

R. Garunkštis*, **T. Panavas** (Inst. Math., Vilnius Univ., Lithuania)

THE ZEROS OF THE LERCH ZETA-FUNCTION ARE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED MODULO ONE

НУЛІ ДЗЕТА-ФУНКЦІЇ ЛЕРХА, РІВНОМІРНО РОЗПОДІЛЕНІ ЗА МОДУЛЕМ 1

We prove that the ordinates of the nontrivial zeros of the Lerch zeta-function are uniformly distributed modulo one.

Доведено, що ординати нетривіальних нулів дзета-функції Лерха рівномірно розподілені за модулем 1.

1. Introduction. Let $s = \sigma + it$ denote a complex variable. Denote by $\{\lambda\}$ the fractional part of a real number λ . In this paper T always tends to plus infinity and constants in big O notations may depend on parameters λ , α and x .

The Lerch zeta-function is defined by

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{(m + \alpha)^s}, \quad \sigma > 1,$$

where $0 < \lambda, \alpha \leq 1$. This function has an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane except for a possible simple pole at $s = 1$ (see [16, 17]). The Lerch zeta-function satisfies the functional equation (see, for example, [16], Chapter 2, or [10], formula (1))

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - s) &= (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \left(e^{\pi i \frac{s}{2} - 2\pi i \alpha \lambda} L(1 - \alpha, \lambda, s) + \right. \\ &\left. + e^{-\pi i \frac{s}{2} + 2\pi i \alpha (1 - \{\lambda\})} L(\alpha, 1 - \{\lambda\}, s) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Next we indicate zero free regions. Let l be a straight line in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and denote by $\varrho(s, l)$ the distance of s from l . Define, for $\delta > 0$,

$$L_\delta(l) = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \varrho(s, l) < \delta\}.$$

In [7, 12], for $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\lambda \neq 1/2$, it is proved that $L(\lambda, \alpha, s) \neq 0$ if $\sigma < -1$ and

$$s \notin L_{\frac{\log 4}{\pi}} \left(\sigma = \frac{\pi t}{\log \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}} + 1 \right).$$

For $\lambda = 1/2, 1$, from [7, 22] we see that $L(\lambda, \alpha, s) \neq 0$ if $\sigma < -1$ and $|t| \geq 1$. Moreover, in [7] it is shown that $L(\lambda, \alpha, s) \neq 0$ if $\sigma \geq 1 + \alpha$. We say that a zero of $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ is *nontrivial* if it lies in the strip $-1 \leq \sigma < 1 + \alpha$. The nontrivial zero is denoted by $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$.

* R. Garunkštis is funded by European Social Fund according to the activity improvement of researchers qualification by implementing world-class R&D (projects of measure No. 09.3.3-LMT-K-712-01-0037).

Let $\zeta(s)$ and $L(s, \chi)$ be the Riemann zeta-function and the Dirichlet L -function accordingly. We have

$$L(1, 1, s) = \zeta(s) \quad \text{and} \quad L(1/2, 1/2, s) = 2^s L(s, \chi), \tag{2}$$

where χ is a Dirichlet character mod 4 with $\chi(3) = -1$. For these two cases, the Riemann hypothesis can be formulated. Similar cases are $L(1, 1/2, s) = (2^s - 1)\zeta(s)$ and $L(1/2, 1, s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$. For all the other cases, it is expected that the real parts of zeros of the Lerch zeta-function form a dense subset of the interval $(1/2, 1)$. This is proved for any λ and transcendental α [8] using the universality property of the Lerch zeta-function. More about the universality of the Lerch zeta-function see [6, 15, 18].

Denote by $N(\lambda, \alpha, T)$ the number of nontrivial zeros of the function $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ in the region $0 < t \leq T$. Then [7, 9]

$$N(\lambda, \alpha, T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log T - \frac{T}{2\pi} \log(2\pi e \alpha \lambda) + O(\log T). \tag{3}$$

A sequence $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$ of real numbers is *uniformly distributed* in the interval $[a, b]$, if for any subinterval $[c, d]$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\} \cap [c, d]|}{n} = \frac{d - c}{b - a}.$$

The notation $|\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\} \cap [c, d]|$ denotes the number of elements, out of the first n elements of the sequence, that are between c and d . A sequence a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots of real numbers is said to be *uniformly distributed modulo 1* if the sequence of the fractional parts of a_n , denoted by $\{a_n\}$, is uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1]$.

Under the assumption of the truth of the Riemann hypothesis Rademacher [20] proved that the imaginary parts of the nonreal zeros of the Riemann zeta-function are uniformly distributed modulo one; Elliott [3] and (independently) Hlawka [14] gave unconditional proofs of this result. Further extensions and generalizations can be found in the articles [2, 4, 5, 11, 21].

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The imaginary parts of nontrivial zeros of the Lerch zeta-function $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ are uniformly distributed modulo one.*

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let x be a fixed positive real number not equal to 1. Then*

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} x^\rho = (c(x) + d(x)) \frac{T}{2\pi} + O(\log T),$$

where $c(x)$ and $d(x)$ are complex numbers defined by formulas (9) and (16) below.

Proposition 1 and Theorem 1 are proved in Sections 2 and 3, respectively.

2. Proof of Proposition 1. Let $B \geq 3$ be a sufficiently large number which will be chosen later. A strip $1 - B \leq \sigma \leq B$ contains all the nontrivial zeros and a finite number of trivial zeros. Applying the residue theorem, we get

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} x^\rho = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\square} x^s \frac{L'}{L}(\lambda, \alpha, s) ds + O(1), \tag{4}$$

where \square denotes the counterclockwise oriented rectangular contour with vertices $B + i$, $B + iT$, $1 - B + iT$, $1 - B + i$ and

$$L'(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} L(\lambda, \alpha, s).$$

To deal with the integral in formula (4) the following two lemmas will be useful.

Lemma 1. *If $f(s)$ is analytic and $f(s_0) \neq 0$ with*

$$\left| \frac{f(s)}{f(s_0)} \right| < e^M$$

in $\{s : |s - s_0| \leq r\}$ with $M > 1$, then

$$\left| \frac{f'}{f}(s) - \sum_{\rho'} \frac{1}{s - \rho'} \right| < C \frac{M}{r}$$

for $|s - s_0| \leq \frac{r}{4}$, where C is some constant and ρ' runs through the zeros of $f(s)$ such that $|\rho' - s_0| \leq \frac{r}{2}$.

For the proof, see [23] (§3.9).

Lemma 1 is applied in the proof of the next lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $B, b > 2$ be fixed. If T is such that $L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + iT) \neq 0$ for $1 - b \leq \sigma \leq B$, then*

$$\int_{1-b}^B \left| \frac{L'}{L}(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + iT) \right| d\sigma \ll \log T.$$

Proof. In Lemma 1, we choose $s_0 = B + iT$ and $r = 4(B - (1 - b))$. It is known (see, for example, [13], Lemma 3) that, for $|s - s_0| \leq r$,

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) \ll T^c$$

with some $c > 0$. Therefore we can take $M = 2c \log T$. Then Lemma 1 gives

$$\frac{L'}{L}(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{|\rho - s_0| \leq \frac{r}{2}} \frac{1}{s - \rho} + O(\log T) \quad (5)$$

for $|s - s_0| \leq \frac{r}{4}$. Note that the points $B + iT$ and $1 - b + iT$ are not very near to zeros of $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1-b}^B \left| \frac{L'}{L}(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + iT) \right| d\sigma &\leq \int_{1-b}^B \sum_{|\rho - s_0| \leq \frac{r}{2}} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma + iT - \rho} \right| d\sigma + O(\log T) = \\ &= \sum_{|\rho - s_0| \leq \frac{r}{2}} \int_{1-b}^B \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma - \beta)^2 + (T - \gamma)^2}} d\sigma + O(\log T) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{|\rho - s_0| \leq \frac{r}{2}} \left(\log \left(B - \beta + \sqrt{(T - \gamma)^2 + (B - \beta)^2} \right) - \right. \\
 &\left. - \log \left(1 - b - \beta + \sqrt{(T - \gamma)^2 + (1 - b - \beta)^2} \right) \right) + O(\log T) \ll \\
 &\ll \log T,
 \end{aligned}$$

since the inequality $|\rho - s_0| \leq \frac{r}{2}$ is satisfied with $O(\log T)$ many zeros ρ (see the asymptotic formula (3)).

Lemma 2 is proved.

Proof of Proposition 1. We consider the contour integral in formula (4):

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\square} x^s \frac{L'(\lambda, \alpha, s)}{L(\lambda, \alpha, s)} ds = \\
 &= \left\{ \int_{B+i}^{B+iT} + \int_{B+iT}^{1-B+iT} + \int_{1-B+iT}^{1-B+i} + \int_{1-B+i}^{B+i} \right\} x^s \frac{L'(\lambda, \alpha, s)}{L(\lambda, \alpha, s)} ds = \sum_{j=1}^4 I_j. \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $x_{m+1} = x_{m+1}(\alpha) = (m + \alpha)/\alpha$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$, be the sequence X and define

$$S = \{x_{k_1} x_{k_2} \dots x_{k_m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

as the set of all possible products of elements of the sequence X . Let

$$1 = y_1(\alpha) < y_2(\alpha) < \dots \tag{7}$$

be an ordered sequence of all different numbers of S . By Lemma 8 in [11] there are $\sigma_1 \geq 1$ and complex numbers c_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that the logarithmic derivative of $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ has an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series expansion

$$\frac{L'(\lambda, \alpha, s)}{L(\lambda, \alpha, s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{y_n^s(\alpha)}, \quad \sigma > \sigma_1.$$

Let $B > \sigma_1$. Interchanging summation and integration, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \int_{B+i}^{B+iT} \left(\frac{x}{y_n(\alpha)} \right)^s ds = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n i \int_1^T \exp((B + it) \log(x/y_n(\alpha))) dt = \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n i \exp(B \log(x/y_n(\alpha))) \int_1^T \exp(it \log(x/y_n(\alpha))) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of

$$\int_1^T \exp(it \log(x/y_n(\alpha))) dt =$$

$$= \begin{cases} T - 1 & \text{if } x = y_n(\alpha), \\ (\exp(iT \log(x/y_n(\alpha))) - \exp(i \log(x/y_n(\alpha)))) / (i \log(x/y_n(\alpha))) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we get

$$I_1 = ic(x)T + O(1), \quad (8)$$

where

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} c_n & \text{if } x = y_n(\alpha), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

To evaluate the integral

$$I_3 = - \int_{1-B+i}^{1-B+iT} x^s \frac{L'(\lambda, \alpha, s)}{L(\lambda, \alpha, s)} ds \quad (10)$$

we will use the functional equation (1). The logarithmic derivative of the functional equation is

$$\frac{L'}{L}(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \log 2\pi\lambda - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1-s) - \frac{\pi i}{2} - \frac{E'}{E}(\lambda, \alpha, 1-s), \quad (11)$$

where, for $\sigma < -1$,

$$E(\lambda, \alpha, 1-s) := 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \alpha m}}{\left(\frac{\lambda+m}{\lambda}\right)^{1-s}} + e^{-\pi i(1-s)} e^{2\pi i \alpha(1+\lambda-\{\lambda\})} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \alpha m}}{\left(\frac{1-\{\lambda\}+m}{\lambda}\right)^{1-s}}$$

and

$$E'(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} E(\lambda, \alpha, s).$$

We have

$$\int_{1-B+i}^{1-B+iT} x^s \log(2\pi\lambda) ds = O(1). \quad (12)$$

It is known (see formula 6.3.18 in [1]) that, for $|\arg s| < \pi$,

$$\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s) = \log s + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right), \quad s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{1-B+i}^{1-B+iT} x^s \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1-s) ds = ix^{1-B} \int_1^T x^{it} \left(\log t - \frac{\pi}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right) dt = O(\log T). \quad (13)$$

Let

$$F(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - s) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \alpha m}}{\left(\frac{\lambda + m}{\lambda}\right)^{1-s}}, \quad \sigma < -1,$$

and

$$F'(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} F(\lambda, \alpha, s).$$

Then, for $\sigma < -1$,

$$\frac{E'(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - s)}{E(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - s)} = \frac{F'(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - s)}{F(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - s)} + O(e^{-t}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{14}$$

Again, by Lemma 8 in [11] there are complex numbers $d_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that the logarithmic derivative of $F(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ has the Dirichlet series expansion

$$\frac{F'(\lambda, \alpha, s)}{F(\lambda, \alpha, s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n}{y_n^s(\lambda)},$$

which converges absolutely for $\Re s \geq B$ if B is sufficiently large. The numbers $y_n(\lambda), n = 1, 2, \dots$, are defined by (7). This and formula (14) give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1-B+i}^{1-B+iT} x^s \frac{E'(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - s)}{E(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - s)} ds &= ix^{1-B} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_n}{y_n^B(\lambda)} \int_1^T \left(\frac{x}{y_n(\lambda)}\right)^{it} dt + O(1) = \\ &= id(x)T + O(1), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} d_n y_n(\lambda) & \text{if } x = y_n(\lambda), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

By formulae (10)–(13) and (15) we obtain

$$I_3 = id(x)T + O(\log T). \tag{17}$$

We observe that I_4 does not depend on T . Therefore,

$$I_4 = O(1). \tag{18}$$

Lemma 2 gives

$$I_2 = \int_{1-B+iT}^{B+iT} x^s \frac{L'(\lambda, \alpha, s)}{L(\lambda, \alpha, s)} ds = \int_{1-B}^B x^{\sigma+iT} \frac{L'(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + iT)}{L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + iT)} d\sigma \ll \log T. \tag{19}$$

Formulae (4), (6), (8), (17), (18), and (19) prove Proposition 1.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. In the proof of Theorem 1 the following Weyl's criterion for the uniform distribution will be important.

Lemma 3. *A sequence of real numbers y_n is uniformly distributed modulo one if and only if, for each integer $m \neq 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n e^{2\pi i m y_j} = 0.$$

For the proof, see [24, 25].

Let $N^{(1)}(T)$ be the number of nontrivial zeros of $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ in

$$\beta > \frac{1}{2} + (\log \log T)^2 / \log T, \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

Let $N^{(2)}(T)$ be the number of those in

$$\beta < \frac{1}{2} - (\log \log T)^2 / \log T, \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$

and let $N^{(3)}(T)$ be the number of those in

$$\frac{1}{2} - (\log \log T)^2 / \log T \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} + (\log \log T)^2 / \log T, \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

The following clustering of the nontrivial zeros around the critical line $\sigma = 1/2$ will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 2. *We have*

$$N^{(1)}(T) \ll \frac{T \log T}{\log \log T}, \quad (20)$$

$$N^{(2)}(T) \ll \frac{T \log T}{\log \log T}, \quad (21)$$

$$N^{(3)}(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi e \alpha \lambda} + O\left(\frac{T \log T}{\log \log T}\right). \quad (22)$$

To prove the proposition we will need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4. *We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta > 1/2}} \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right) = O(T \log \log T).$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 1 in [9] we have, for $b > -3$,

$$2\pi \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta > -b}} (b + \beta) = \int_0^T \log \left| \alpha^{-b+it} L(\lambda, \alpha, -b + it) \right| dt + O(\log T).$$

Theorem 1 in [10] gives the bound

$$\int_0^T \left| L(\lambda, \alpha, \frac{1}{2} + it) \right|^2 dt = O(T \log T).$$

Choosing $b = -\frac{1}{2}$, by the concavity of the logarithm we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta > 1/2}} \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2} \right) &= \int_0^T \log \left| \alpha^s L(\lambda, \alpha, \frac{1}{2} + it) \right| dt + O(\log T) = \\ &= \int_0^T \log \left| L(\lambda, \alpha, \frac{1}{2} + it) \right| dt + O(T) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} T \log \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| L(\lambda, \alpha, \frac{1}{2} + it) \right|^2 dt \right) + O(T) \ll \\ &\ll T \log \log T. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4 is proved.

Lemma 5. Let $b \geq 3$ be a constant. For $0 < \lambda, \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} (b + \beta) = \left(b + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{4\pi e \alpha \lambda} + \frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} + O(\log T).$$

For the proof, see [9] (Theorem 1) and [13] (Lemma 1).

Proof of Proposition 2. From Lemma 4 and the definition of $N^{(1)}(T)$ we see that

$$0 \leq N^{(1)}(T) (\log \log T)^2 / \log T \leq O(T \log \log T).$$

This proves the bound (20).

Next we consider the bound for $N^{(2)}(T)$. Let $\delta = (\log \log T)^2 / \log T$. We have

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} (b + \beta) = \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta \geq 1/2 - \delta}} (b + \beta) + \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta < 1/2 - \delta}} (b + \beta). \quad (23)$$

Definitions of $N^{(1)}(T)$, $N^{(3)}(T)$, and Lemma 4 give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta \geq 1/2 - \delta}} (b + \beta) &\leq \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta > 1/2}} \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \left(b + \frac{1}{2} \right) (N^{(1)}(T) + N^{(3)}(T)) \leq \\ &\leq O(T \log \log T) + \left(b + \frac{1}{2} \right) (N^{(1)}(T) + N^{(3)}(T)). \end{aligned}$$

For the second sum in the right-hand side of formula (23), we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta < 1/2 - \delta}} (b + \beta) \leq \left(b + \frac{1}{2} - (\log \log T)^2 / \log T \right) N^{(2)}(T).$$

The last three formulas yield the inequality

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} (b + \beta) \leq O(T \log \log T) + \left(b + \frac{1}{2} \right) N(\lambda, \alpha, T) - (\log \log T)^2 N^{(2)}(T) / \log T.$$

By this, Lemma 5, and formula (3) we see that

$$0 \leq O(T \log \log T) - (\log \log T)^2 N^{(2)}(T) / \log T.$$

This proves formula (21). Formulae (20) and (21) together with the asymptotic formula (3) yield the equality (22).

Proposition 2 is proved.

Proposition 2 leads to the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *We have*

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \left| \beta - \frac{1}{2} \right| \ll \frac{T \log T}{\log \log T}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2 we denote $\delta = (\log \log T)^2 / \log T$. Then

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \left| \beta - \frac{1}{2} \right| = \left\{ \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta - 1/2 > \delta}} + \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ |\beta - 1/2| \leq \delta}} + \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \leq T \\ \beta - 1/2 < -\delta}} \right\} \left| \beta - \frac{1}{2} \right|. \quad (24)$$

In view of zero free regions of the Lerch zeta-function we have that $|\beta - 1/2| \ll 1$. Then the expression (24) together with Proposition 2 proves Lemma 6.

Proof of Theorem 1. Similarly, as in the proof of Theorem 1 in [21], we can write

$$x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} x^{i\gamma} = \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} x^{\beta+i\gamma} + \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} (x^{1/2+i\gamma} - x^{\beta+i\gamma}). \quad (25)$$

We find

$$x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} x^{i\gamma} \right| \leq \left| \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} x^{\beta+i\gamma} \right| + \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \left| x^{1/2+i\gamma} - x^{\beta+i\gamma} \right|.$$

By inequality

$$\left| \exp(y) - 1 \right| = \left| \int_0^y \exp(t) dt \right| \leq |y| \max\{1, \exp(y)\},$$

where y is a real number, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{1/2+i\gamma} - x^{\beta+i\gamma}| &= x^{\beta} \left| \exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) \log x\right) - 1 \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \beta - \frac{1}{2} \right| |\log x| \max\{x^{\beta}, x^{1/2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 6 and formula (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{N(\lambda, \alpha, T)} \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} |x^{1/2+i\gamma} - x^{\beta+i\gamma}| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{|\log x| \max\{x^{\beta}, x^{1/2}\}}{N(\lambda, \alpha, T)} \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \left| \beta - \frac{1}{2} \right| \ll \frac{1}{\log \log T}. \end{aligned}$$

This, formula (25), and Proposition 1 give that

$$\frac{1}{N(\lambda, \alpha, T)} \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} x^{1/2+i\gamma} \ll \frac{1}{\log \log T}.$$

Let $x = z^m$ with some positive $z \neq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, after dividing the previous formula by $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we deduce

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N(\lambda, \alpha, T)} \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \exp(im\gamma \log z) = 0.$$

Then Weyl's criterion (Lemma 3) implies that the sequence of numbers $\gamma \log z / 2\pi$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

Theorem 1 is proved.

References

1. M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, National Bureau of Standards, Wiley-Intersci. Publ., New York (1972).
2. A. Akbary, M. R. Murty, *Uniform distribution of zeros of Dirichlet series*, Anatomy of Integer, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, **46**, 143–158 (2008).
3. P. D. T. A. Elliott, *The Riemann zeta function and coin tossing*, J. reine und angew. Math., **254**, 100–109 (1972).
4. K. Ford, K. Soundararajan, A. Zaharescu, *On the distribution of imaginary parts of zeros of the Riemann zeta function, II*, Math. Ann., **343**, 487–505 (2009).
5. A. Fujii, *On the uniformity of the distribution of zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, J. reine und angew. Math., **302**, 167–205 (1978).
6. R. Garunkštis, *The universality theorem with weight for the Lerch zeta-function*, New Trends in Probability and Statistics, vol. 4 (Palanga, 1996), VSP, Utrecht (1997).
7. R. Garunkštis, A. Laurinčikas, *On zeros of the Lerch zeta-function*, Number Theory and Its Applications, S. Kanemitsu, K. Gyory (eds.), Kluwer Acad. Publ., 129–143 (1999).
8. R. Garunkštis, A. Laurinčikas, *The Lerch zeta-function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **10**, 211–226 (2000).
9. R. Garunkštis, J. Steuding, *On the zero distributions of Lerch zeta-functions*, Analysis, **22**, 1–12 (2002).
10. R. Garunkštis, A. Laurinčikas, J. Steuding, *On the mean square of Lerch zeta-functions*, Arch. Math., **80**, 47–60 (2003).
11. R. Garunkštis, J. Steuding, R. Šimėnas, *The a -points of the Selberg zeta-function are uniformly distributed modulo one*, Illinois J. Math., **58**, 207–218 (2014).

12. R. Garunkštis, J. Steuding, *Do Lerch zeta-functions satisfy the Lindeloff hypothesis?*, Anal. and Probab. Methods Number Theory, Proc. Third Intern. Conf. Honour of J. Kubilius (Palanga, Lithuania, 24–28 September 2001), TEV, Vilnius (2002), p. 61–74.
13. R. Garunkštis, R. Tamošiūnas, *Symmetry of zeros of Lerch zeta-function for equal parameters*, Lith. Math. J., **57**, 433–440 (2017).
14. E. Hlawka, *Über die Gleichverteilung gewisser Folgen, welche mit den Nullstellen der Zetafunktionen zusammenhängen*, Österr. Akad. Wiss., Math.-Natur. Kl. Abt. II, **184**, 459–471 (1975).
15. A. Laurinčikas, *The universality of the Lerch zeta-function*, Lith. Math. J., **37**, 275–280 (1997).
16. A. Laurinčikas, R. Garunkštis, *The Lerch zeta-function*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (2002).
17. M. Lerch, *Note sur la fonction $\mathcal{K}(z, x, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{2k\pi ix} (z+k)^{-s}$* , Acta Math., **11**, 19–24 (1887).
18. Y. Lee, T. Nakamura, Ł. Pańkowski *Joint universality for Lerch zeta-functions*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **69**, 153–161 (2017).
19. N. Levinson, *Almost all root of $\zeta(s) = a$ are arbitrarily close to $\sigma = 1/2$* , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **72**, 1322–1324 (1975).
20. H. G. Rademacher, *Fourier analysis in number theory*, Symp. Harmonic Analysis and Related Integral Transforms (Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N.Y., 1956), Collected Papers of Hans Rademacher, vol. II (1974), p. 434–458.
21. J. Steuding, *The roots of the equation $\zeta(s) = a$ are uniformly distributed modulo one*, Anal. and Probab. Methods Number Theory TEV, Vilnius (2012), p. 243–249.
22. R. Spira, *Zeros of Hurwitz zeta-functions*, Math. Comput., **136**, 863–866 (1976).
23. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd ed., rev. by D. R. Heath-Brown, Oxford Sci. Publ., Clarendon Press, Oxford (1986).
24. H. Weyl, *Sur une application de la théorie des nombres à la mécanique statistique et la théorie des perturbations*, Enseign. Math., **16**, 455–467 (1914).
25. H. Weyl, *Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins*, Math. Ann., **77**, 313–352 (1916).

Received 20.02.18,
after revision — 22.11.19