

# A HIGH DIMENSIONAL VERSION OF THE BRODY REPARAMETRIZATION LEMMA

## БАГАТОВИМІРНИЙ ВАРІАНТ ЛЕМИ БРОДІ ПРО РЕПАРАМЕТРИЗАЦІЮ

We prove the generalization of the Brody reparametrization lemma.

Доведено узагальнення леми Броді про репараметризацію.

**1. Introduction.** In [1, 2], S. Kobayashi introduced, for every complex manifold  $M$  with tangent bundle  $TM$ , a pseudodistance

$$d_M: M \times M \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$$

and a pseudometric

$$F_M: TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

now called the Kobayashi pseudodistance and Kobayashi differential metric of  $M$ , respectively (see [3]). If  $d_M$  is a distance,  $M$  is called hyperbolic in the sense of Kobayashi.

In [4], R. Brody considered the hyperbolicity on compact complex space and obtained the following theorem:

Let  $M$  be a compact complex space. Then  $M$  is Kobayashi hyperbolic iff every  $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}, M)$  is constant.

The key of the Brody demonstration is the reparametrization lemma. Generalizing this lemma, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem.** Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be an Hermitian metric on  $\Lambda^k TM$ . Suppose  $f: B^k(r) \rightarrow M$  is a holomorphic mapping such that  $H(f'(0)) \geq c > 0$ , where  $0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in B^k(r)$ . Then there exists a holomorphic mapping  $g: B^k(r) \rightarrow M$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$1) H(g'(0)) = H\left(g_* \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \dots \wedge g_* \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}(0)\right) = \frac{c}{2};$$

$$2) \frac{H(g'(z))}{\eta_r(z)} \leq \frac{c}{2} \text{ for all } z \in B^k(r), \text{ where } \eta_r(z) = \left(\frac{r^2}{r^2 - \|z\|^2}\right)^{(k+1)/2}, \|z\| \text{ is Euclidean norm on } \mathbb{C}^k;$$

$$3) g(B^k(r)) \subset f(B^k(r)).$$

**2. Basic definitions.** In this section, we recall the basic definitions needed for the succeeding sections.

**2.1.** Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $p \in M$ . We denote by  $T_p M$  (resp.  $TM$ ) the holomorphic space tangent to  $M$  at  $p$  (resp. the holomorphic tangent bundle). Let  $\Lambda^k T_p M$  be the  $k$ 'th exterior power of  $T_p M$ . We denote by  $D_p^k M$  the decomposable elements of  $\Lambda^k T_p M$ , i. e., the elements of type  $\alpha = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \in \Lambda^k T_p M$ , where  $\dim \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} = k$ .

If  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an Hermitian metric in  $TM$ , it can be extended to an Hermitian metric on  $\Lambda^k TM$  as follows: for  $\alpha, \beta \in D_p^k M$  with  $\alpha = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k$ ,  $\beta = w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_k$ , set

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \det \{ \langle v_i, w_j \rangle \},$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, k$ , and extend this definition to arbitrary elements of  $\Lambda^k T_p M$  by linearity (see [5]). Write  $\|\alpha\|^2 = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ . Denote  $\|\alpha\|$  also by  $H(\alpha)$  or  $H(p, \alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in M$ .

2. 2. Let  $B^k(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : \|z\| < r\}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm. The Bergman metric on  $B^k(r)$  is defined by

$$ds^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{\bar{z}^i \bar{z}^j + (r^2 - \|z\|^2) \delta_{ij}}{(r^2 - \|z\|^2)^2} dz^i d\bar{z}^j.$$

This is an Hermitian metric on  $B^k(r)$ . For  $u, v \in T_z B^k(r)$ , the Hermitian product of  $u$  with  $v$  will be written  $\langle u, v \rangle_z$ .

We denote by  $\text{Aut}(B^k(r))$  the group of automorphisms (biholomorphic) of  $B^k(r)$ .

2. 3. For  $a \in B^k(r)$ , we define the  $k \times k$  matrix,  $\Gamma_r(a)$ , by

$$\Gamma_r(a) = \frac{a \bar{a}}{r - v_r(a)} - v_r(a)I,$$

where  $a$  is regarded as a column matrix,  $v_r(a) = \sqrt{r^2 - \|a\|^2}$ ,  $I$  is unit matrix. In the case where  $r = 1$ , we write  $v(a)$  instead of  $v_1(a)$  for simplicity.

2. 4. **Definition.** For  $a \in B^k(r)$ , we define  $g_a^r : B^k(r) \rightarrow B^k(r)$  by

$$g_a^r(z) = r\Gamma_r(a) \frac{z - a}{r^2 - \bar{a}z}, \quad z \in B^k(r).$$

When  $r = 1$ , we write  $\Gamma(a)$  instead of  $\Gamma_1(a)$ ,  $g_a(z)$  instead of  $g_a^1(z)$  for simplicity.

**3. Some results about the group  $\text{Aut}(B^k(r))$ .** In [6], some facts about the group of automorphisms of  $B^k = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : \|z\| < 1\}$  are given. In this section, we prove some facts important for us about the group  $\text{Aut}(B^k(r))$ .

3. 1. We have  $\Gamma_r(a) = r\Gamma(a/r)$ . It is obvious by Definition 2.3.

3. 2. For  $a, z \in B^k(r)$ , the following equality holds:

$$g_a^r(z) = rg_{a/r} \left( \frac{z}{r} \right).$$

Indeed,

$$rg_{a/r} \left( \frac{z}{r} \right) = r^2 \Gamma \left( \frac{a}{r} \right) \frac{z - a}{r^2 - \bar{a}z} = r\Gamma_r(a) \frac{z - a}{r^2 - \bar{a}z} = g_a^r(z).$$

We have  $g_a^r \in \text{Aut}(B^k(r))$  and  $g_a^r(a) = 0$ ,  $g_a^r(0) = rg_{a/r}(0) = r(-a/r) = -a$  (by [6, p. 6]).

This implies that the group  $\text{Aut}(B^k(r))$  acts transitively on  $B^k(r)$ .

3. 3. We have

$$\text{Aut} B^k(r) = \{A g_a^r : A \in \mathcal{U}(k), a \in B^k(r)\},$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_k$  is the unitary group.

Indeed, it is obvious that  $\text{Aut}(B^k(r))$  and  $\text{Aut}(B^k)$  are isomorphic, furthermore by [6, p. 6],

$$\text{Aut}(B^k) = \{A \cdot g_a : A \in \mathcal{U}_k, g_a \text{ defined in 2.4}\},$$

whence the required assertion follows.

3. 4. We have

$$\Gamma_r(a)a = ra \text{ for } a \in B^k(r).$$

In fact,

$$\Gamma_r(a)a = r\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)a = r^2\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)\frac{a}{r} = r^2\frac{a}{r} = ra \text{ (see [6, p. 6]).}$$

3. 5. We have

$${}^t\overline{\Gamma_r(a)} = \Gamma_r(a),$$

hence  ${}^t\bar{a}\Gamma_r(a) = r{}^t\bar{a}$ . In fact,

$${}^t\overline{\Gamma_r(a)} = \overline{{}^t r\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)} = r\overline{{}^t\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)} = r\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) \text{ (by [6, p. 6])} = \Gamma_r(a) \text{ (by 3. 1).}$$

Furthermore,

$${}^t\bar{a}\Gamma_r(a) = {}^t\bar{a}r\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) = r^2\frac{{}^t\bar{a}}{r}\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) = r^2\frac{{}^t\bar{a}}{r} = r{}^t\bar{a}$$

(from [6, p. 6]).

3. 6. We have

$$\Gamma_r(a)^2 = (r - v_r(a))\Gamma_r(a) + rv_r(a)I = a{}^t\bar{a} + v_r(a)^2I.$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_r(a)^2 &= r^2\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2 = r^2\left[\left(1 - v\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) + v\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)I\right] \text{ [6, p. 6]} = \\ &= (r - v_r(a))r\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) + rv_r(a)I = (r - v_r(a))\Gamma_r(a) + rv_r(a)I \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Gamma_r(a)^2 = r^2\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2 = r^2\left(\frac{a{}^t\bar{a}}{r} + v\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2I\right) = a{}^t\bar{a} + r^2v\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2I = a{}^t\bar{a} + v_r(a)^2I$$

(by [6, p. 6]).

3. 7. We have

$$\Gamma_r(a)^{-1} = \frac{1}{rv_r(a)}(\Gamma_r(a) + (v_r(a) - r)I) = \frac{1}{rv_r(a)}\left(\frac{a{}^t\bar{a}}{r - v_r(a)} - rI\right).$$

*Proof.* To compute  $\Gamma_r(a)^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_r(a)^{-1} &= \left(r\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{r}\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{-1} = \\ &= \frac{1}{rv\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)}\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) + \left(v\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) - 1\right)I\right) = \frac{1}{v_r(a)}\left(\frac{1}{r}\Gamma_r(a) + \frac{1}{r}(v_r(a) - r)I\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{rv_r(a)}(\Gamma_r(a) + (v_r(a) - r)I). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, again from [6, p. 6], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_r(a)^{-1} &= \frac{1}{r}\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{rv(a/r)}\left(\frac{a' \bar{a}}{r^2(1-v(a/r))} - I\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{v_r(a)}\left(\frac{a' \bar{a}}{r(r-v_r(a))} - I\right) = \frac{1}{rv_r(a)}\left(\frac{a' \bar{a}}{r-v_r(a)} - rI\right).\end{aligned}$$

3. 8. We have

$$\Gamma_r(a)^{-2} = \frac{1}{r^3 v_r(a)}(-a' \bar{a} + r^2 I).$$

In fact,

$$\Gamma_r(a)^{-2} = \frac{1}{r^2}\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{-2} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{1}{v(a/r)}\left(-\frac{a' \bar{a}}{r^2} + I\right) = \frac{1}{r^3 v_r(a)}(-a' \bar{a} + r^2 I).$$

3. 9. We have

$$\det \Gamma_r(a) = r(-v_r(a))^{k-1} = r\left(-\sqrt{r^2 - \|a\|^2}\right)^{k-1}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\det \Gamma_r(a) &= \det\left(r\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)\right) = r^k \det\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) = r^k\left(-v\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)\right)^{k-1} = \\ &= r^k\left(-\sqrt{1 - \frac{\|a\|^2}{r^2}}\right)^{k-1} = r\left(-\sqrt{r^2 - \|a\|^2}\right)^{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

3. 10. We have

$$g_{Aa}^r = A g_a^r A^{-1} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{U}_k.$$

*Proof.* To compute  $g_{Aa}^r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}g_{Aa}^r(z) &= r g_{Aa/r}\left(\frac{z}{r}\right) = r g_{A(a/r)}\left(\frac{z}{r}\right) = r A g_{a/r} A^{-1}\left(\frac{z}{r}\right) = \\ &= A\left(r g_{a/r}\left(\frac{1}{r} A^{-1}(z)\right)\right) = A g_a^r A^{-1}(z),\end{aligned}$$

hence  $g_{Aa}^r = A g_a^r A^{-1}$ .

3. 11. We have

$$d(g_a^r)_a = \frac{r\Gamma_r(a)}{v_r(a)^2} = \frac{r}{r^2 - \|a\|^2} \Gamma_r(a),$$

where  $d(g_a^r)_a$  is the Jacobian matrix of the  $g_a^r$  at  $a$ .

In fact,

$$g_a^r(z) = r g_{a/r}\left(\frac{z}{r}\right) = r g_{a/r} h(z),$$

where  $h = \frac{1}{r} \text{id}(B^k(R))$ , hence

$$d(g_a^r)_a = r d(g_{a/r} h)_{(a)} = r(d g_{a/r})_{h(a)} dh_{(a)} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (dg_{a/r})_{h(a)} = \frac{\Gamma(a/r)}{1 - \|a/r\|^2} = \frac{1}{r^2 - \|a\|^2} r^2 \Gamma(a/r) = \\
 &= \frac{r}{r^2 - \|a\|^2} \Gamma_r(a) \quad (\text{by 3.1 and [6, p. 7]}).
 \end{aligned}$$

**3.12. Proposition.** *Let*

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^k a^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^k b^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}$$

*be tangent vectors of  $B^k(r)$  at the point  $z$ ;  $u, v \in T_z B^k(r)$ . Then*

$$\langle u, v \rangle_z = \frac{1}{r^2} [a(dg_z^r)_z] [\overline{b(dg_z^r)_z}] = \langle g_{z^*}^r(u), g_{z^*}^r(v) \rangle_0,$$

*where  $\langle u, v \rangle_z$  is the Hermitian product of  $u$  with  $v$  with respect to  $ds^2$ ,  $a = (a^j)$ ,  $b = (b^j)$  are  $1 \times k$  matrix,  $z = (z^j)$  is the column vector.*

*Proof.* On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle u, v \rangle_z &= ds^2(u, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{\bar{z}^i z^j + (r^2 - \|z\|^2) \delta_{ij}}{(r^2 - \|z\|^2)^2} a^i \bar{b}^j = \\
 &= \frac{1}{(r^2 - \|z\|^2)^2} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^k \bar{z}^i z^j a^i \bar{b}^j + (r^2 - \|z\|^2) a^i \bar{b}^i \right), \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &a(dg_z^r)_z \overline{b(dg_z^r)_z} = a(dg_z^r)_z \overline{(dg_z^r)_z}{}^t \bar{b} = \\
 &= a \left( \frac{r}{r^2 - \|z\|^2} \right)^2 \Gamma_r(z) {}^t \Gamma_r(z) \bar{b} \quad (\text{by 3.11}) = \frac{r^2}{(r^2 - \|z\|^2)^2} a \Gamma_r^2(z) {}^t \bar{b} \quad (\text{by 3.5}) = \\
 &= \frac{r^2}{(r^2 - \|z\|^2)^2} a (z {}^t \bar{z} + (r^2 - \|z\|^2) I) {}^t \bar{b} \quad (\text{by 3.6}) = \\
 &= \frac{r^2}{(r^2 - \|z\|^2)^2} [a z {}^t \bar{z} {}^t \bar{b} + (r^2 - \|z\|^2) a {}^t \bar{b}] = \\
 &= \frac{r^2}{(r^2 - \|z\|^2)^2} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^k a^i z^i \bar{z}^j \bar{b}^j + (r^2 - \|z\|^2) a {}^t \bar{b} \right]. \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2), we have

$$\langle u, v \rangle_z = \frac{1}{r^2} [a(dg_z^r)_z] [\overline{b(dg_z^r)_z}],$$

furthermore,

$$g_{z^*}^r(u) = \sum_{j=1}^k a^j g_{z^*}^r \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right) = a(dg_z^r)_z, \quad g_{z^*}^r(v) = \sum_{j=1}^k b^j g_{z^*}^r \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right) = b(dg_z^r)_z,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle g_{z^*}^r(u), g_{z^*}^r(v) \rangle_0 = ds^2 \langle g_{z^*}^r(u), g_{z^*}^r(v) \rangle_0 = \\
 &= \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^k dz^i d\bar{z}^j \right) (g_{z^*}^r(u), g_{z^*}^r(v))_0 = \frac{1}{r^2} (a(dg_z^r)_z) \overline{b(dg_z^r)_z} = \langle u, v \rangle_z.
 \end{aligned}$$

**3. 13. Proposition.** For every  $h \in \text{Aut}(B^k(r))$ , we have that  $h$  is isometric with respect to the Begman metric  $ds^2$  on  $B^k(r)$ .

*Proof.* We prove that  $h^* ds^2 = ds^2$ , i.e., for  $u, v \in T_z(B^k(r))$ , we have

$$\langle h_*(u), h_*(v) \rangle_{h(z)} = \langle u, v \rangle_z.$$

In fact, let  $w = h(z)$ . Then  $g_w^r(w) = 0$  by definition, so  $g_w^r h = A g_z^r$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{U}_k$  (since  $g_w^r h \in \text{Aut}(B^k(r))$ ) and by 3.3.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_*(u), h_*(v) \rangle_w &= \langle g_w^r h_*(u), g_w^r h_*(v) \rangle_0 = \langle A_* g_z^r(u), A_* g_z^r(v) \rangle_0 = \\ &= \langle g_z^r(u), g_z^r(v) \rangle_0 = \langle u, v \rangle_z \quad (\text{by 3. 12}). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the following proposition is omitted since it is evident.

**3. 14. Proposition.** Let

$$u_j = \sum_{i=1}^k a_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$$

be a tangent vector of  $B^k(r)$  at the point  $O$ ,  $u_j \in T_0(B^k(r))$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then

$$\det(\langle u_j, u_j \rangle_0) = \det(A \overline{A}),$$

where  $A = (a_j^i) \in \text{Mat}(k, \mathbb{C})$ .

**4. A high dimensional version of the Brody reparametrization lemma.** Recall that, for an Hermitian metric  $\langle u, v \rangle$  in the holomorphic tangent bundle  $TM$  of a complex manifold  $M$ , the Hermitian metric extended on  $\Lambda^k TM$  is denoted also by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . For  $\alpha \in \Lambda^k TM$ , denote  $\|\alpha\| = \sqrt{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$  by  $H(p, \alpha)$  or  $H(\alpha)$  for simplicity. For  $f \in \text{Hol}(B^k(r), M)$  and  $z \in B^k(r)$ , we put

$$H(f'(z)) = H\left(f_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}(z)\right)\right) = H\left(f_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1}\right) \wedge \dots \wedge f_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}\right)z\right).$$

We have the following theorem:

**Theorem.** Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be an Hermitian metric on  $\Lambda^k TM$ . Suppose  $f: B^k(r) \rightarrow M$  is a holomorphic mapping such that  $H(f'(0)) \geq c > 0$ , where  $0 = (0, \dots, 0) \in B^k(r)$ . Then there exists a holomorphic mapping  $g: B^k(r) \rightarrow M$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$1) H(g'(0)) = H\left(g_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \dots \wedge g_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}(0)\right)\right)\right) = \frac{c}{2};$$

$$2) \frac{H(g'(z))}{\eta_r(z)} \leq \frac{c}{2} \text{ for all } z \in B^k(r), \text{ where } \eta_r(z) = \left(\frac{r^2}{r^2 - \|z\|^2}\right)^{(k+1)/2}, \|z\|$$

is a Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{C}^k$ ;

$$3) g(B^k(r)) \subset f(B^k(r)).$$

*Proof.* For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , define holomorphic mappings  $f_t: B^k(r) \rightarrow M$  by  $f_t(z) = f(tz)$ . Since  $f_{t*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}(z) = t f_* \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}(tz)$ , then

$$H(f'_t(z)) = t^k H(f'(tz))$$

and

$$\frac{H(f'_t(z))}{\eta_r(z)} = t^k \left( \frac{r^2 - \|z\|^2}{r^2 - \|tz\|^2} \right)^{(k+1)/2} \frac{H(f'(tz))}{\eta_r(tz)}. \quad (3)$$

Now we put  $\mu(t) = \sup_{z \in B^k(r)} \frac{H(f'_t(z))}{\eta_r(z)}$ . The function  $\mu(t)$  has the following properties for  $t \in [0, 1)$ :

- (a)  $0 \leq \mu(t) < +\infty$ ;
- (b)  $\mu(t)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1)$ ;
- (c)  $\mu(t)$  is an increasing function;
- (d)  $\mu(0) = 0$  and  $\mu(t) \geq \frac{H(f'_t(0))}{\eta_r(0)} > tc$ .

Now we shall prove these properties.

For (a),  $\mu(t) \geq 0$  is evident. Furthermore, by (3) for fixed  $t \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\frac{H(f'_t(z))}{\eta_r(z)}$  is continuous on  $\overline{B^k(r)}$  (a closure of  $B^k(r)$ ), so

$$\mu(t) = \sup_{z \in B^k(r)} \frac{H(f'_t(z))}{\eta_r(z)} \leq \sup_{z \in B^k(r)} \frac{H(f'_t(z))}{\eta_r(z)} < +\infty.$$

Thus, (a) is proved.

For (b), since  $\frac{H(f'_t(z))}{\eta_r(z)}$  is continuous on  $B^k(r) \times [0, 1)$  by (3),  $\mu(t)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1)$  and (b) is proved.

In order to prove (c), we assume that  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < 1$ , if there exists  $z_1 \in \overline{B^k(r)}$  such that  $\mu(t_1) = \frac{H(f'_{t_1}(z_1))}{\eta_r(z_1)}$ . Put  $z_2 = \frac{t_1}{t_2} z_1$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t_2) &\geq \frac{H(f'_{t_2}(z_2))}{\eta_r(z_2)} = t_2^k \left( \frac{r^2 - \|z_2\|^2}{r^2 - \|t_2 z_2\|^2} \right)^{(k+1)/2} \frac{H(f'(t_2 z_2))}{\eta_r(t_2 z_2)} = \\ &= t_2^k \left( \frac{r^2 - t_1 \|z_2\|^2 / t_2}{r^2 - \|t_1 z_1\|^2} \right)^{(k+1)/2} \frac{H(f'(t_1 z_1))}{\eta_r(t_1 z_1)} \geq \\ &\geq t_1^k \left( \frac{r^2 - \|z_1\|^2}{r^2 - \|t_1 z_1\|^2} \right)^{(k+1)/2} \frac{H(f'(t_1 z_1))}{\eta_r(t_1 z_1)} = \frac{H(f'_{t_1}(z_1))}{\eta_r(z_1)} = \mu(t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mu(t)$  is an increasing function and (c) is proved.

Furthermore,  $\mu(0) = 0$  is evident by (3),

$$\mu(t) = \sup_{z \in B^k(r)} \frac{H(f'_t(z))}{\eta_r(z)} \geq \frac{H(f'_t(0))}{\eta_r(0)} = H(f'_t(0)) \geq c > tc, \quad 0 \leq t < 1.$$

Then (d) is proved.

Therefore, we have that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \mu(t) \geq c$ ,  $\mu(0) = 0$  and  $\mu(t)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1)$ . By the intermediate-value theorem, there is a number  $0 < t_0 < 1$  such that  $\mu(t_0) = c/2$ . Since  $\lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow r} \eta_r(z) = +\infty$  and  $H(f'_t(z))$  is continuous for  $z$  on  $\overline{B^k(r)}$ ,

there exists a number  $M > 0$  such that  $H(f'_0(z)) \leq M \quad \forall z \in B^k(r)$  and there is a point  $z_0 \in B^k(r)$  such that

$$\frac{c}{2} = \mu(t_0) = \frac{H(f'_0(z_0))}{\eta_r(z_0)}.$$

Since the group  $\text{Aut}(B^k(r))$  acts transitively on  $B^k(r)$  (see 3.2), there is a holomorphic transformation  $h \in \text{Aut}(B^k(r))$  with  $h(0) = z_0$ . Put  $g = f_0 h$ . We prove that  $g$  satisfies the properties claimed in theorem.

By Proposition 3.13,  $h^* ds^2 = ds^2$ , so for all  $u, v \in T_z B^k(r)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_*(u), h_*(v) \rangle_{h(z)} &= \langle u, v \rangle_z \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \langle g^r_{h(z)*} h_*(u), g^r_{h(z)*} h_*(v) \rangle_0 = \langle g^r_{z_*}(u), g^r_{z_*}(v) \rangle_0 \text{ by 3.13} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \left\langle g^r_{h(z)*} h_* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right), g^r_{h(z)*} h_* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right) \right\rangle_0 = \left\langle g^r_{z_*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, g^r_{z_*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right\rangle_0 \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, k \\ &\Rightarrow \det \left\{ \left\langle g^r_{h(z)*} h_* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right), g^r_{h(z)*} h_* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right) \right\rangle_0 \right\} = \det \left\{ \left\langle g^r_{z_*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}, g^r_{z_*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right\rangle_0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By 3.14, we have

$$\det \left[ d(g^r_{h(z)} h)_z \overline{d(g^r_{h(z)} h)_z} \right] = \det \left[ (dg^r_z)_z \overline{(dg^r_z)_z} \right],$$

where  $(dg^r_z)_z, d(g^r_{h(z)} h)_z$  are Jacobian matrix at  $z$  of the mappings  $g^r_z, g^r_{h(z)} h$  respectively. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \det d(g^r_{h(z)} h)_z &= \det(dg^r_{h(z)})_{h(z)} \det(dh)_z, \\ \det \overline{d(g^r_{h(z)} h)_z} &= \det \overline{(dh)_z} \det \overline{(dg^r_{h(z)})_{h(z)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\det \left[ (dg^r_{h(z)})_{h(z)} \overline{(dg^r_{h(z)})_{h(z)}} \right] \det \left[ (dh)_z \overline{(dh)_z} \right] = \det \left[ (dg^r_z)_z \overline{(dg^r_z)_z} \right].$$

By 3.11

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left( \frac{r\Gamma_r(h(z)) \overline{r\Gamma_r(h(z))}}{v_r(h(z))^2} \right) |\det(dh)_z|^2 &= \det \left( \frac{r\Gamma_r(z) \overline{r\Gamma_r(z)}}{v_r(z)^2} \right) \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{v_r(h(z))^{4k}} (\det \Gamma_r(h(z)))^2 |\det(dh)_z|^2 &= \frac{1}{v_r(z)^{4k}} (\det \Gamma_r(z))^2 \text{ by 3.5} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{(r^2 - \|h(z)\|^2)^{k+1}} |\det(dh)_z|^2 &= \frac{1}{(r^2 - \|z\|^2)^{k+1}} \text{ by 3.9.} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\eta_r(h(z)) \det |(dh)_z| = \eta_r(z). \tag{4}$$

On the other hand,

$$H(g'(z))^2 = H\left( (f_0 h)'_z \right)^2 = \det \left\{ \left\langle (f_0 h)'_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}(z), (f_0 h)'_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}(z) \right\rangle \right\}_{i,j=\overline{1,k}} =$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \det d(f_{t_0} h)_z \overline{d(f_{t_0} h)_z} \text{ by 3.15} = \\
&= \det \left( (d f_{t_0})_{h(z)} (dh)_z \overline{(dh)_z} \overline{(d f_{t_0})_{h(z)}} \right) = \\
&= |\det (dh)_z|^2 \det \left( (d f_{t_0})_{h(z)} \overline{(d f_{t_0})_{h(z)}} \right) = |\det (dh)_z|^2 H(f'_{t_0}(h(z)))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$H(g'(z)) = |\det (dh)_z| H(f'_{t_0}(h(z))). \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5), we obtain

$$\frac{H(g'(z))}{\eta_r(z)} = \frac{H(f'_{t_0}(h(z)))}{\eta_r(h(z))} \leq \mu(t_0) = \frac{c}{2}$$

for all  $z \in B^k(r)$ .

Hence, property 2 is proved.

Furthermore,

$$H(g'(0)) = \eta_r(0) \frac{H(f'_{t_0}(z_0))}{\eta_r(z_0)} = \frac{c}{2},$$

then property 1 is satisfied.

For  $z \in B^k(r)$ , we have

$$g(z) = f_{t_0} h(z) = f(t_0 h(z)) \in f(B^k(r)),$$

so that

$$g(B^k(r)) \subset f(B^k(r)).$$

Thus, the proof of the theorem is complete.

*Note.* When  $k = 1$ , this theorem is the Brody reparametrization lemma (see [3, p. 27]).

1. Kobayashi S. Invariant distances on complex manifolds and holomorphic mappings // J. Math. Soc. Jap. – 1970. – 19. – P. 460–480.
2. Kobayashi S. Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings. – New York: Marcel Dekker, 1970.
3. Noguchi J., Ochiai T. Geometric function theory in several complex variables // Trans. Math. Monogr. – 1990. – 80.
4. Brody R. Compact manifolds and hyperbolicity // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1978. – 235. – P. 213–219.
5. Graham I., Wu H. Some remarks on the intrinsic measures of Eisenman // Ibid. – 1985. – 288. – P. 625–660.
6. Eisenman D. A. Intrinsic measures on complex manifold and holomorphic mappings // Mem. AMS. – Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1970. – N<sup>o</sup> 96.

Received 19.03.2003