |\hat{f}_c(t)| dt \leq C_1 h^m h^{-m} \omega(h) = C_1 \omega(h). \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4(ii) we see that

$$|J_h| \leq 2^m \int_{1/h}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(t)| dt \leq C_2 \omega(h). \quad (3.6)$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2) yields $f_e \in H^{\omega, m}$. For \hat{f}_s and f_o the proof is similar.

(ii) Let $\hat{f}_c(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and m is even. Then from the condition $f_e \in H^{\omega, m}$ and inequality $\sin t \geq 2t/\pi$, $t \in [0, \pi/2]$, we obtain

$$C_3 \omega(h) \geq |\Delta_h^m f(0)| = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_c(t) \left(2 \sin \frac{ht}{2}\right)^m dt \geq C_4 \int_0^{1/h} \hat{f}_c(t) (ht)^m dt$$

or $\int_0^{1/h} t^m \hat{f}_c(t) dt \leq C_5 h^{-m} \omega(h)$, that is equivalent to (3.1).

If $\hat{f}_c(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and m is odd, then by Lemma 1 we have

$$f_e(0) - v_t(f_e)(0) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_t^{2t} \left(\frac{u}{t} - 1\right) \hat{f}_c(u) du + \int_{2t}^{\infty} \hat{f}_c(u) du \right) \leq C_6 \omega\left(\frac{1}{t}\right),$$

whence

$$\int_t^{\infty} \hat{f}_c(u) du \leq C_7 \omega\left(\frac{2}{t}\right) \leq C_8 \omega\frac{1}{t}.$$

Using condition $\omega \in B_m$ and Lemma 4(i), we obtain (3.1).

(iii) If $\hat{f}_s(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and m is odd, then the proof is similar to that of the item (ii) for even m . Let $\hat{f}_s(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$, m is even and $f \in H^{\omega, m}$. Then for $t > 0$ by (3.4) we have

$$C_9 \omega(t) \geq |\Delta_t^m f(x)| = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_s(u) \sin xu \left(2 \sin \frac{tu}{2}\right)^m du \right|.$$

Integrating previous inequality by $x \in [0, t]$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_s(u) \sin xu \left(2 \sin \frac{tu}{2}\right)^m du dx \right| \leq C_9 \int_0^t \omega(t) du = C_9 t \omega(t)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} C_{10} \int_0^{1/t} u^{-1} \hat{f}_s(u) (tu)^{m+2} du &\leq \int_0^{1/t} \hat{f}_s(u) u^{-1} (1 - \cos tu) \left(2 \sin \frac{tu}{2}\right)^m du = \\ &= \int_0^{1/t} \int_0^t \sin xu dx \hat{f}_s(u) \left(2 \sin \frac{tu}{2}\right)^m du \leq C_9 t \omega(t). \end{aligned}$$

From last inequality in the form $\int_0^{1/t} \hat{f}_s(u) u^{m+1} du = O(t^{-m-1}\omega(t))$, $t > 0$, the condition $\omega \in B$ and Lemma 4(ii) we deduce that $\int_y^\infty \hat{f}_s(t) dt = O(\omega(1/y))$, $y > 0$. Using $\omega \in B_m$ and Lemma 4(i), we obtain (3.2).

Theorem 1 is proved.

Remark 1. In parts (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1 one may assume non-negativity or non-positivity of $\operatorname{Re} \hat{f}_c$, $\operatorname{Im} \hat{f}_c$, $\operatorname{Re} \hat{f}_s$, $\operatorname{Im} \hat{f}_s$ instead of \hat{f}_c and \hat{f}_s . Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorems 1, 2, 6 and 7 from [13] and a non-periodic analog of theorem B and its sine counterpart (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in [7]).

Corollary 1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\hat{f}_c(t)$ keeps its sign on \mathbb{R}_+ , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in B_m \cap B$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- 1) $f_e \in H^{\omega, m}$;
- 2) (3.1), and

$$3) \quad \int_y^\infty \hat{f}_c(t) dt = O(\omega(1/y)), \quad y > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Analogous proposition is valid for \hat{f}_s and f_o .

Theorem 2. (i) If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd, $\omega \in B \cap N^m$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\hat{f}_c(t) \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , then $f_e \in H^{\omega, m}$ if and only if

$$\int_0^y t^{m+1} \hat{f}_c(t) dt = O(y^{m+1}\omega(1/y)), \quad y > 0, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\int_0^y t^m \hat{f}_c(t) \sin xt dt = O(y^m\omega(1/y)), \quad y > 0, \quad (3.9)$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

(ii) If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is even, $\omega \in B \cap N^m$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\hat{f}_s(t) \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , then $f_o \in H^{\omega, m}$ if and only if

$$\int_0^y t^{m+1} \hat{f}_s(t) dt = O(y^{m+1}\omega(1/y)), \quad y > 0, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\int_0^y t^m \hat{f}_s(t) \sin xt dt = O(y^m\omega(1/y)), \quad y > 0, \quad (3.11)$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 4(ii), (3.8) implies (3.7). Using (3.3), we have for $h > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{\Delta}_h^m f(x)| &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(\left| \int_0^{1/h} \hat{f}_c(t) \sin xt \left(2 \sin \frac{th}{2}\right)^m dt \right| + \int_{1/h}^{\infty} \hat{f}_c(t) dt \right) =: \\ &=: \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} (I_h(x) + J_h(x)) \end{aligned}$$

and $J_h(x) = O(\omega(h))$, $h > 0$, by (3.7). From Taylor's formula we obtain $2 \sin th/2 = th + \alpha(th)(th)^3$, where $|\alpha(t)| \leq C$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} I_h(x) &\leq C_1 \left| \int_0^{1/h} \hat{f}_c(t) \sin xt (th)^m dt \right| + \\ &+ C_1 \left| \int_0^{1/h} \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} (th)^{m-j} (\alpha(th))^j (th)^{3j} \hat{f}_c(t) \sin xt dt \right| =: I_h^{(1)}(x) + I_h^{(2)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$I_h^{(1)}(x) \leq C_1 h^m \left| \int_0^{1/h} \hat{f}_c(t) t^m \sin xt dt \right| = O(\omega(h)), \quad h > 0,$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ according to (3.9). On the other hand,

$$I_h^{(2)}(x) \leq C_2 \sum_{j=1}^m h^{m+2j} \int_0^{1/h} t^{m+2j} \hat{f}_c(t) dt. \quad (3.12)$$

Since $N^m \subset B_{m+2j}$ by Lemma 2 for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, each term from the right-hand side of (3.12) is $O(\omega(h))$ according to (3.7) and Lemma 4(i). Thus, $I_h(x) = O(\omega(h))$, $h > 0$, and $|\dot{\Delta}_h^m f(x)| = O(\omega(h))$, $h > 0$.

Conversely, it is easy to see that $H^{\omega, m} \subset H^{\omega, m+1}$ by definition and $N^m \subset B^{m+1}$ by Lemma 2. Hence, under conditions of theorem we have $f \in H^{\omega, m+1}$ with $\omega \in B_{m+1}$. Since $m+1$ is even, by Theorem 1(ii) we obtain (3.8). Using above notations, we have $I_h(x) \leq J_h(x) + C_3 |\dot{\Delta}_h^m f(x)|$ and $I_h^{(1)}(x) \leq C_4 (I_h^{(2)}(x) + J_h(x) + |\dot{\Delta}_h^m f(x)|)$. By Lemma 4(ii) and condition $\omega \in B$, (3.8) implies (3.7). Finally, $\omega \in N^m \subset B_{m+2j}$ and (3.7) implies $I_h^{(2)}(x) = O(\omega(h))$, $h > 0$, as above. Thus, $I_h^{(1)}(x) = O(\omega(h))$, $h > 0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, that is equivalent to (3.9).

(ii) The proof is similar to that of (i).

Theorem 2 is proved.

Corollary 2. (i) If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd, $\omega(t) = t^m$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\hat{f}_c(t) \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , then $f_e \in H^{\omega, m}$ if and only if

$$\int_0^y t^{m+1} \hat{f}_c(t) dt = O(y), \quad y > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^y t^m \hat{f}_c(t) \sin xt dt = O(1), \quad y > 0,$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

(ii) Similar assertion is valid for \hat{f}_s, f_o and even $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2. Theorem 2 is an analog of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, part (B), in [7] (see the item (B) in Theorem B). Corollary 2 is an extension of Theorem 3 in [13], where the necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in \text{Lip}(1)$ in terms of \hat{f}_c is given.

Theorem 3. (i) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\int_y^\infty |\hat{f}_c(t)| dt = o(y^{-m}), \quad y \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.13)$$

Then the Schwartz derivative of f of order m exists in the point $x > 0$ and equals to $A(x)$ if and only if the integral $(2/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^m \hat{f}_c(t) \cos(xt + m\pi/2) dt$ converges and equals to $A(x)$.

(ii) Similar assertion is valid for $\hat{f}_s(t)$.

Proof. By (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^m f(x) &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/h} + \int_{1/h}^\infty \right) \hat{f}_c(t) \cos\left(xt + m\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \left(2 \sin \frac{ht}{2}\right)^m dt = \\ &=: \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} (A_h(x) + B_h(x)). \end{aligned}$$

According to (3.13) we have $B_h(x) = o(h^m)$, $h \rightarrow 0$. Using identity $2 \sin th/2 = th + \alpha(th)(th)^3$, where $\alpha(t) = O(1)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see the proof of Theorem 2), we write

$$\begin{aligned} A_h(x) &= \int_0^{1/h} \hat{f}_c(t)(ht)^m \cos\left(xt + m\frac{\pi}{2}\right) dt + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} \int_0^{1/h} \hat{f}_c(t) \cos\left(xt + m\frac{\pi}{2}\right) (ht)^{m+2j} (\alpha(ht))^j dt =: A_h^{(1)}(x) + A_h^{(2)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_y^\infty |\hat{f}_c(t)| dt = o(\omega(1/y))$, $y \rightarrow +\infty$, for $\omega(t) = t^m$ and $t^m \in N^m \subset B_{m+2j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, by Lemma 5(i) we obtain

$$A_h^{(2)}(x) = O\left(\sum_{j=1}^m h^{m+2j} \int_0^{1/h} |\hat{f}_c(t)| t^{m+2j} dt\right) = o(h^{m+2j} h^{-m-2j} h^m) = o(h^m), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, the existence of the limit

$$B(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-m} A_h^{(1)}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \hat{f}_c(t) t^m \cos\left(xt + m\frac{\pi}{2}\right) dt$$

is equivalent to the existence of $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-m} \Delta_h^m f(x) =: A(x)$ and in the last case $B(x) = A(x)$.

(ii) The proof of this item is similar to that of (i).

Theorem 3 is proved.

Remark 3. Theorem 3 is an analog of Theorem C.

Theorem 4. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap UC(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\hat{f}_s(t) \geq 0$ ($\hat{f}_c(t) \geq 0$) on \mathbb{R}_+ . If $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^y \hat{f}_s(t) \sin xt dt \quad \left(f(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^y \hat{f}_c(t) \cos xt dt \right)$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is even, then $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$ is odd on \mathbb{R} and vice versa. As it is noted in [5], for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap UC(\mathbb{R})$ we have $|\Delta_h^2 F(x)| = o(h)$, $h \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $F \in h^{\omega, 2}$ for $\omega(t) = t$. Now we consider odd f ($f \equiv f_o$) and even F . By Theorem 8 in [13] or Theorem 5 below we have

$$\int_0^y t^2 |\hat{F}_c(t)| dt = o(y^2 y^{-1}) = o(y), \quad y \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.14)$$

and by Lemma 5

$$\int_y^\infty |\hat{F}_c(t)| dt = o(y^{-1}), \quad y \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.15)$$

since $\omega(t) = t \in B_2$. Using the fact that $\hat{F}_c(t) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and (3.15), we obtain $\hat{F}_c(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and by inversion formula (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} F(x+h) - F(x) &= -\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{1/h} + \int_{1/h}^\infty \right) \hat{F}_c(t) (\cos xt - \cos(x+h)t) dt =: \\ &=: -\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} (A_h(x) + B_h(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.15) we have $B_h(x) = o(h)$, $h \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. On the other hand, using identity $\cos xt - \cos(x+h)t = \cos xt(1 - \cos ht) + \sin xt \sin ht$, we see that

$$A_h(x) = \int_0^{1/h} \hat{F}_c(t) 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{ht}{2}\right) \cos xt dt + \int_0^{1/h} \hat{F}_c(t) \sin xt \sin ht dt =: A_h^{(1)}(x) + A_h^{(2)}(x).$$

By (3.14) and inequality $|\sin t| \leq t$, $t \geq 0$, we obtain

$$|A_h^{(1)}(x)| \leq h^2 \int_0^{1/h} |\hat{F}_c(t)| t^2 dt = o(h), \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, while

$$A_h^{(2)}(x) = h \int_0^{1/h} \hat{F}_c(t) t \sin xt dt + \int_0^{1/h} \hat{F}_c(t) \alpha^3(ht) (ht)^3 dt =: A_h^{(3)}(x) + A_h^{(4)}(x)$$

(see the proof of Theorem 2). From (3.15) and condition $\omega \in B_3$ for $\omega(t) = t$ due to Lemma 5(i) we have

$$|A_h^{(4)}(x)| = O\left(h^3 \int_0^{1/h} t^3 |\hat{F}_c(t)| dt\right) = o(h^3 h^{-3} h) = o(h), \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

also uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Thus, by Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} &= -\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_0^{1/h} \hat{F}_c(t) t \sin xt dt + o(1) = \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_0^{1/h} \hat{f}_s(t) \sin xt dt + o(1), \quad h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similar relation holds for $(F(x) - F(x-h))/h$ and tending h to zero yields

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_0^{\infty} \hat{f}_s(t) \sin xt dt$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The proof of the second statement of Theorem 4 is similar to that of the first one.

Theorem 4 is proved.

Remark 4. Theorem 4 is a non-periodic analog of Theorem D of R. Paley [15].

Theorem 5. (i) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in B$ and

$$\int_0^y t^m |\hat{f}_c(t)| dt = o(y^m \omega(1/y)), \quad y \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.16)$$

or

$$\int_0^y t^m |\hat{f}_s(t)| dt = o(y^m \omega(1/y)), \quad y \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.17)$$

and (3.1) or (3.2) respectively hold for all $y > 0$, then $\hat{f}_c \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (or $\hat{f}_s \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$) and $f_e \in h^{\omega, m}$ (or $f_o \in h^{\omega, m}$).

(ii) If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and f_e (or f_o) satisfy conditions of Theorem 1(ii) (or Theorem 1(iii)), then $f_e \in h^{\omega, m}$ implies (3.16) (or $f_e \in h^{\omega, m}$ implies (3.17)).

Proof. (i) By condition of Theorem for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $y_0(\varepsilon)$, such that

$$\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_0^y t^m |\hat{f}_c(t)| dt < \varepsilon y^m \omega(1/y) \quad \text{for all } y > y_0.$$

If I_h and J_h are defined in the proof of Theorem 1, then similarly to (3.5) we have $|I_h| \leq \varepsilon h^m h^{-m} \omega(h) = \varepsilon \omega(h)$ for $0 < h < y_0^{-1}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 5(ii) we have $|J_h| = o(\omega(h))$, $h \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $|\hat{\Delta}_h^m f(x)| = O(I_h + J_h) = o(\omega(h))$ and $f_e \in h^{\omega, m}$ ($f_o \in h^{\omega, m}$).

(ii) Let m be even and $\hat{f}_c(t) \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ . If $f \in h^{\omega, m}$, then

$$\varepsilon \omega(h) \geq |\hat{\Delta}_h^m f(0)| \geq C_1 \int_0^{1/h} \hat{f}_c(t) (ht)^m dt, \quad 0 < h < h_0(\varepsilon),$$

whence $\int_0^{1/h} |t^m \hat{f}_c(t)| dt = o(h^{-m} \omega(h))$, $h \rightarrow 0$, and (3.16) is proved.

Let m be odd, $\hat{f}_c(t) \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ and $\omega \in B_m$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1(ii) we find that $\int_{2t}^{\infty} \hat{f}_c(u) du < \varepsilon \omega(1/t)$ for $t > t_0(\varepsilon)$ and $\int_t^{\infty} \hat{f}_c(u) du = o(\omega(1/t))$, $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Using condition $\omega \in B_m$ and Lemma 5(i), we obtain (3.16).

The case of odd m and $\hat{f}_s \geq 0$ is similar to the case of even m and $\hat{f}_c \geq 0$. Finally, if m is even, $\omega \in B$ and $\hat{f}_s(t) \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , then similarly to the proof of Theorem 1(iii) we have $\int_0^{1/t} u^{-1} \hat{f}_s(u) (tu)^{m+2} du \leq \varepsilon t \omega(1/t)$ for $t > t_0(\varepsilon)$ and by Lemma 5(ii) we deduce that

$$\int_y^{\infty} \hat{f}_s(t) dt = o(\omega(1/y)), \quad y \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.18)$$

Using $\omega \in B_m$ and Lemma 5(ii), we obtain (3.17).

Theorem 5 is proved.

Remark 5. Theorem 5 is a generalization of Theorems 4, 5 and 8 from [13].

Corollary 3. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\hat{f}_c(t)$ keeps its sign on \mathbb{R}_+ , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in B_m \cap B$. Then three conditions $f \in h^{\omega, m}$, (3.16) and (3.18) are equivalent. Similar assertion is valid for \hat{f}_s .

1. Butzer P. L., Nessel R. J. Fourier analysis and approximation. – Basel; Stuttgart: Birkhäuser, 1971. – Vol. 1.
2. Bari N. K., Stechkin S. B. Best approximation and differential properties of two conjugate functions // Trudy Mosk. Mat. Obshch. – 1956. – 5. – P. 483–522 (in Russian).
3. Timan A. F. Theory of approximation of functions of a real variable (in Russian). – Moscow: Fizmatgiz, 1960 (English transl.: Pergamon Press, N.Y., 1963).
4. Moricz F. Absolutely convergent Fourier integrals and classical function spaces // Arch. Math. – 2008. – 91, № 1. – P. 49–62.
5. Volosivets S. S. Fourier transforms and generalized Lipschitz classes in uniform metric // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2011. – 383, № 1. – P. 344–352.
6. Boas (Jr.) R. P. Integrability theorems for trigonometric transforms. – New York: Springer, 1967.
7. Tikhonov S. Smoothness conditions and Fourier series // Math. Ineq. Appl. – 2007. – 10, № 2. – P. 229–242.
8. Tikhonov S. On generalized Lipschitz classes and Fourier series // Z. Anal. Anwend. – 2004. – 23, № 4. – S. 745–764.
9. Moricz F. Absolutely convergent Fourier series and function classes // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2006. – 324, № 2. – P. 1168–1177.

10. *Moricz F.* Higher order Lipschitz classes of functions and absolutely convergent Fourier series // *Acta math. hung.* – 2008. – **120**, № 4. – P. 355–366.
11. *Moricz F.* Absolutely convergent Fourier series, classical function spaces and Paley's theorem // *Anal. Math.* – 2008. – **34**, № 4. – P. 261–276.
12. *Volosivets S. S.* Fourier coefficients and generalized Lipschitz classes in uniform metric // *Real Anal. Exch.* – 2009. – **34**, № 1. – P. 219–226.
13. *Fülöp V.* Sine, cosine transforms and classical function classes // *Anal. Math.* – 2009. – **35**, № 3. – P. 199–212.
14. *Nikol'skii S. M.* Approximation of several variables and embedding theorems (in Russian). – Moscow: Nauka, 1977 (English transl.: Berlin: Springer, 1975).
15. *Paley R. E. A. C.* On Fourier series with positive coefficients // *J. London Math. Soc.* – 1932. – **7**. – P. 205–208.
16. *Bari N. K.* Trigonometric series (in Russian). – Moscow: Fizmatgiz, 1961.

Received 17.11.11