

## ON A SPHERICAL CODE IN THE SPACE OF SPHERICAL HARMONICS

### ПРО СФЕРИЧНИЙ КОД У ПРОСТОРИ СФЕРИЧНИХ ГАРМОНІК

We propose a new method for the construction of new “nice” configurations of vectors on the unit sphere  $S^d$  with the use of spaces of spherical harmonics.

Запропоновано новий метод для побудови нових „гарних” конфігурацій векторів на одиничній сфері  $S^d$  з використанням просторів сферичних гармонік.

**1. Introduction.** This paper is inspired by classical book J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane [1] and recent paper of H. Cohn and A. Kumar [2]. The exceptional arrangement of points on the spheres are discussed there. Especially interesting are constructions coming from well known  $E_8$  lattice and Leech lattice  $\Lambda_{24}$ . The main idea of the paper is to use these arrangements for construction new good arrangements in the spaces of spherical harmonics  $\mathcal{H}_k^d$ . Recently we have use dramatically the calculations in these spaces to obtain new asymptotic existence bounds for spherical designs, see [3]. Below we need a few facts on spherical harmonics. Let  $\Delta$  be the Laplace operator in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$

$$\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}.$$

We say that a polynomial  $P$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  is harmonic if  $\Delta P = 0$ . For integer  $k \geq 1$  the restriction to  $S^d$  of a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree  $k$  is called a spherical harmonic of degree  $k$ . The vector space of all spherical harmonics of degree  $k$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_k^d$  (see [4] for details). The dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_k^d$  is given by

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_k^d = \frac{2k+d-1}{k+d-1} \binom{d+k-1}{k}.$$

Consider usual inner product in  $\mathcal{H}_k^d$

$$\langle P, Q \rangle := \int_{S^d} P(x) Q(x) d\mu_d(x),$$

where  $\mu_d(x)$  is normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere  $S^d$ . Now, for each point  $x \in S^d$  there exists a unique polynomial  $P_x \in \mathcal{H}_k^d$  such that

$$\langle P_x, Q \rangle = Q(x) \quad \text{for all } Q \in \mathcal{H}_k^d.$$

It is well known that  $P_x(y) = g((x, y))$ , where  $g$  is a corresponding Gegenbauer polynomial. Let  $G_x$  be normalized polynomial  $P_x$ , that is  $G_x = P_x / g(1)^{1/2}$ . Note

that  $\langle G_{x_1}, G_{x_2} \rangle = g((x_1, x_2)) / g(1)$ . So, if we have some arrangement  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  on  $S^d$  with known distribution of inner products  $(x_i, x_j)$ , then, for each  $k$ , we have corresponding set  $G_X = \{G_{x_1}, \dots, G_{x_N}\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_k^d$ , also with known distribution of inner products. Using this construction we will obtain in the next section the optimal antipodal spherical  $(35, 240, 1/7)$  code from minimal vectors of  $E_8$  lattice. Here is the definition.

**Definition 1.** An antipodal set  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  on  $S^d$  is called antipodal spherical  $(d+1, N, a)$  code, if  $|(x_i, x_j)| \leq a$ , for some  $a > 0$  and for all  $x_i, x_j \in X$ ,  $i \neq j$ , which are not antipodal. Such code is called optimal if for any antipodal set  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$  on  $S^d$  there exists  $y_i, y_j \in Y$ ,  $i \neq j$ , which are not antipodal and  $|(y_i, y_j)| \geq a$ .

In the other words, antipodal spherical  $(d+1, N, a)$  code is optimal if  $a$  is a minimal possible number for fixed  $N, d$ .

**Definition 2.** An antipodal set  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  on  $S^d$  forms spherical 3-design if and only if

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (x_i, x_j)^2 = \frac{1}{d+1}.$$

Note, that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in S^d$  the following inequality hold

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (x_i, x_j)^2 \geq \frac{1}{d+1}.$$

Another equivalent definition is the following:

The set of points  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in S^d$  is called a spherical 3-design if

$$\int_{S^d} P(x) d\mu_d(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N P(x_i)$$

for all algebraic polynomials in  $d+1$  variables and of total degree at most 3, where  $\mu_d$  is normalized Lebesgue measure on  $S^d$ .

Thus we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** There exists an optimal antipodal spherical  $(35, 240, 1/7)$  code, those vectors form spherical 3-design.

**2. Construction and the proof of optimality. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{120}\}$  be any subset of 240 normalized minimal vectors of  $E_8$  lattice, such that no pair of antipodal vectors presents in  $X$ . Take in the space  $\mathcal{H}_2^7$  the polynomials

$$G_{x_i}(y) = g_2((x_i, y)), \quad i = \overline{1, \dots, 120},$$

where  $g_2(t) = \frac{8}{7}t^2 - \frac{1}{7}$  is a corresponding normalized Gegenbauer polynomial. Since  $(x_i, x_j) = 0$  or  $\pm 1/2$ , for  $i \neq j$ , then  $\langle G_{x_i}, G_{x_j} \rangle = g_2((x_i, y_j)) = \pm 1/7$ ! It looks really like a mystery the fact that  $|g_2((x_i, x_j))| = \text{const}$ , for any different  $x_i, x_j \in X$ . But exactly this is essential for the proof of optimality of our code. Since,  $\dim \mathcal{H}_2^7 = 35$ , then the points  $G_{x_1}, \dots, G_{x_{120}}, -G_{x_1}, \dots, -G_{x_{120}}$  provide antipodal spherical  $(35, 240, 1/7)$  code. Here is a proof of optimality. Take arbitrary antipodal set of points  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_{240}\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{35}$ . Then, the inequality

$$\frac{1}{240^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{240} (y_i, y_j)^2 \geq 1/35,$$

implies that  $(y_i, y_j)^2 \geq 1/49$ , for some  $y_i, y_j \in Y, i \neq j$ , which are not antipodal. This immediately gives us an optimality of our construction. The other reason why it works, that is our set is also spherical 3-design in  $\mathbb{R}^{35}$ . We are still not able generalize this construction even for Leech lattice  $\Lambda_{24}$ . We also don't know whether the construction described above is an optimal spherical  $(35, 240, 1/7)$  code.

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Professor Henry Cohn for the fruitfull discussions on the paper.

1. Conway J. H., Sloane N. J. A. Sphere packings, lattices and groups. – New York: Springer, 1999. – 703 p.
2. Cohn H., Kumar A. Universally optimal distribution of points on spheres // J. Amer. Math. Soc. – 2007. – **20**. – P. 99 – 148.
3. Bondarenko A., Viazovska M. Spherical designs via Brouwer fixed point theorem // SIAM J. Discrete Math. – 2010. – **24**. – P. 207 – 217.
4. Mhaskar H. N., Narcowich F. J., Ward J. D. Spherical Marcinkiewich – Zygmund inequalities and positive quadrature // Math. Comp. – 2000. – **70**. – P. 1113 – 1130.

Received 22.07.09