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## SIGNLESS LAPLACIAN DETERMINATION <br> OF A FAMILY OF DOUBLE STARLIKE TREES

## БЕЗЗНАКОВЕ ЛАПЛАСІВСЬКЕ ВИЗНАЧЕННЯ СІМ’Ї ПОДВИЙНО ЗІРКОПОДІБНИХ ДЕРЕВ

Two graphs are said to be $Q$-cospectral if they have the same signless Laplacian spectrum. A graph is said to be DQS if there are no other nonisomorphic graphs $Q$-cospectral with it. A tree is called double starlike if it has exactly two vertices of degree greater than 2 . Let $H_{n}(p, q)$ with $n \geq 2, p \geq q \geq 2$ denote the double starlike tree obtained by attaching $p$ pendant vertices to one pendant vertex of the path $P_{n}$ and $q$ pendant vertices to the other pendant vertex of $P_{n}$. In this paper, we prove that $H_{n}(p, q)$ is DQS for $n \geq 2, p \geq q \geq 2$.

Два графи називаються $Q$-коспектральними, якщо вони мають однакові беззнакові лапласівські спектри. Граф називається DQS, якщо не існує інших неізоморфних графів, що є $Q$-коспектральними по відношенню до нього. Дерево називається подвійно зіркоподібним, якщо воно має рівно дві вершини степеня більшого за 2 . Нехай $H_{n}(p, q)$ з $n \geq 2, p \geq q \geq 2 є$ подвійно зіркоподібним деревом, одержаним за допомогою додавання $p$ висячих вершин до однієї висячої вершини шляху $P_{n}$ та $q$ висячих вершин до іншої висячої вершини $P_{n}$. У цій роботі запропоновано доведення того, що $H_{n}(p, q)$ є DQS для $n \geq 2, p \geq q \geq 2$.

1. Introduction. All graphs considered here are simple and undirected. All notions on graphs that are not defined here can be found in [4].

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E(G)$, where $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are indexed in the nonincreasing order of degrees, i.e., $d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq \ldots \geq d_{n}$, where $d_{i}=d_{i}(G)=d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is the degree of the vertex $v_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. We denote the degree sequence of $G$ by $\operatorname{deg}(G)=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$. The number of triangles of $G$ is denoted by $t(G)$.

For $v \in V(G)$, the graph $G-v$ is an induced subgraph of $G$ obtained from $G$ by deleting the vertex $v$ and all edges incident with it. For two disjoint graphs $G$ and $H$, let $G \cup H$ denotes the disjoint union of $G$ and $H$.

The line graph of a graph $G$, denoted by $G^{L}$, has the edges of $G$ as its vertices, and two vertices of $G^{L}$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges in $G$ have a common vertex.

We denote by $P_{n}, C_{n}$, and $K_{1, n-1}$ the path, the cycle and the star of order $n$, respectively.
The adjacency matrix $A_{G}$ of $G$ is a square matrix of order $n$, whose $(i, j)$-entry is 1 if $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent in $G$ and 0 otherwise.

The degree matrix $D_{G}$ of $G$ is a diagonal matrix of order $n$ defined as $D_{G}=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$. The matrices $L_{G}=D_{G}-A_{G}$ and $Q_{G}=D_{G}+A_{G}$ are called the Laplacian matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix of $G$, respectively. The multiset of eigenvalues of $Q_{G}$ (resp., $L_{G}, A_{G}$ ) is called the $Q$-spectrum (resp., $L$-spectrum, $A$-spectrum) of $G$. Since $A_{G}, L_{G}$ and $Q_{G}$ are real symmetric, their eigenvalues are real numbers. Moreover, $L_{G}$ and $Q_{G}$ are positive semidefinite, and so their eigenvalues are nonnegative. We use $q_{1}(G) \geq q_{2}(G) \geq \ldots \geq q_{n}(G)$ to denote the $Q$-spectrum of $G$.


Fig. 1. Double starlike tree $H_{n}(p, q)$.
Two graphs are $Q$-cospectral (resp., $L$-cospectral, $A$-cospectral) if they have the same $Q$ spectrum (resp., $L$-spectrum, $A$-spectrum). A graph $G$ is said to be DQS (resp., DLS, DAS) if there is no other nonisomorphic graph $Q$-cospectral (resp., $L$-cospectral, $A$-cospectral) with $G$. Obviously, if a graph is DLS, then it is not necessary DQS. For example, consider, $K_{1,3}$ that is $Q$-cospectral with $K_{3} \cup K_{1}$.

The problem "which graphs are determined by their spectra?" originates from chemistry. Günthard and Primas [6] raised this question in the context of Hückels theory. Since this problem is generally very difficult, van Dam and Haemers [14] proposed a more modest problem, that is, "Which trees are determined by their spectra?".

A tree is called starlike if it has exactly one vertex of degree greater than 2 . We denote by $T\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{\Delta}\right)$ the starlike tree with maximum degree $\Delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{\Delta}\right)-v=P_{l_{1}} \cup P_{l_{2}} \cup \ldots \cup P_{l_{\Delta}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is the vertex of degree $\Delta, l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{\Delta}$ are any positive integers. A starlike tree with maximum degree 3 is called a $T$-shape tree. A tree is called double starlike if it has exactly two vertices of degree greater than 2 . Denote by $H_{n}(p, q)$ the tree obtained by attaching $p$ pendant vertices (vertices of degree 1) to one pendant vertex of $P_{n}$ and $q$ pendant vertices to the other pendant vertex of $P_{n}$ (see Fig. 1). It is clear that $H_{n}(p, q)$ is double starlike if and only if $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$.

Note that:
Liu et al., proved that double starlike trees $H_{n}(p, p), n \geq 2, p \geq 1$, are DLS [9];
Lu and Liu proved that double starlike trees $H_{n}(p, q)$ are DLS [10];
$H_{1}(2,1)$ is the star $K_{1,3}$ which is not DQS, since it is $Q$-cospectral with $K_{3} \cup K_{1}$ [14];
$H_{1}(p, q) \cong K_{1, p+q}$, which is DQS when $p+q \neq 3$ [8];
$H_{n}(1,1) \cong P_{n+2}$, which is DQS [14];
Omidi and Vatandoost proved that starlike trees with maximum degree 4 are DQS [12];
Bu and Zhou proved that starlike trees whose maximum degree exceed 4 are DQS [3];
if $p \geq 1$, then $H_{n}(p, 1)$ is a starlike tree, which is $\operatorname{DQS}[3,12]$;
Omidi gave all $T$-shape trees, which are DQS [11].
In this paper, we prove that for $n \geq 2, p \geq q \geq 2$ the double starlike tree $H_{n}(p, q)$ is DQS.
2. Preliminaries. Some useful established results about the spectrum are presented in this section, will play an important role throughout this paper.

Lemma $2.1[13,14]$. For any graph, the following can be determined by its adjacency and Laplacian spectrum:
(i) the number of vertices;
(ii) the number of edges.

For any graph, the following can be determined by its adjacency spectrum:
(iii) the number of closed walks of any length;
(iv) being regular or not and the degree of regularity.

For any graph, the following can be determined by its Laplacian spectrum:
(v) the number of components;
(vi) the sum of the squares of degrees of vertices.

For any graph, the following can be determined by its signless Laplacian spectrum:
(vii) the number of bipartite components;
(viii) the sum of the squares of degrees of vertices.

Lemma 2.2 [1]. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges, $t$ triangles and vertex degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$. Let $T_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}(G)^{k}$, then

$$
T_{0}=n, \quad T_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}=2 m, \quad T_{2}=2 m+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}, \quad T_{3}=6 t+3 \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{3} .
$$

Lemma 2.3 [7]. Let $G$ be a graph with $V(G) \neq \varnothing$ and $E(G) \neq \varnothing$. Then

$$
d_{1}+1 \leq \mu_{1}(G) \leq \max \left\{\left.\frac{d_{i}\left(d_{i}+m_{i}\right)+d_{j}\left(d_{j}+m_{j}\right)}{d_{i}+d_{j}} \right\rvert\, v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)\right\},
$$

where $\mu_{1}(G)$ denotes the spectral radius of $L_{G}$ and $m_{i}$ denotes the average of the degrees of the vertices adjacent to vertex $v_{i}$ in $G$.

Lemma 2.4 [4]. Let $G$ be a graph. Then $q_{n}(G) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $G$ is a bipartite graph. Bedsides, the multplicity of 0 as the signless Laplacian eigenvalue of $G$, is the number of bipartite components of $G$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Spec}_{Q}(G)=\operatorname{Spec}_{L}(G)$ if and only if $G$ is a bipartite graph.

Lemma 2.5 [16]. If two graphs $G$ and $H$ are $Q$-cospectral, then their line graphs $G^{L}$ and $H^{L}$ are $A$-cospectral. The converse is true if $G$ and $H$ have the same number of vertices and edges.

Lemma 2.6 (Interlacing theorem [14]). Suppose that $N$ is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \ldots \geq a_{n}$. Then the eigenvalues $b_{1} \geq b_{2} \geq \ldots \geq b_{m}$ of a principal submatrix of $N$ of size $m$ satisfy $a_{i} \geq b_{i} \geq a_{n-m+i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.

Lemma 2.7 [5]. If $G$ is a graph of order $n$, then $q_{2}(G) \geq d_{2}(G)-1$ and $q_{3}(G) \geq d_{3}(G)-\sqrt{2}$.
A connected graph with $n$ vertices is said to be unicyclic if it has $n$ edges. If the girth of a unicyclic graph is odd, then this unicyclic graph is said to be odd unicyclic.

Lemma 2.8 [2]. Let $G$ be a graph $Q$-spectral with a tree of order $n$. Then $G$ is either a tree or $a$ union of a tree of order $f$ and $c$ odd unicyclic graphs, and $n=4^{c} f$.

Lemma 2.9 [15]. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $v \in V(G)$. Then, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$,

$$
q_{i+1}(G)-1 \leq q_{i}(G-v) \leq q_{i}(G),
$$

where the right equality holds if and only if $v$ is an isolated vertex.
3. Main results. We first bound the second largest and the third largest signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $H=H_{n}(p, q)$ with $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.1. For a double starlike tree $H=H_{n}(p, q)$ with $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$ we have
(i) $q_{2}(H) \leq q+3+\frac{1}{q+2}$;
(ii) $q_{3}(H)<4$.

Proof. Let $u$ and $v$ be the vertices in $H=H_{n}(p, q)$ of degree $p+1$ and $q+1$, respectively.
(i) By Lemma 2.9 we have

$$
q_{2}(H)-1 \leq q_{1}(H-u)<q_{1}(H)
$$

It follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 that

$$
q_{1}(H-u) \leq q+2+\frac{1}{q+2}
$$

from which we conclude that

$$
q_{2}(H) \leq q_{2}(H-u)+1 \leq q+3+\frac{1}{q+2}
$$

(ii) Let $N_{u v}$ be the $(p+q+n-2) \times(p+q+n-2)$ principal submatrix of the signless Laplacian matrix of $H$ formed by removing the rows and the columns corresponding to $u$ and $v$. In this case, the largest eigenvalue of $N_{u v}$ is less than 4 . By Lemma 2.6 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{3}(H)<4 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1 is proved.
Proposition 3.1. Let $G$ be a connected graph $Q$-cospectral with $H_{n}(p, q), n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq$ $\geq 2$. Then:
(i) $d_{1}(G) \leq p+1$;
(ii) $d_{2}(G) \leq q+4$;
(iii) $d_{3}(G) \leq 5$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.1, $G$ has $n+p+q$ vertices and $n+p+q-1$ edges. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $G$ has only one bipartite component. Therefore, $G$ is a tree, since $G$ is connected. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have $d_{1}(G)+1 \leq q_{1}(G)=\mu_{1}(G) \leq p+2+\frac{1}{p+2}$ and so $\Delta=d_{1}(G) \leq p+1$.
(ii) By Lemma 3.1(i) we obtain

$$
q_{2}(G)=q_{2}\left(H_{n}(p, q)\right) \leq q+3+\frac{1}{q+2}
$$

By Lemma $2.7 d_{2}(G) \leq q+4$.
(iii) By Lemma 3.1(ii) we get $q_{3}(G)=q_{3}\left(H_{n}(p, q)\right)<4$. It follows from Lemma 2.7 that $d_{3}(G) \leq 5$.

Proposition 3.1 is proved.

Proposition 3.2. Let $G$ be a connected graph $Q$-cospectral with $H_{n}(p, q), n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq$ $\geq 2$. Then $G$ is a double starlike tree with the degree set

$$
\operatorname{deg}(G)=(p+1, q+1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n-2}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p+q}) .
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $G$ is bipartite with $n+p+q$ vertices and $n+$ $+p+q-1$ edges. So, $G$ is a tree. Denote by $n_{k}$ the number of vertices of degree $k$ in $G$ for $k \in\left\{1,2,3, \ldots, d_{1}(G)\right\}$. By Lemma 2.1(i), (ii), (viii) and Lemma 2.2 we have the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}(G)} n_{k}=n+p+q, \\
\sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}(G)} k n_{k}=2(n+p+q-1), \\
\sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}(G)} k^{2} n_{k}=(p+1)^{2}+(q+1)^{2}+p+q+4(n-2), \\
\sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}(G)} k^{3} n_{k}=(p+1)^{3}+(q+1)^{3}+p+q+8(n-2) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 2.5 $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$ and $G^{L}$ are $A$-cospectral. In addition, by Lemma 2.1(iii) they have the same number of triangles (six times the number of closed walks of length 3 ). In other words,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{d_{1}(G)}\binom{k}{3} n_{k}=\binom{p+1}{3}+\binom{q+1}{3}
$$

Let us denote by $n_{i}$ the number of vertices of degree $i$ in $G$ for $i=1,2,3,4,5$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}+n_{5}=n+p+q-2, \\
& n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}+4 n_{4}+5 n_{5}=2(n+p+q-1)-x, \\
& n_{1}+4 n_{2}+9 n_{3}+16 n_{4}+25 n_{5}=(p+1)^{2}+p+q+(q+1)^{2}+4(n-2)-y, \\
& n_{1}+8 n_{2}+27 n_{3}+64 n_{4}+125 n_{5}=(p+1)^{3}+p+q+(q+1)^{3}+8(n-2)-w, \\
& 6 n_{3}+24 n_{4}+60 n_{5}=(p+1) p(p-1)+(q+1) q(q-1)-z,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
x=d_{1}+d_{2}, \quad y=d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}, \quad w=d_{1}^{3}+d_{2}^{3}, \quad z=d_{1}\left(d_{1}^{2}-3 d_{1}+2\right)+d_{2}\left(d_{2}^{2}-3 d_{2}+2\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
n_{5}=\frac{12(2 x-3 y+w-z)}{12}=0, \quad n_{4}=\frac{-48(2 x-3 y+w-z)}{12}=0
$$

and so

$$
n_{1}=p+q, \quad n_{2}=n-2, \quad n_{3}=0, \quad d_{1}=p+1, \quad d_{2}=q+1
$$

This means that $G$ is a tree having exactly two vertices of degree more than 2 . So, $G$ is a double starlike tree with the degree set $\operatorname{deg}(G)=(p+1, q+1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n-2}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p+q})$.

Proposition 3.2 is proved.
Proposition 3.3. There is no connected graph $Q$-spectral with $H_{n}(p, q), n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$.
Proof. Let $G$ be a connected graph $Q$-cospectral with $H_{n}(p, q)$ for $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$. By Proposition 3.2, $G$ is a double starlike tree with $\operatorname{deg}(G)=(p+1, q+1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n-2}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p+q})$. Now we show that $G \cong H_{n}(p, q)$. We consider the following two cases:

Case 1. The two vertices of degree greater than 2 are adjacent in $G$.
Suppose that there exist $q-a$ (resp., $p-b$ ) pendant vertices adjacent to the vertices of degree $p+1$ in $G$, where $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative integers satisfying that

$$
0 \leq b \leq p, \quad 0 \leq a \leq q
$$

Let $m_{G^{L}}^{i}$ be the number of vertices of degree $i$ in $G^{L}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{G^{L}}^{1}=a+b, \quad m_{G^{L}}^{p+q}=1, \quad m_{G^{L}}^{p+1}=b, \quad m_{G^{L}}^{p}=p-b, \quad m_{G^{L}}^{q}=p-a \\
m_{G^{L}}^{q+1}=a, \quad m_{G^{L}}^{2}=n-2-a-b, \quad m_{G^{L}}^{k}=0, \quad k \notin\{1,2, p, q, p+1, q+1, p+q\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$ and $G^{L}$ are $A$-cospectral. By Lemma 2.1(ii), (iii), $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$ and $G^{L}$ have the same number of edges and the same number of closed walks of length 4. Moreover, they have the same number of 4-cycles. Lemma 2.1 implies that $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$ and $G^{L}$ have the same number of induced paths of length 2 , that is,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{p+1}{2}+\binom{q+1}{2}+p\binom{p}{2}+q\binom{q}{2}+(n-3)\binom{2}{2}= \\
=\binom{p+q}{2}+b\binom{p+1}{2}+(p-b)\binom{p}{2}+ \\
+a\binom{q+1}{2}+(q-a)\binom{p}{2}+(n-2-a-b)\binom{2}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that $(p-1)(q-1)+b(p-1)+a(q-1)=0$, a contradiction, since $0 \leq b \leq p, 0 \leq a \leq q$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$.

Case 2. The two vertices of degree greater than 2 are non-adjacent in $G$ as shown in Fig. 2.
Suppose that there exist $q-a$ (resp., $p-b$ ) pendant vertices adjacent to the vertices of degree $p+1$ in $G$, where $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative integers satisfying that $0 \leq b \leq p, 0 \leq a \leq q$. We show that $a=b=0$. Then, by counting the number of vertices in $G$ and $H_{n}(p, q)$, we obtain


Fig. 2. The graph $G$ in Case 2 of Proposition 3.3.

$$
l+\sum_{j=1}^{a} l_{j}^{\prime}+\sum_{l=1}^{b} l_{j}^{\prime \prime}+p+q=n+p+q
$$

Hence $l+a+b=n$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{G^{L}}^{1}=a+b, & m_{G^{L}}^{p+1}=b+1,
\end{aligned} \quad m_{G^{L}}^{p}=p-b, \quad m_{G^{L}}^{q+1}=a+1, \quad m_{G^{L}}^{q}=q-a, ~ m, ~ m_{G^{L}}^{k}=0, \quad k \notin\{1,2, p, q, p+1, q+1\} .
$$

Note that $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$ and $G^{L}$ are $A$-cospectral. By Lemma 2.1, $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$ and $G^{L}$ have the same number of edges and the same number of closed walks of length 4. Moreover, they have the same number of 4-cycles. Lemma 2.5 implies that $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$ and $G^{L}$ have the same number of induced paths of length 2 , that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{p+1}{2} & +\binom{q+1}{2}+p\binom{p}{2}+q\binom{q}{2}+(n-3)\binom{2}{2}= \\
& =(b+1)\binom{p+1}{2}+(p-b)\binom{p}{2}+ \\
& +(q-a)\binom{q}{2}+(a+1)\binom{q+1}{2}+ \\
& +(p-a)\binom{p}{2}+(n-3-a-b)\binom{2}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By a simple computation $a(q-1)+b(p-1)=0$ and so $a=b=0$. Therefore, $l=n$. This means that $G \cong H_{n}(p, q)$.

Proposition 3.3 is proved.
Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a disconnected graph $Q$-cospectral with $H_{n}(p, q), n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$. Then $G$ has no triangles.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 $G$ has one bipartite component. We show that $t(G)=0$. Suppose not and so $t(G) \geq 1$. Obviously, $t(G) \leq 2$, otherwise, since $G$ is disconnected, it follows from Lemma 2.8 that $G$ has at least three odd unicyclic components each of them has a triangle. So $q_{1}(G), q_{2}(G), q_{3}(G) \geq 4$, which is a contradiction to Lemma 3.1(ii). First suppose that $t(G)=1$. Consider the following two cases:

Case 1. Let $d_{n}(G)<1$, i.e., $d_{n}(G)=0$. Since $G$ has only one bipartite component, one may deduce that $G$ has only one isolated vertex.

Subcase 1.1. By Lemma 2.8 $G=K_{1} \cup H_{1}$, where $H_{1}$ is an odd unicyclic graph consisting of a triangle. By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.1(iii), we have

$$
t\left(G^{L}\right)=t\left(H_{n}(p, q)^{L}\right)=\binom{p+1}{3}+\binom{q+1}{3}
$$

Let us denote by $n_{i}$, the number of vertices of degree $i$ for $i=1,2,3,4,5$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}+n_{5}=n+p+q-3 \\
& n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}+4 n_{4}+5 n_{5}=2(n+p+q-1)-x \\
& n_{1}+4 n_{2}+9 n_{3}+16 n_{4}+25 n_{5}=(p+1)^{2}+p+q+(q+1)^{2}+4(n-2)-y \\
& n_{1}+8 n_{2}+27 n_{3}+64 n_{4}+125 n_{5}=(p+1)^{3}+p+q+(q+1)^{3}+8(n-2)-w-6 \\
& 6 n_{3}+24 n_{4}+60 n_{5}=(p+1) p(p-1)+(q+1) q(q-1)-z
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
x=d_{1}+d_{2}, \quad y=d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}, \quad w=d_{1}^{3}+d_{2}^{3}, \quad z=d_{1}\left(d_{1}^{2}-3 d_{1}+2\right)+d_{2}\left(d_{2}^{2}-3 d_{2}+2\right)
$$

By a simple computation $n_{4}=\frac{-48(2 x-3 y+w-z+6)}{12}<0$, a contradiction, since $2 x-3 y+$ $+w-z=0$.

Subcase 1.2. Let $t(G)=2$. By a similar argument and by using the previous notations we obtain $G=K_{1} \cup H_{1} \cup H_{2}$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}+n_{5}=n+p+q-3 \\
& n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}+4 n_{4}+5 n_{5}=2(n+p+q-1)-x \\
& n_{1}+4 n_{2}+9 n_{3}+16 n_{4}+25 n_{5}=(p+1)^{2}+p+q+(q+1)^{2}+4(n-2)-y \\
& n_{1}+8 n_{2}+27 n_{3}+64 n_{4}+125 n_{5}=(p+1)^{3}+p+q+(q+1)^{3}+8(n-2)-w-12 \\
& 6 n_{3}+24 n_{4}+60 n_{5}=(p+1) p(p-1)+(q+1) q(q-1)-z
\end{aligned}
$$

By a simple computation $n_{4}=\frac{-48(2 x-3 y+w-z+12)}{12}<0$, a contradiction, since $2 x-3 y+$ $+w-z=0$.

Case 2. Let $d_{n}(G) \geq 1$. By Lemma 2.8 if $t(G)=1$, then $G=Y \cup T$, where $Y$ and $T$ are a connected graph consisting of a triangle and a tree, respectively. Consider the following two subcases:

Subcase 2.1. By using the previous notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}+n_{5}=n+p+q-2 \\
& n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}+4 n_{4}+5 e=2(n+p+q-1)-x \\
& n_{1}+4 n_{2}+9 n_{3}+16 n_{4}+25 n_{5}=(p+1)^{2}+p+q+(q+1)^{2}+4(n-2)-y \\
& n_{1}+8 n_{2}+27 n_{3}+64 d+125 n_{5}=(p+1)^{3}+p+q+(q+1)^{3}+8(n-2)-w-6 \\
& 6 n_{3}+24 n_{4}+60 n_{5}=(p+1) p(p-1)+(q+1) q(q-1)-z
\end{aligned}
$$

By a simple computation $n_{4}=\frac{-48(2 x-3 y+w-z+6)}{12}<0$, a contradiction, since $2 x-3 y+$ $+w-z=0$.

Subcase 2.2. Let $t(G)=2$. By Lemma 2.8, we have $G=T \cup Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$, where $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are connected graphs consisting of a triangle and $T$ is a tree with at least two vertices. By using the previous notations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}+n_{5}=n+p+q-2, \\
& n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}+4 n_{4}+5 n_{5}=2(n+p+q-1)-x, \\
& n_{1}+4 n_{2}+9 n_{3}+16 n_{4}+25 n_{5}=(p+1)^{2}+p+q+(q+1)^{2}+4(n-2)-y, \\
& n_{1}+8 n_{2}+27 n_{3}+64 n_{4}+125 n_{5}=(p+1)^{3}+p+q+(q+1)^{3}+8(n-2)-w-12, \\
& 6 n_{3}+24 n_{4}+60 n_{5}=(p+1) p(p-1)+(q+1) q(q-1)-z,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
x=d_{1}+d_{2}, \quad y=d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}, \quad w=d_{1}^{3}+d_{2}^{3}, \quad z=d_{1}\left(d_{1}^{2}-3 d_{1}+2\right)+d_{2}\left(d_{2}^{2}-3 d_{2}+2\right) .
$$

By a simple computation $n_{4}=\frac{-48(2 x-3 y+w-z+12)}{12}<0$, a contradiction.
Lemma 3.2 is proved.
Proposition 3.4. There is no disconnected graph $Q$-spectral with $H_{n}(p, q), n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$.

Proof. Suppose by the contrary that $G$ is a disconnected graph $Q$-spectral with $H_{n}(p, q), n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.2, $t(G)=0$. Similar to Proposition 3.2 we have the following two cases:

Case 1. Let $d_{n}(G)=0$. By Lemma 2.8 if $s=1$, then $G=Y \cup T$, where $Y$ is a connected graph consisting of a unique cycle of order at least 5 and $T=K_{1}$. On the other hand, Lemma 2.8 implies that $H_{n}(p, q)$ is either $K_{1,3}$ or $P_{4}$, a contradiction. So, let $s=2$. In this case $G=$ $=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2} \cup K_{1}$, where $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are connected graph consisting of an unique cycle of order at least 5. It is clear that $|V(G)|=16$ and $|E(G)|=15$. Since $\operatorname{Spec}_{Q}(G)=\operatorname{Spec}_{Q}\left(H_{n}(p, q)\right)$, so $|V(G)|=\left|V\left(H_{n}(p, q)\right)\right|=n+p+q$. Therefore, $n+p+q=16$, that is, $p+q=16-n$. Applying the previous notations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}+n_{5}=13, \\
& n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}+4 n_{4}+5 e=2(15)-x \\
& n_{1}+4 n_{2}+9 n_{3}+16 n_{4}+25 n_{5}=(16-n-q)^{2}+(q+1)^{2}+16-n+4(n-2)-y, \\
& n_{1}+8 n_{2}+27 n_{3}+64 n_{4}+125 n_{5}=(16-n-q)^{3}+(q+1)^{3}+16-n+8(n-2)-w, \\
& 6 n_{3}+24 n_{4}+60 n_{5}=q(q-1)(q+1)+(16-n-q)(17-n-q)(15-n-q)-z .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note that the degree of one of vertices is $d_{n}(G)=0$ and the two others degrees are $d_{1}, d_{2}$. We can subtract these three vertices from 16 . So, the number of vertices of degrees $1,2,3,4$ and 5 is 13 .)

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{5}=\frac{12\left(2 x-3 y+w-z+710+3 n^{2}+n(6 q-89)+3 q(q-31)\right)}{12}= \\
=710+3 n^{2}+n(6 q-89)+3 q(q-31) \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We know that $n \geq 2, q \geq p \geq 2$ and $n+p+q=16$. By substituting $(n, q) \in\{(2,12), \ldots,(11,3)\}$ in (3.2), we will have a contradiction.

If $s \geq 3$, then $q_{1}(G), q_{2}(G), q_{3}(G) \geq 4$, a contradiction to (3.1).
Case 2. Let $d_{n}(G) \geq 1$. Applying the previous notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}+n_{5}=n+p+q-2, \\
& n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}+4 n_{4}+5 e=2(n+p+q-1)-x, \\
& n_{1}+4 n_{2}+9 n_{3}+16 n_{4}+25 n_{5}=(p+1)^{2}+p+q+(q+1)^{2}+4(n-2)-y, \\
& n_{1}+8 n_{2}+27 n_{3}+64 n_{4}+125 n_{5}=(p+1)^{3}+p+q+(q+1)^{3}+8(n-2)-w, \\
& 6 n_{3}+24 n_{4}+60 n_{5}=(p+1) p(p-1)+(q+1) q(q-1)-z .
\end{aligned}
$$

By solving this system of equations, we obtain that

$$
n_{3}=n_{4}=n_{5}=0 .
$$

Since $G$ is dis-connected, it follows from Lemma 2.8 that $G$ consists of at least one odd unicyclic graph that the order of its odd cycle is greater than or equal to 5 . This means that $G$ has at least one vertex of degree 3 or 4 or 5 .

Proposition 3.4 is proved.
Combining Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, we have the following main result.
Theorem 3.1. Any double starlike tree $H_{n}(p, q), n \geq 2$ and $p \geq q \geq 2$, is $D Q S$.
Note that if $u v$ is an edge of $H=H_{n}(p, q)$, then the degree of $u v$ as a vertex of the line graph $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$ is $d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)-2$. Since

$$
\operatorname{deg}(H)=(p+1, q+1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n-2}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p+q})
$$

it is easy to see that the degree sequence of $H^{L}$ is

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(H^{L}\right)=(p+1, q+1, \underbrace{p, \ldots, p}_{p}, \underbrace{q, \ldots, q}_{q}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n-3}) .
$$

Corollary 3.1. Let $G$ be a graph such that $G^{L}$ is A-cospectral with $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$. If $|V(G)|=$ $=\left|V\left(H_{n}(p, q)\right)\right|$, then $G^{L} \cong H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph such that $G^{L}$ is $A$-cospectral with $H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1(i), $G$ and $H_{n}(p, q)$ have the same number of edges. Hence, by Lemma 2.5

$$
\operatorname{Spec}_{Q}(G)=\operatorname{Spec}_{Q}\left(H_{n}(p, q)\right),
$$

since $|V(G)|=\left|V\left(H_{n}(p, q)\right)\right|$. In the other hand, Theorem 3.1 implies that $G \cong H_{n}(p, q)$. Therefore, $G^{L} \cong H_{n}(p, q)^{L}$.

Corollary 3.1 is proved.
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