
UDC 517.938.5

R. Akgün (Balikesir Univ., Turkey)

**TRIGONOMETRIC APPROXIMATION
OF FUNCTIONS IN GENERALIZED LEBESGUE SPACES
WITH VARIABLE EXPONENT**

**ТРИГОНОМЕТРИЧНЕ НАБЛИЖЕННЯ ФУНКІЙ
В УЗАГАЛЬНЕНИХ ПРОСТОРАХ ЛЕБЕГА
ЗІ ЗМІННОЮ ЕКСПОНЕНТОЮ**

We investigate the approximation properties of the trigonometric system in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. We consider the fractional order moduli of smoothness and obtain direct, converse approximation theorems together with a constructive characterization of a Lipschitz-type class.

Досліджено властивості наближення тригонометричної системи в $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. Розглянуто модулі гладкості дробового порядку та отримано пряму і обернену теореми наближення разом із конструктивною характеризацією класу типу Ліпшицца.

1. Introduction. Generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(x)}$ with variable exponent and corresponding Sobolev-type spaces have wide applications in elasticity theory, fluid mechanics, differential operators [31, 10], nonlinear Dirichlet boundary-value problems [24], nonstandard growth and variational calculus [33].

These spaces appeared first in [28] as an example of modular spaces [14, 26] and Sharapudinov [36] has been obtained topological properties of $L^{p(x)}$. Furthermore if $p^* := \text{ess sup}_{x \in T} p(x) < \infty$, then $L^{p(x)}$ is a particular case of Musielak–Orlicz spaces [26]. Later various mathematicians investigated the main properties of these spaces [36, 24, 32, 12]. In $L^{p(x)}$ there is a rich theory of boundedness of integral transforms of various type [22, 33, 9, 37].

For $p(x) := p$, $1 < p < \infty$, $L^{p(x)}$ coincide with Lebesgue space L^p and basic problems of trigonometric approximation in L^p are investigated by several mathematicians (among others [39, 19, 30, 40, 6, 4], ...). Approximation by algebraic polynomials and rational functions in Lebesgue spaces, Orlicz spaces, symmetric spaces and their weighted versions on sufficiently smooth complex domains and curves was investigated in [1–3, 15, 18, 16]. For a complete treatise of polynomial approximation we refer to the books [5, 8, 41, 29, 35, 23].

In harmonic and Fourier analysis some of operators (for example partial sum operator of Fourier series, conjugate operator, differentiation operator, shift operator $f \rightarrow f(\cdot + h)$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$) have been extensively used to prove direct and converse type approximation inequalities. Unfortunately the space $L^{p(x)}$ is not $p(\cdot)$ -continuous and not translation invariant [24]. Under various assumptions (including translation invariance) on modular space Musielak [27] obtained some approximation theorems in modular spaces with respect to the usual moduli of smoothness. Since $L^{p(x)}$ is not translation invariant using Butzer–Wehrens type moduli of smoothness (see [7, 13]) Israfilov et all. [17] obtained direct and converse trigonometric approximation theorems in $L^{p(x)}$.

In the present paper we investigate the approximation properties of the trigonometric system in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. We consider the fractional order moduli of smoothness and obtain direct, converse approximation theorems together with a constructive characterization of a Lipschitz-type class.

Let $\mathbf{T} := [-\pi, \pi]$ and \mathcal{P} be the class of 2π -periodic, Lebesgue measurable functions $p = p(x) : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ such that $p^* < \infty$. We define class $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)} := L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbf{T})$ of 2π -periodic measurable functions f defined on \mathbf{T} satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

The class $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ is a Banach space [24] with norms

$$\|f(x)\|_{p,\pi} := \|f(x)\|_{p,\pi,\mathbf{T}} := \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \frac{f(x)}{\alpha} \right|^{p(x)} |dx| \leq 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\|f(x)\|_{p,\pi}^* := \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(x)g(x)| dx : g \in L_{2\pi}^{p'(\cdot)}, \int_{\mathbf{T}} |g(x)|^{p'(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

having the property¹

$$\|f\|_{p,\pi} \asymp \|f\|_{p,\pi}^*, \quad (1)$$

where $p'(x) := p(x)/(p(x) - 1)$ is the conjugate exponent of $p(x)$.

The variable exponent $p(x)$ which is defined on \mathbf{T} is said to be satisfy *Dini-Lipschitz property* DL_γ of order γ on \mathbf{T} if

$$\sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{T}} \left\{ |p(x_1) - p(x_2)| : |x_1 - x_2| \leq \delta \right\} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^\gamma \leq c, \quad 0 < \delta < 1.$$

Let $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_1 , $0 < h \leq 1$ and let

$$\sigma_h f(x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h/2}^{x+h/2} f(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbf{T},$$

be Steklov's mean operator. In this case the operator σ_h is bounded [37] in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. Using these facts and setting $x, t \in \mathbf{T}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_h^\alpha f(x) &:= (I - \sigma_h)^\alpha f(x) = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k} \frac{1}{h^k} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \dots \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f(x + u_1 + \dots + u_k) du_1 \dots du_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

¹ $X \asymp Y$ means that there exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that $cY \leq X \leq CY$ hold. Throughout this work by c, C, c_1, c_2, \dots , we denote the constants which are different in different places. $X_n = \mathcal{O}(Y_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $X_n \leq CY_n$ holds for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

where $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, $\binom{\alpha}{k} := \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-k+1)}{k!}$ for $k > 1$, $\binom{\alpha}{1} := \alpha$, $\binom{\alpha}{0} := 1$ and I is the identity operator.

Since the Binomial coefficients $\binom{\alpha}{k}$ satisfy [34, p. 14]

$$\left| \binom{\alpha}{k} \right| \leq \frac{c(\alpha)}{k^{\alpha+1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

we get

$$C(\alpha) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \binom{\alpha}{k} \right| < \infty$$

and therefore

$$\|\sigma_h^\alpha f\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \|f\|_{p,\pi} < \infty \quad (3)$$

provided $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_1 and $0 < h \leq 1$.

For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we define the *fractional modulus of smoothness of index $r + \alpha$* for $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$, satisfy DL_1 and $0 < h \leq 1$ as

$$\Omega_{r+\alpha}(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} := \sup_{0 \leq h_i, h \leq \delta} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^r (I - \sigma_{h_i}) \sigma_h^\alpha f \right\|_{p,\pi}$$

and

$$\Omega_\alpha(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \|\sigma_h^\alpha f\|_{p,\pi}.$$

We have by (3) that

$$\Omega_{r+\alpha}(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \|f\|_{p,\pi}$$

where $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_1 , $0 < h \leq 1$ and the constant $c > 0$ dependent only on α , r and p .

Remark 1. The modulus of smoothness $\Omega_\alpha(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, has the following properties for $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying DL_1 : (i) $\Omega_\alpha(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)}$ is non-negative and non-decreasing function of $\delta \geq 0$, (ii) $\Omega_\alpha(f_1 + f_2, \cdot)_{p(\cdot)} \leq \Omega_\alpha(f_1, \cdot)_{p(\cdot)} + \Omega_\alpha(f_2, \cdot)_{p(\cdot)}$, (iii) $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Omega_\alpha(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} = 0$.

Let

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} := \inf_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} \|f - T\|_{p,\pi}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

be the approximation error of function $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ where \mathcal{T}_n is the class of trigonometric polynomials of degree not greater than n .

For a given $f \in L^1$, assuming

$$\int_T f(x) dx = 0, \quad (4)$$

we define α -th *fractional (α ∈ ℝ⁺) integral* of f as [42, v. 2, p. 134]

$$I_\alpha(x, f) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^*} c_k (ik)^{-\alpha} e^{ikx},$$

where $c_k := \int_T f(x) e^{-ikx} dx$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^* := \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots\}$ and

$$(ik)^{-\alpha} := |k|^{-\alpha} e^{(-1/2)\pi i \alpha \operatorname{sign} k}$$

as principal value.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be given. We define *fractional derivative* of a function $f \in L^1$, satisfying (4), as

$$f^{(\alpha)}(x) := \frac{d^{[\alpha]+1}}{dx^{[\alpha]+1}} I_{1+[\alpha]-\alpha}(x, f)$$

provided the right-hand side exists, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of a real number x .

Let $W_{p(\cdot)}^\alpha$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $\alpha > 0$, be the class of functions $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ such that $f^{(\alpha)} \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. $W_{p(\cdot)}^\alpha$ becomes a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W_{p(\cdot)}^\alpha} := \|f\|_{p,\pi} + \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{p,\pi}.$$

Main results of this work are following.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in W_{p(\cdot)}^\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$, then for every natural n there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of n such that*

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)}$$

holds.

Corollary 1. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1*

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{p,\pi}$$

with a constant $c > 0$ independent of $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Theorem 2. *If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ dependent only on α and p such that for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$*

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \Omega_\alpha \left(f, \frac{2\pi}{n+1} \right)_{p(\cdot)}$$

holds.

The following converse theorem of trigonometric approximation holds.

Theorem 3. *If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, then for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$*

$$\Omega_\alpha \left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)^{\alpha-1} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot)}$$

hold where the constant $c > 0$ dependent only on α and p .

Corollary 2. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. If*

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\sigma}), \quad \sigma > 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then

$$\Omega_\alpha(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\delta^\sigma), & \alpha > \sigma, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta^\sigma |\log(1/\delta)|), & \alpha = \sigma, \\ \mathcal{O}(\delta^\alpha), & \alpha < \sigma, \end{cases}$$

hold.

Definition 1. For $0 < \sigma < \alpha$ we set

$$\text{Lip } \sigma(\alpha, p(\cdot)) := \left\{ f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)} : \Omega_\alpha(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^\sigma), \delta > 0 \right\}.$$

Corollary 3. Let $0 < \sigma < \alpha$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ be fulfilled. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $f \in \text{Lip } \sigma(\alpha, p(\cdot))$,
- (b) $E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\sigma})$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Theorem 4. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. If $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{\beta-1} E_\nu(f)_{p,\pi} < \infty$$

then $f \in W_{p(\cdot)}^\beta$ and

$$E_n(f^{(\beta)})_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \left((n+1)^\beta E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} + \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\beta-1} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot)} \right)$$

hold where the constant $c > 0$ dependent only on β and p .

Corollary 4. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$, $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{\alpha-1} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot)} < \infty$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. In this case for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ there exists a constant $c > 0$ dependent only on α , β and p such that

$$\Omega_\beta \left(f^{(\alpha)}, \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\beta} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)^{\alpha+\beta-1} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot)} + c \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\alpha-1} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot)}$$

hold.

The following simultaneous approximation theorem holds.

Theorem 5. Let $\beta \in [0, \infty)$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. Then there exist a $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and a constant $c > 0$ depending only on α and p such that

$$\|f^{(\beta)} - T^{(\beta)}\|_{p,\pi} \leq c E_n(f^{(\beta)})_{p(\cdot)}$$

holds.

Definition 2 (Hardy space of variable exponent $H^{p(\cdot)}$ on the unit disc \mathbb{D} with the boundary $\mathbb{T} := \partial\mathbb{D}$) [21]. Let $p(z) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow (1, \infty)$, be measurable function. We say that a complex valued analytic function Φ in \mathbb{D} belongs to the Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}$ if

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi(re^{i\vartheta})|^{p(\vartheta)} d\vartheta < +\infty$$

where $p(\vartheta) := p(e^{i\vartheta})$, $\vartheta \in [0, 2\pi]$ (and therefore $p(\vartheta)$ is 2π -periodic function). Let $\underline{p} := \inf_{z \in \mathbb{T}} p(z)$ and $\bar{p} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}} p(z)$. If $\underline{p} > 0$, then it is obvious that $H^{\bar{p}} \subset H^{p(\cdot)} \subset H^{\underline{p}}$. Therefore if $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}$ and $\underline{p} > 0$, then there exist nontangential boundary-values

$f(e^{i\theta})$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} and $f(e^{i\theta}) \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{T})$. Under the conditions $1 < \underline{p}$ and $\bar{p} < \infty$, $H^{p(\cdot)}$ becomes a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}} := \|f(e^{i\theta})\|_{p,\pi,\mathbb{T}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{f(e^{i\theta})}{\lambda} \right|^{p(\theta)} d\theta \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 6. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$, f belongs to Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}$ on \mathbb{D} and $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\left\| f(z) - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f) z^k \right\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}} \leq c \Omega_r \left(f(e^{i\theta}), \frac{1}{n+1} \right)_{p(\cdot)}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $a_k(f)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, are the Taylor coefficients of f at the origin.

2. Some auxiliary results. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma A [20]. For $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we suppose that

- (i) $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + \dots$,
- (ii) $a_1 + 2^r a_2 + \dots + n^r a_n + \dots$

be two series in a Banach space $(B, \|\cdot\|)$. Let

$$R_n^{(r)} := \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^r \right) a_k$$

and

$$R_n^{(r)*} := \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^r \right) k^r a_k$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\left\| R_n^{(r)*} \right\| \leq c, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

for some $c > 0$ if and only if there exists a $R \in B$ such that

$$\left\| R_n^{(r)} - R \right\| \leq \frac{C}{n^r},$$

where c and C are constants depending only on one another.

Lemma B [38]. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ then there are constants $c, C > 0$ such that

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \|f\|_{p,\pi} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\|S_n(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi} \leq C \|f\|_{p,\pi} \tag{6}$$

hold for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Remark 2. Under the conditions of Lemma B

- (i) It can be easily seen from (5) and (6) that there exists constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|f - S_n(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi} \leq c E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \asymp E_n(\tilde{f})_{p(\cdot)}.$$

(ii) From generalized Hölder inequality [24] (Theorem 2.1) we have

$$L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)} \subset L^1.$$

For a given $f \in L^1$ let

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \sin kx - b_k \cos kx)$$

be the *Fourier* and the *conjugate Fourier series* of f , respectively. Putting $A_k(x) := c_k e^{ikx}$ in (7) we define

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(f) &:= S_n(x, f) := \sum_{k=0}^n (A_k(x) + A_{-k}(x)) = \\ &= \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ R_n^{(\alpha)}(f, x) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^\alpha \right) (A_k(x) + A_{-k}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Theta_m^{(r)} := \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{m+1}{2m+1} \right)^r} R_{2m}^{(r)} - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2m+1}{m+1} \right)^r - 1} R_m^{(r)}, \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (8)$$

Under the conditions of Lemma B using (6) and Abel's transformation we get

$$\|R_n^{(\alpha)}(f, x)\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \|f\|_{p,\pi}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad x \in T, \quad f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}, \quad (9)$$

and therefore from (8) and (9)

$$\|\Theta_m^{(r)}(f, x)\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \|f\|_{p,\pi}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad x \in T, \quad f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}.$$

From the property [25] ((16))

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_m^{(r)}(f)(x) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{k=m+1}^{2m} [(k+1)^r - k^r]} \sum_{k=m+1}^{2m} [(k+1)^r - k^r] S_k(x, f), \quad x \in T, \quad f \in L^1, \end{aligned}$$

it is known [25] ((18)) that

$$\Theta_m^{(r)}(T_m) = T_m \quad (10)$$

for $T_m \in \mathcal{T}_m$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Lemma 1. Let $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\|T_n^{(r)}\|_{p,\pi} \leq cn^r \|T_n\|_{p,\pi}$$

holds.

Proof. Without loss of generality one can assume that $\|T_n\|_{p,\pi} = 1$. Since

$$T_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (A_k(x) + A_{-k}(x))$$

we get

$$\frac{\tilde{T}_n}{n^r} = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[(A_k(x) - A_{-k}(x)) / n^r \right]$$

and

$$\frac{T_n^{(r)}}{n^r} = i^r \sum_{k=1}^n k^r \left[(A_k(x) - A_{-k}(x)) / n^r \right].$$

In this case we have by (9) and (5) that

$$\left\| R_n^{(r)} \left(\frac{\tilde{T}_n}{n^r} \right) \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq \frac{c}{n^r} \|\tilde{T}_n\|_{p,\pi} \leq \frac{c}{n^r} \|T_n\|_{p,\pi} = \frac{c}{n^r}$$

and hence applying Lemma A (with $R = 0$) to the series

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \left[(A_k(x) - A_{-k}(x)) / n^r \right] + 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 + \dots, \\ & \sum_{k=1}^n k^r \left[(A_k(x) - A_{-k}(x)) / n^r \right] + 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^r \right) k^r \left[(A_k(x) - A_{-k}(x)) / n^r \right] \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq c,$$

namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| R_n^{(r)} \left(\frac{\tilde{T}_n}{n^r} \right) \right\|_{p,\pi} &= \left\| i^r \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^r \right) k^r \left[(A_k(x) - A_{-k}(x)) / n^r \right] \right\|_{p,\pi} = \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^r \right) k^r \left[(A_k(x) - A_{-k}(x)) / n^r \right] \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq c_*. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R_n^{(r)}(cf) = cR_n^{(r)}(f)$ for every real c we obtain from (10) and the last inequality that

$$\|T_n^{(r)}\|_{p,\pi} = \left\| \Theta_n^{(r)} \left(T_n^{(r)} \right) \right\|_{p,\pi} = n^r \left\| \frac{1}{n^r} \Theta_n^{(r)} \left(T_n^{(r)} \right) \right\|_{p,\pi} =$$

$$= n^r \left\| \Theta_n^{(r)} \left(\frac{T_n^{(r)}}{n^r} \right) \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq c_* n^r = c_* n^r \|T_n\|_{p,\pi}.$$

General case follows immediately from this.

Lemma 2. *If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$, $f \in W_{p(\cdot)}^2$ and $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then*

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c\delta^2 \Omega_{r-1}(f'', \delta)_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \delta \geq 0,$$

with some constant $c > 0$.

Proof. Putting

$$g(x) := \prod_{i=2}^r (I - \sigma_{h_i}) f(x)$$

we have

$$(I - \sigma_{h_1}) g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r (I - \sigma_{h_i}) f(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^r (I - \sigma_{h_i}) f(x) &= \frac{1}{h_1} \int_{-h_1/2}^{h_1/2} (g(x) - g(x+t)) dt = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2h_1} \int_0^{h_1/2} \int_0^{2t} \int_{-u/2}^{u/2} g''(x+s) ds du dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \prod_{i=1}^r (I - \sigma_{h_i}) f(x) \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2h_1} \sup \left\{ \int_T \left| \int_0^{h_1/2} \int_0^{2t} \int_{-u/2}^{u/2} g''(x+s) ds du dt \right| |g_0(x)| dx : \right. \\ &\quad \left. g_0 \in L_{2\pi}^{p'(\cdot)} \text{ and } \int_T |g_0(x)|^{p'(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2h_1} \int_0^{h_1/2} \int_0^{2t} u \left\| \frac{1}{u} \int_{-u/2}^{u/2} g''(x+s) ds \right\|_{p,\pi} du dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2h_1} \int_0^{h_1/2} \int_0^{2t} u \|g''\|_{p,\pi} du dt = ch_1^2 \|g''\|_{p,\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$g''(x) = \prod_{i=2}^r (I - \sigma_{h_i}) f''(x),$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} &\leq \sup_{\substack{0 < h_i \leq \delta \\ i=1,2,\dots,r}} ch_1^2 \|g''\|_{p,\pi} = c\delta^2 \sup_{\substack{0 < h_i \leq \delta \\ i=2,\dots,r}} \left\| \prod_{i=2}^r (I - \sigma_{h_i}) f''(x) \right\|_{p,\pi} = \\ &= c\delta^2 \sup_{\substack{0 < h_j \leq \delta \\ j=2,\dots,r-1}} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (I - \sigma_{h_j}) f''(x) \right\|_{p,\pi} = c\delta^2 \Omega_{r-1}(f'', \delta)_{p(\cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

Corollary 5. If $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $f \in W_p^{2r}$, then

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c\delta^{2r} \|f^{(2r)}\|_{p,\pi}, \quad \delta \geq 0,$$

with some constant $c > 0$.

Lemma 3. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_γ with $\gamma \geq 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$. Then

$$\Omega_\alpha \left(T_n, \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \|T_n^{(\alpha)}\|_{p,\pi}$$

hold where the constant $c > 0$ dependent only on α and p .

Proof. Firstly we prove that if $0 < \alpha < \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ then

$$\Omega_\beta(f, \cdot)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \Omega_\alpha(f, \cdot)_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (11)$$

It is easily seen that if $\alpha \leq \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then

$$\Omega_\beta(f, \cdot)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c(\alpha, \beta, p) \Omega_\alpha(f, \cdot)_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (12)$$

Now, we assume that $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. In this case putting $\Phi(x) := \sigma_h^\alpha f(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_h^{\beta-\alpha} \Phi(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{\beta-\alpha}{j} \frac{1}{h^j} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \dots \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \Phi(x + u_1 + \dots + u_j) du_1 \dots du_j = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{\beta-\alpha}{j} \frac{1}{h^j} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \dots \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k} \frac{1}{h^k} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f(x + u_1 + \dots + u_j + u_{j+1} + \dots + u_{j+k}) du_1 \dots du_j du_{j+1} \dots du_{j+k} \right] = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+k} \binom{\beta-\alpha}{j} \binom{\alpha}{k} \times \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{h^{j+k}} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \dots \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f(x + u_1 + \dots + u_{j+k}) du_1 \dots du_{j+k} \right] = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (-1)^v \binom{\beta}{v} \frac{1}{h^v} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \dots \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f(x + u_1 + \dots + u_v) du_1 \dots du_v = \sigma_h^{\beta} f(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

Then

$$\left\| \sigma_h^{\beta} f(x) \right\|_{p,\pi} = \left\| \sigma_h^{\beta-\alpha} \Phi(x) \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \left\| \sigma_h^{\alpha} f(x) \right\|_{p,\pi}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\beta}(f, \cdot)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \Omega_{\alpha}(f, \cdot)_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (13)$$

We note that if $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in (0, 1)$ taking $\alpha := r_1 + \alpha_1$, $\beta := r_2 + \beta_1$ for the remaining cases $r_1 = r_2$, $\alpha_1 < \beta_1$ or $r_1 < r_2$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ or $r_1 < r_2$, $\alpha_1 < \beta_1$ it can easily be obtained from (12) and (13) that the required inequality (11) holds.

Using (11), Corollary 5 and Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\alpha}\left(T_n, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_{p(\cdot)} &\leq c \Omega_{[\alpha]}\left(T_n, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^{2[\alpha]} \left\| T_n^{(2[\alpha])} \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^{2[\alpha]}} (n+1)^{[\alpha]-(\alpha-[[\alpha]])} \left\| T_n^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{p,\pi} = \frac{c}{(n+1)^{\alpha}} \left\| T_n^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{p,\pi} \end{aligned}$$

the required result.

Definition 3. For $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, $\delta > 0$ and $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ the Peetre K-functional is defined as

$$K\left(\delta, f; L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}, W_{p(\cdot)}^r\right) := \inf_{g \in W_{p(\cdot)}^r} \left\{ \|f - g\|_{p,\pi} + \delta \|g^{(r)}\|_{p,\pi} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

Theorem 7. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy DL_{γ} with $\gamma \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, then the K-functional $K\left(\delta^{2r}, f; L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}, W_{p(\cdot)}^{2r}\right)$ in (14) and the modulus $\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)}$, $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are equivalent.

Proof. If $h \in W_{p(\cdot)}^{2r}$, then we have by Corollary 5 and (14) that

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \|f - h\|_{p,\pi} + c \delta^{2r} \|h^{(2r)}\|_{p,\pi} \leq c K\left(\delta^{2r}, f; L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}, W_{p(\cdot)}^{2r}\right).$$

We estimate the reverse of the last inequality. The operator L_{δ} defined by

$$(L_{\delta}f)(x) := 3\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} \int_0^{2t} \int_{-u/2}^{u/2} f(x+s) ds du dt, \quad x \in \mathbf{T},$$

is bounded in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ because

$$\|L_{\delta}f\|_{p,\pi} \leq 3\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} \int_0^{2t} u \|\sigma_u f\|_{p,\pi} du dt \leq c \|f\|_{p,\pi}.$$

We prove

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_{\delta}f = \frac{c}{\delta^2} (I - \sigma_{\delta}) f$$

with a real constant c . Since

$$\begin{aligned}(L_\delta f)(x) &= 3\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} \int_0^{2t} \int_{-u/2}^{u/2} f(x+s) ds du dt = \\ &= 3\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} \int_0^{2t} \left[\int_0^{x+u/2} f(s) ds - \int_0^{x-u/2} f(s) ds \right] du dt\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dx} (L_\delta f)(x) &= 3\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} \int_0^{2t} \left[\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{x+u/2} f(s) ds - \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{x-u/2} f(s) ds \right] du dt = \\ &= 3\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} \int_0^{2t} [f(x+u/2) - f(x-u/2)] du dt = \\ &= 6\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} \left[\int_x^{x+t} f(u) du + \int_x^{x-t} f(u) du \right] dt \quad \text{a.e.}\end{aligned}$$

Using Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem once more

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} (L_\delta f)(x) &= 6\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} \left[\frac{d}{dx} \int_x^{x+t} f(u) du + \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{x-t} f(u) du \right] dt = \\ &= 6\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} [f(x+t) - f(x) + f(x-t) - f(x)] dt = \\ &= \frac{6}{\delta^3} \left[\int_0^{\delta/2} f(x+t) dt + \int_0^{\delta/2} f(x-t) dt - \delta f(x) \right] = \\ &= \frac{6}{\delta^2} \left[\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^{\delta/2} f(x+t) dt + \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\delta/2}^0 f(x+t) dt - f(x) \right] = \\ &= \frac{6}{\delta^2} \left[\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} f(x+t) dt - f(x) \right] = \\ &= \frac{-6}{\delta^2} \left[f(x) - \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} f(x+t) dt \right] = \frac{-6}{\delta^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) f(x) \quad \text{a.e.}\end{aligned}$$

The last equality implies by induction on r that

$$\frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^r f = \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} (I - \sigma_\delta)^r f, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Indeed, for $r = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^4}{dx^4} L_\delta^2 f &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta^2 f \right) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta (L_\delta f =: u) \right) = \\ &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta u \right) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left(\frac{-6}{\delta^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) u \right) = \\ &= \frac{-6}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) u \right) = \frac{-6}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) L_\delta f \right) \quad \text{a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \sigma_\delta (L_\delta f) = \sigma_\delta \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta f \right)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) L_\delta f &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta f - \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \sigma_\delta (L_\delta f) = \\ &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta f - \sigma_\delta \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta f \right) = (I - \sigma_\delta) \left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta f \right] \quad \text{a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^4}{dx^4} L_\delta^2 f &= \frac{-6}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) L_\delta f \right) = \frac{-6}{\delta^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) \left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta f \right] = \\ &= \frac{-6}{\delta^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) \left[\frac{-6}{\delta^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) f \right] = \frac{c}{\delta^4} (I - \sigma_\delta)^2 f \quad \text{a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Now let be $\frac{d^{2(r-1)}}{dx^{2(r-1)}} L_\delta^{(r-1)} f = \frac{c}{\delta^{2(r-1)}} (I - \sigma_\delta)^{(r-1)} f$ a.e. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^r f &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[\frac{d^{2(r-1)}}{dx^{2(r-1)}} L_\delta^{(r-1)} (L_\delta f := u) \right] = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[\frac{d^{2(r-1)}}{dx^{2(r-1)}} L_\delta^{(r-1)} u \right] = \\ &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[\frac{c}{\delta^{2(r-1)}} (I - \sigma_\delta)^{(r-1)} u \right] = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[\frac{c}{\delta^{2(r-1)}} (I - \sigma_\delta)^{(r-1)} L_\delta f \right] = \\ &= \frac{c}{\delta^{2(r-1)}} (I - \sigma_\delta)^{(r-1)} \left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta f \right] = \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} (I - \sigma_\delta)^r f \quad \text{a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $A_\delta^r := I - (I - L_\delta^r)^r$ we prove that $\left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} A_\delta^r f \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^r f \right\|_{p,\pi}$ and $A_\delta^r f \in W_{p(\cdot)}^{2r}$. For $r = 1$ we have $A_\delta^1 f := I - (I - L_\delta^1 f)^1 = L_\delta^1 f$ and $\left\| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} A_\delta^1 f \right\|_{p,\pi} = \left\| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta^1 f \right\|_{p,\pi}$. Since $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} L_\delta f = \frac{c}{\delta^2} (I - \sigma_\delta) f$ we get $A_\delta^1 f \in W_{p(\cdot)}^2$. For $r = 2, 3, \dots$ using

$$A_\delta^r := I - (I - L_\delta^r)^r = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^{r-j+1} \binom{r}{j} L_\delta^{r(r-j)}$$

we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} A_\delta^r f \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} \left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^{r(r-j)} f \right\|_{p,\pi}.$$

We estimate $\left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^{r(r-j)} f \right\|_{p,\pi}$ as the following

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^{r(r-j)} f \right\|_{p,\pi} &= \left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^r (L_\delta^{(r-j)} f =: u) \right\|_{p,\pi} = \\ &= \left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^r u \right\|_{p,\pi} = \left\| \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} (I - \sigma_\delta)^r u \right\|_{p,\pi} = \\ &= \left\| \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} (I - \sigma_\delta)^r [L_\delta^{(r-j)} f] \right\|_{p,\pi} = \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} \left\| (I - \sigma_\delta)^r [L_\delta^{(r-j)} f] \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \sigma_\delta^i [L_\delta^{(r-j)} f] \right\|_{p,\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_\delta (L_\delta f) = L_\delta (\sigma_\delta f)$ we have $\sigma_\delta^i [L_\delta^{(r-j)} f] = L_\delta^{(r-j)} (\sigma_\delta^i f)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^{r(r-j)} f \right\|_{p,\pi} &\leq \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \sigma_\delta^i [L_\delta^{(r-j)} f] \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} L_\delta^{(r-j)} (\sigma_\delta^i f) \right\|_{p,\pi} = \\ &= \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} \left\| L_\delta^{(r-j)} \left[\sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \sigma_\delta^i f \right] \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{2r}} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \sigma_\delta^i f \right\|_{p,\pi} = \\ &= \frac{C}{\delta^{2r}} \|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r f\|_{p,\pi} = \left\| \frac{C}{\delta^{2r}} (I - \sigma_\delta)^r f \right\|_{p,\pi} = c_1 \left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^r f \right\|_{p,\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality

$$\left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} A_\delta^r f \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^r f \right\|_{p,\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad A_\delta^r f \in W_{p(\cdot)}^{2r}.$$

Therefore we find

$$\left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} A_\delta^r f \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \left\| \frac{d^{2r}}{dx^{2r}} L_\delta^r f \right\|_{p,\pi} = \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} \|(I - \sigma_\delta)^r f\|_{p,\pi} \leq \frac{c}{\delta^{2r}} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Since

$$I - L_\delta^r = (I - L_\delta) \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} L_\delta^j$$

we get

$$\|(I - L_\delta^r) g\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \|(I - L_\delta) g\|_{p,\pi} \leq$$

$$\leq 3c\delta^{-3} \int_0^{\delta/2} \int_0^{2t} u \| (I - \sigma_u) g \|_{p,\pi} du dt \leq c \sup_{0 < u \leq \delta} \| (I - \sigma_u) g \|_{p,\pi}.$$

Taking into account

$$\| f - A_\delta^r f \|_{p,\pi} = \| (I - L_\delta^r)^r f \|_{p,\pi}$$

by a recursive procedure we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| f - A_\delta^r f \|_{p,\pi} &\leq c \sup_{0 < t_1 \leq \delta} \left\| (I - \sigma_{t_1}) (I - L_\delta^r)^{r-1} f \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ &\leq c \sup_{0 < t_1 \leq \delta} \sup_{0 < t_2 \leq \delta} \left\| (I - \sigma_{t_1}) (I - \sigma_{t_2}) (I - L_\delta^r)^{r-2} f \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq \dots \\ &\dots \leq c \sup_{\substack{0 < t_i \leq \delta \\ i=1,2,\dots,r}} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^r (I - \sigma_{t_i}) f(x) \right\|_{p,\pi} = c \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7 is proved.

3. Proofs of the main results. Proof of Theorem 1. We set $A_k(x, f) := a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx$. Since the set of trigonometric polynomials is dense [22] in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ for given $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ we have $E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From the first inequality in Remark 2, we have $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(x, f)$ in $\|\cdot\|_{p,\pi}$ norm. For $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we can find

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(x, f) &= a_k \cos k \left(x + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k} - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k} \right) + b_k \sin k \left(x + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k} - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k} \right) = \\ &= A_k \left(x + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k}, f \right) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + A_k \left(x + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k}, \tilde{f} \right) \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_k \left(x, f^{(\alpha)} \right) = k^\alpha A_k \left(x + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k}, f \right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(x, f) &= \\ &= A_0(x, f) + \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \left(x + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k}, f \right) + \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \left(x + \frac{\alpha\pi}{2k}, \tilde{f} \right) = \\ &= A_0(x, f) + \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} A_k \left(x, f^{(\alpha)} \right) + \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} A_k \left(x, \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$f(x) - S_n(x, f) = \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^\alpha} A_k \left(x, f^{(\alpha)} \right) + \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^\alpha} A_k \left(x, \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)} \right).$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} A_k \left(x, f^{(\alpha)} \right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} \left[\left(S_k(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) - f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) \right) - \left(S_{k-1}(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) - f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) \right) \right] = \\
&= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k^{-\alpha} - (k+1)^{-\alpha}) \left(S_k(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) - f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) \right) - \\
&\quad - (n+1)^{-\alpha} \left(S_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) - f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} A_k(x, \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}) &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k^{-\alpha} - (k+1)^{-\alpha}) \left(S_k(\cdot, \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}) - \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) \right) - \\
&\quad - (n+1)^{-\alpha} \left(S_n(\cdot, \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}) - \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(\cdot) - S_n(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi} &\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k^{-\alpha} - (k+1)^{-\alpha}) \|S_k(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) - f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)\|_{p,\pi} + \\
&\quad + (n+1)^{-\alpha} \|S_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) - f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)\|_{p,\pi} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k^{-\alpha} - (k+1)^{-\alpha}) \|S_k(\cdot, \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}) - \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)\|_{p,\pi} + \\
&\quad + (n+1)^{-\alpha} \|S_n(\cdot, \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}) - \tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\
&\leq c \left[\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k^{-\alpha} - (k+1)^{-\alpha}) E_k(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} + (n+1)^{-\alpha} E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} \right] + \\
&\quad + c \left[\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k^{-\alpha} - (k+1)^{-\alpha}) E_k(\tilde{f}^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} + (n+1)^{-\alpha} E_n(\tilde{f}^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently from equivalence in Remark 2 (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f(x) - S_n(x, f)\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\
&\leq c \left[\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k^{-\alpha} - (k+1)^{-\alpha}) + (n+1)^{-\alpha} \right] \left\{ E_k(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} + E_n(\tilde{f}^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} \right\} \leq \\
&\leq c E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} \left[\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k^{-\alpha} - (k+1)^{-\alpha}) + (n+1)^{-\alpha} \right] \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. We put $r-1 < \alpha < r$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For $g \in W_{p(\cdot)}^{2r}$ we have by Corollary 1, (14) and Theorem 7 that

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq E_n(f-g)_{p(\cdot)} + E_n(g)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \left[\|f-g\|_{p,\pi} + (n+1)^{-2r} \|g^{(2r)}\|_{p,\pi} \right] \leq$$

$$\leq cK \left((n+1)^{-2r}, f; L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}, W_{p(\cdot)}^{2r} \right) \leq c \Omega_r \left(f, \frac{1}{n+1} \right)_{p(\cdot)}$$

as required for $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Therefore by the last inequality

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \Omega_r (f, 1/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \Omega_r (f, 2\pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and by (11) we get

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \Omega_r (f, 2\pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \Omega_\alpha (f, 2\pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)}$$

and the assertion follows.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ be the best approximating polynomial of $f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then by Remark 1 (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\alpha (f, \pi/n+1)_{p(\cdot)} &\leq \Omega_\alpha (f - T_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)} + \Omega_\alpha (T_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)} \leq \\ &\leq c E_{2^m}(f)_{p(\cdot)} + \Omega_\alpha (T_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$T_{2^m}^{(\alpha)}(x) = T_1^{(\alpha)}(x) + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left\{ T_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(\alpha)}(x) - T_{2^\nu}^{(\alpha)}(x) \right\}$$

we get by Lemma 3 that

$$\Omega_\alpha (T_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \left\{ \|T_1^{(\alpha)}\|_{p,\pi} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \|T_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(\alpha)} - T_{2^\nu}^{(\alpha)}\|_{p,\pi} \right\}.$$

Lemma 1 gives

$$\|T_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(\alpha)} - T_{2^\nu}^{(\alpha)}\|_{p,\pi} \leq c 2^{\nu\alpha} \|T_{2^{\nu+1}} - T_{2^\nu}\|_{p,\pi} \leq c 2^{\nu\alpha+1} E_{2^\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot)}$$

and

$$\|T_1^{(\alpha)}\|_{p,\pi} = \|T_1^{(\alpha)} - T_0^{(\alpha)}\|_{p,\pi} \leq c E_0(f)_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Hence

$$\Omega_\alpha (T_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \left\{ E_0(f)_{p(\cdot)} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} 2^{(\nu+1)\alpha} E_{2^\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot)} \right\}.$$

Using

$$2^{(\nu+1)\alpha} E_{2^\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c^* \sum_{\mu=2^{\nu-1}+1}^{2^\nu} \mu^{\alpha-1} E_\mu(f)_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\alpha (T_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)} &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \left\{ E_0(f)_{p(\cdot)} + 2^\alpha E_1(f)_{p(\cdot)} + c \sum_{\nu=1}^m \sum_{\mu=2^{\nu-1}+1}^{2^\nu} \mu^{\alpha-1} E_\mu(f)_{p(\cdot)} \right\} \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \left\{ E_0(f)_{p(\cdot)} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{2^m} \mu^{\alpha-1} E_\mu(f)_{p(\cdot)} \right\} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} (\nu+1)^{\alpha-1} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot)}.$$

If we choose $2^m \leq n+1 \leq 2^{m+1}$, then

$$\Omega_\alpha(T_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)^{\alpha-1} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot)},$$

$$E_{2^m}(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq E_{2^{m-1}}(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)^{\alpha-1} E_\nu(f)_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Last two inequalities complete the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4. For the polynomial T_n of the best approximation to f we have by Lemma 1 that

$$\|T_{2^{i+1}}^{(\beta)} - T_{2^i}^{(\beta)}\|_{p,\pi} \leq C(\beta) 2^{(i+1)\beta} \|T_{2^{i+1}} - T_{2^i}\|_{p,\pi} \leq 2C(\beta) 2^{(i+1)\beta} E_{2^i}(f)_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|T_{2^{i+1}} - T_{2^i}\|_{W_{p(\cdot)}^\beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|T_{2^{i+1}}^{(\beta)} - T_{2^i}^{(\beta)}\|_{p,\pi} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|T_{2^{i+1}} - T_{2^i}\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ &\leq c \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^{\beta-1} E_m(f)_{p(\cdot)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|T_{2^{i+1}} - T_{2^i}\|_{W_{p(\cdot)}^\beta} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means that $\{T_{2^i}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. Since $T_{2^i} \rightarrow f$ in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ and $W_{p(\cdot)}^\beta$ is a Banach space we obtain $f \in W_{p(\cdot)}^\beta$.

On the other hand since

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f^{(\beta)} - S_n(f^{(\beta)})\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ &\leq \|S_{2^{m+2}}(f^{(\beta)}) - S_n(f^{(\beta)})\|_{p,\pi} + \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \|S_{2^{k+1}}(f^{(\beta)}) - S_{2^k}(f^{(\beta)})\|_{p,\pi} \end{aligned}$$

we have for $2^m < n < 2^{m+1}$

$$\|S_{2^{m+2}}(f^{(\beta)}) - S_n(f^{(\beta)})\|_{p,\pi} \leq c 2^{(m+2)\beta} E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c(n+1)^\beta E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)}.$$

On the other hand we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \|S_{2^{k+1}}(f^{(\beta)}) - S_{2^k}(f^{(\beta)})\|_{p,\pi} \leq c \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} 2^{(k+1)\beta} E_{2^k}(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=2^{k-1}+1}^{2^k} \mu^{\beta-1} E_\mu(f)_{p(\cdot)} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= c \sum_{\nu=2^{m+1}+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\beta-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\beta-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Theorem 4 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 5. We set $W_n(f) := W_n(x, f) := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n} S_{\nu}(x, f)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since

$$W_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) = W_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi} \leq \|f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - W_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)})\|_{p,\pi} + \\ & + \|T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi} + \|W_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, W_n(f))\|_{p,\pi} := \\ & := I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $T_n^*(x, f)$ the best approximating polynomial of degree at most n to f in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. In this case, from the boundedness of the operator S_n in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ we obtain the boundedness of operator W_n in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ and there holds

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \|f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^*(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)})\|_{p,\pi} + \|T_n^*(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) - W_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)})\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ & \leq c E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} + \|W_n(\cdot, T_n^*(f^{(\alpha)}) - f^{(\alpha)})\|_{p,\pi} \leq c E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1 we get

$$I_2 \leq c n^{\alpha} \|T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi}$$

and

$$I_3 \leq c (2n)^{\alpha} \|W_n(\cdot, f) - T_n(\cdot, W_n(f))\|_{p,\pi} \leq c (2n)^{\alpha} E_n(W_n(f))_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ & \leq \|T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - W_n(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi} + \|W_n(\cdot, f) - f(\cdot)\|_{p,\pi} + \|f(\cdot) - T_n(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ & \leq c E_n(W_n(f))_{p(\cdot)} + c E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} + c E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E_n(W_n(f))_{p(\cdot)} \leq c E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f)\|_{p,\pi} \leq c E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} + c n^{\alpha} E_n(W_n(f))_{p(\cdot)} + \\ & + c n^{\alpha} E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} + c (2n)^{\alpha} E_n(W_n(f))_{p(\cdot)} \leq c E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)} + c n^{\alpha} E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since by Theorem 1

$$E_n(f)_{p(\cdot)} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^\alpha} E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)}$$

we obtain

$$\left\| f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f) \right\|_{p,\pi} \leq c E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Theorem 5 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 6. Let $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$. First of all if $p(x)$, defined on T , satisfy *Dini–Lipschitz property* DL_γ for $\gamma \geq 1$ on T , then $p(e^{ix})$, $x \in T$, defined on \mathbb{T} , satisfy *Dini–Lipschitz property* DL_γ for $\gamma \geq 1$ on \mathbb{T} . Since $H^{p(\cdot)} \subset H^1(\mathbb{D})$ for $1 < p$, let $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_k e^{ik\theta}$ be the Fourier series of the function $f(e^{i\theta})$, and $S_n(f, \theta) := \sum_{k=-n}^n \beta_k e^{ik\theta}$ be its n th partial sum. From $f(e^{i\theta}) \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$, we have [11, p. 38]

$$\beta_k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } k < 0; \\ a_k(f), & \text{for } k \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\left\| f(z) - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f) z^k \right\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}} = \|f - S_n(f, \cdot)\|_{p,\pi}. \quad (15)$$

If t_n^* is the best approximating trigonometric polynomial for $f(e^{i\theta})$ in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$, then from (6), (15) and Theorem 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(z) - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(f) z^k \right\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}} &\leq \|f(e^{i\theta}) - t_n^*(\theta)\|_{p,\pi} + \|S_n(f - t_n^*, \theta)\|_{p,\pi} \leq \\ &\leq c E_n(f(e^{i\theta}))_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \Omega_r \left(f(e^{i\theta}), \frac{1}{n+1} \right)_{p(\cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6 is proved.

1. Akgün R., Israfilov D. M. Approximation and moduli of smoothness of fractional order in Smirnov–Orlicz spaces // Glas. mat. Ser. III. – 2008. – **42**, №. 1. – P. 121–136.
2. Akgün R., Israfilov D. M. Polynomial approximation in weighted Smirnov–Orlicz space // Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. – 2005. – **139**. – P. 89–92.
3. Akgün R., Israfilov D. M. Approximation by interpolating polynomials in Smirnov–Orlicz class // J. Korean Math. Soc. – 2006. – **43**, № 2. – P. 413–424.
4. Akgün R., Israfilov D. M. Simultaneous and converse approximation theorems in weighted Orlicz spaces // Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin. – 2010. – **17**. – P. 13–28.
5. Butzer P. L., Nessel R. J. Fourier analysis and approximation. – Birkhäuser, 1971. – Vol. 1.
6. Butzer P. L., Dyckoff H., Görlich E., Stens R. L. Best trigonometric approximation, fractional derivatives and Lipschitz classes // Can. J. Math. – 1977. – **24**, № 4. – P. 781–793.
7. Butzer P. L., Stens R. L., Wehrens M. Approximation by algebraic convolution integrals // Approximation Theory and Functional Analysis, Proc. / Ed. J. B. Prolla. – North Holland Publ. Co., 1979. – P. 71–120.
8. DeVore R. A., Lorentz G. G. Constructive approximation. – Springer, 1993.
9. Diening L. Maximal functions on generalized Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}$ // Math. Ineq. and Appl. – 2004. – **7**, № 2. – P. 245–253.
10. Diening L., Ruzicka M. Calderon–Zygmund operators on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and problems related to fluid dynamics. – Preprint / Albert-Ludwigs-Univ. Freiburg, 21/2002, 04.07.2002.
11. Duren P. L. Theory of H^p spaces. – Acad. Press, 1970.
12. Fan X., Zhao D. On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2001. – **263**, № 2. – P. 424–446.

13. Haciyeva E. A. Investigation of the properties of functions with quasimonotone Fourier coefficients in generalized Nikolskii–Besov spaces: Dissertation. – Tbilisi, 1986 (in Russian).
14. Hudzik H. On generalized Orlicz–Sobolev spaces // Funct. et approxim. Comment. mat. – 1976. – **4**. – P. 37–51.
15. Israfilov D. M., Akgün R. Approximation in weighted Smirnov–Orlicz classes // J. Math. Kyoto Univ. – 2006. – **46**, № 4. – P. 755–770.
16. Israfilov D. M., Akgün R. Approximation by polynomials and rational functions in weighted rearrangement invariant spaces // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. – 2008. – **346**. – P. 489–500.
17. Israfilov D. M., Kokilashvili V., Samko S. Approximation in weighted Lebesgue and Smirnov spaces with variable exponent // Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. – 2007. – **143**. – P. 45–55.
18. Israfilov D. M., Oktay B., Akgün R. Approximation in Smirnov–Orlicz classes // Glas. mat. Ser. III. – 2005. – **40**, № 1. – P. 87–102.
19. Jackson D. Über Genauigkeit der Annäherung stetiger Functionen durch ganze rationale Funktionen gegebenen Grades und trigonometrische Summen gegebener Ordnung: Dissertation. – Göttingen, 1911.
20. Joó I. Saturation theorems for Hermite–Fourier series // Acta math. Acad. sci. hung. – 1991. – **57**. – P. 169–179.
21. Kokilashvili V., Paatashvili V. On variable Hardy and Smirnov classes of analytic functions // Georg. Int. J. Sci. – 2008. – **1**, № 2. – P. 181–195.
22. Kokilashvili V., Samko S. G. Singular integrals and potentials in some Banach spaces with variable exponent // J. Funct. Spaces Appl. – 2003. – **1**, № 1. – P. 45–59.
23. Korneichuk N. P. Exact constants in approximation theory. – Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.
24. Kováčik Z. O., Rákosník J. On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$ // Chech. Math. J. – 1991. – **41** (116), № 4. – P. 592–618.
25. Ky N. X. An Alexits's lemma and its applications in approximation theory // Functions, Series, Operators / Eds L. Leindler, F. Schipp, J. Szabados. – Budapest, 2002. – P. 287–296.
26. Musielak J. Orlicz spaces and modular spaces. – Berlin: Springer, 1983.
27. Musielak J. Approximation in modular function spaces // Funct. et approxim., Comment. mat. – 1997. – **25**. – P. 45–57.
28. Nakano H. Topology of the linear topological spaces. – Tokyo: Maruzen Co. Ltd., 1951.
29. Petrushev P. P., Popov V. A. Rational approximation of real functions. – Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.
30. Quade E. S. Trigonometric approximation in the mean // Duke Math. J. – 1937. – **3**. – P. 529–543.
31. Ruzicka M. Elektroreological fluids: Modelling and mathematical theory // Lect. Notes Math. – 2000. – **1748**. – 176 p.
32. Samko S. G. Differentiation and integration of variable order and the spaces $L^{p(x)}$ // Proc. Int. Conf. Operator Theory and Complex and Hypercomplex Analysis (Mexico, 12–17 December 1994): Contemp. Math. – 1994. – **212**. – P. 203–219.
33. Samko S. G. On a progress in the theory of Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent: Maximal and Singular operators // Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. – 2005. – **16**, № 5–6. – P. 461–482.
34. Samko S. G., Kilbas A. A., Marichev O. I. Fractional integrals and derivatives. Theory and applications. – Gordon and Breach Sci. Publ., 1993.
35. Sendov B., Popov V. A. The averaged moduli of smoothness in numerical methods and approximation. – New York: Wiley, 1988.
36. Sharapudinov I. I. Topology of the space $L^{p(t)}([0, 1])$ // Math. Notes. – 1979. – **26**, № 3–4. – P. 796–806.
37. Sharapudinov I. I. Uniform boundedness in L^p ($p = p(x)$) of some families of convolution operators // Math. Notes. – 1996. – **59**, № 1–2. – P. 205–212.
38. Sharapudinov I. I. Some aspects of approximation theory in the spaces $L^{p(x)}$ // Anal. Math. – 2007. – **33**. – P. 135–153.
39. Stechkin S. B. On the order of the best approximations of continuous functions // Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. – 1951. – **15**. – P. 219–242.
40. Taberski R. Two indirect approximation theorems // Demonstr. math. – 1976. – **9**, № 2. – P. 243–255.
41. Timan A. F. Theory of approximation of functions of a real variable. – Pergamon Press and MacMillan, 1963.
42. Zygmund A. Trigonometric series. – Cambridge, 1959. – Vols 1, 2.

Received 23.03.09,
after revision – 22.10.10